

Evaluation of Diabetic Foot Complications According to Amit Jain's Classification

KALAIVANI V

ABSTRACT

Background : Wagners classification has been one of the commonly used grading system for diabetic foot lesions. Amit Jain's classification is a new classification which includes almost all the common problems affecting diabetic foot. The aim of our study was to include the patients with Diabetic foot in different sub groups as per the new classification and to evaluate the outcome.

Materials and Methods: This retrospective study was conducted by analyzing the records of the inpatients in the Department of Surgery in a tertiary care teaching institute at Bangalore, India, between June 2013 to December 2013.

Results : Males (82.11%) were more commonly affected than females, the ratio being 4.6:1. Type 1 diabetic foot complications

(91.06%) were the most common type of diabetic foot complication seen at our centre. Wet gangrene (35.7%) was the most common type of type 1 diabetic foot complication. Twenty (16.26%) patients underwent major amputations out of which 12.2% belonged to type 1 complications.

Conclusion: Majority of the diabetic foot patients in a tertiary care hospital belonged to type 1 complication. Most of the major amputations in a diabetic occurred in type 1 diabetic foot complication. This classification was found to be very easy and almost all the diabetic foot complications were placed effectively and appropriately in this classification.

Keywords: Amit Jain's classification, Amputation, Diabetic foot

INTRODUCTION

Diabetes mellitus is a major public health problem and so is its complication, the diabetic foot (DF). It is one of the most common reasons for hospitalization in diabetic patients accounting for around 25% of all diabetes related admissions in the United States [1]. Diabetic foot disease is known to have major medical, social and economic consequences [2]. It is estimated that annually 2% of all diabetic patients will develop a foot ulcer and around 15% of them will ulcerate during their lifetime [3].

Diabetic foot lesions are commonly classified according to Wagner's classification [2]. There are various studies on this classification [2,4,5]. Amit Jain's classification [Table/Fig-1][6,7] for diabetic foot is a new classification that includes all the common complications of diabetic foot, is simple and easy to remember.

The Aim of this study is to include the patients with diabetic foot complications to various sub groups of Amit Jain's classification and evaluate the results.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The records of the inpatients admitted to the Department of General Surgery in a tertiary care teaching hospital were retrospectively analysed. The study period was from June 2013 to December 2013. The following were the inclusion and exclusion criteria;

Inclusion criteria

- 1) All type 2 diabetes patients,
- 2) Inpatients admitted and treated during this period in our department,
- Patients referred to general surgery department, from other departments/ institutions for further management.

Exclusion criteria

- 1) All outpatients,
- 2) Lesions in the lower limb that spares foot,
- 3) Healing diabetic foot ulcers planned for skin grafting,
- 4) All non diabetics.

RESULTS

There were 123 patients who were included in this study during this period. There were 101 males (82.11%) and 22 females (17.89%), the male: female ratio being 4.6:1. One hundred tweleve patients (91.06%) were affected with type 1 diabetic foot complication, 3 patients (2.44%) with type 2 and 8 patients (6.5%) with type 3 diabetic foot complications [Table/Fig-2]. Majority of the males (74.8%) were affected with type 1 diabetic foot complications.Fifty three patients (43.09%) had right foot involvement and 70 patients (56.91%) had left foot involved. Only one patient had both the feet involved.

Wet gangrene (35.7%) was the most common type 1 diabetic foot complication [Table/Fig-3,4] followed by necrotizing fasciitis (27.67%). Overall, debridement (53.65%) was the most commonly performed procedure [Table/Fig-5]. It was also the most common surgical procedure done in type I complications followed by toe amputations.

Among the three patients belonging to type 2 DF complications, two patients had peripheral arterial disease with extensive gangrene and the third patient had ischaemic heal ulcer, which was not salvageable. Eight patients belonged to type 3 complications [Table/Fig-6], six patients had non healing infected ulcer with osteomyelitis, three of whom underwent toe amputation, two debridements and one metatarsal amputation. The remaining two patients underwent major amputations due to mixed complications consisting of infective gangrene, deformed foot associated with peripheral arterial disease. In this study, 20 patients (16.26%) underwent major amputations out of which 15 patients (12.2%) were having type I diabetic foot complications. There was one death in a patient with necrotising fasciitis in our study.

DISCUSSION

Diabetic foot is one of the most dreaded complications of diabetes as it may lead to amputation, a devastating consequence [8]. The burden of diabetic foot ulceration is heaviest in the resource poor parts of the world where the incidence is quite high [9].

7

SI No	Type of Complications	Lesions				
1]	Type 1 [Infective]	Cellulitis, Wet Gangrene, Necrotizing Fasciitis, Abscess, Etc				
2]	Type 2 [Noninfective]	Non Healing Ulcers, Peripheral Arterial Disease, Entrapment Neuropathies, Hammer toes, Claw toes, Diabetic Neuro-osteoarthropathy, Etc				
3]	Type 3 [Mixed]	Example - Non healing ulcer With Osteomyelitis				
[Table/Fig-1]: Showing Amit Jain's classification of diabetic foot complications						

SI No	Type of diabetic foot complications	Number	Percentage			
1	Type 1 complications	112	91.06%			
2	Type 2 complications	03	2.44%			
3	Type 3 complications	08	6.5%			
	Total	123	100%			

[Table/Fig-2]: Showing distribution of cases according to Amit Jain's classificat

et gangrene	40	05 30/
	40	35.7%
ecrotizing fasciitis	31	27.67%
oscesses	16	14.29%
ected ulcers	22	19.64%
teomyelitis	03	2.68%
Total	112	100%
	ected ulcers teomyelitis Total	bscesses 16 ected ulcers 22 teomyelitis 03

[Table/Fig-3]: Showing different types of type 1 diabetic foot complication



[Iable/Fig-4]: Snowing wet gangrene of the left foot. It is type 1 diabetic foot complication

Wagner's classification has been used commonly to describe the diabetic foot ulcers [2,4,9] which is based on wound depth and the extent of tissue necrosis. Several authors have noted a disadvantage of this system, that it only accounts for wound depth and appearance and does not consider the presence of ischaemia or infection [10,11]. University of Texas Classification though includes ischaemia and infection it does not include any other DF complications like Necrotising fasciitis and Osteomyelitis.

The new Amit Jain's classification was chosen as it includes almost all DF complications [6,7]. Our study shows that type 1 diabetic foot complications are the most common type of complications seen in the hospitalized patients. Statistics show that around 40% of inpatients admitted with diabetic foot problems, will require some form of amputation [12]. In our study, 46.34% required some form of amputation, with toe amputation being the commonest amputation. 16.26% of the patients required major amputations which is comparable with a rate of 9-24% in the literature [5,13].

Comparing our study with Jain et al., series [14], wet gangrene was the most common type 1 diabetic foot complication and 12.2% of

SI No	Management	Type 1	Type 2	Туре 3	Total	Percentage
1]	Debridement/I&D	64	-	2	66	53.66%
2]	Toe amputation/ disarticulation	28	-	3	31	25.20%
3]	Transmetatarsal amputation	5	-	1	6	4.88%
4]	Below knee amputation	12	2	2	16	13.01%
5]	Above knee amputation	3	1	-	4	3.25%
	TOTAL	112	3	8	123	100%

[Table/Fig-5]: Showing distribution of different procedures according to Amit Jain's classification of diabetic foot complications



healing ulcer with infective gangrene and underlying peripheral vascular disease

the major amputations occurred in this group. while, abscess was the commonest type 1 diabetic foot complications in Jain et al., series, and 8.89% of the patients underwent major amputations in this group [7].

Majority of the patients (n=112) belonged to type 1 DF complication, 13.39% patients underwent major amputation, with one death in the patient with NF, in this group. 25% of patients underwent major amputation in type 3 while all the three patients [100%] belonging to type 2, underwent major amputation though there were no amputations in type 2 and 3 patients in the Jain et al., series [14].

Amit Jain's classification could thus include all the diabetic foot complications in the various subgroups, that were encountered during the study period in a simplified way. It could possibly be a good teaching and communicative tool and may help in timing the management.

CONCLUSION

In our study, as per Amit Jain's classification type 1 diabetic foot complications were the most common complication encountered by the treating surgeon. Debridement was the most common procedure performed in type 1 complication followed by toe amputation. Major amputations occurred more frequently in type 1 diabetic foot complications. As this is a new classification, few more studies will be required to predict the clinical outcome.

REFERENCES

- Suliman MO, Salim OE, Ahmed ME. Major lower limb amputations in diabetics. KMJ. 2012;5(1):694-98.
- [2] Shah SF, Hameed S, et al. Evaluation and management of diabetic foot: A multicentric study conducted at Rawalpindi, Islamabad. Ann Pak Ins Med Sci. 2011;7(4):233-37.
- [3] Eskelinen E, Eskelinen A, Alback A, Lepantalo M. Major amputation incidence decreases both in nondiabetic and in diabetic patients in Helsinki. *Scand J Surg.* 2006;95:185-89.

- [4] Muquim RU, Griffin S, Ahmed M. Evalutation and management of diabetic foot according to wagner's classification- A study of 100 cases. J Ayub Med Coll Abbottabad. 2003;15(3):39-42.
- [5] Adam Ma, Hamza AA, Ibrahim AE. Diabetic septic foot in Omdurman teaching hospital. Sudan JMS. 2009;4(2):129-32.
- [6] Jain AKC. A new classification of diabetic foot complications: A simple and effective teaching tool. J Diab Foot Comp. 2012;4(1):1-5.
- [7] Jain AKC, Joshi S. Diabetic foot classifications: Review of literature. *Medicine science*. 2013;2(3):715-21.
- [8] Veves A, Giurini JM, Logerfo FW. In: The diabetic foot- medical and surgical management. 3rd ed. *Humana press*, USA, 2012.
- [9] Chalya PL, Mabula JB, et al. Surgical management of diabetic foot ulcers: A Tanzanian university teaching hospital experience. *BMC Research notes*. 2011;4:365.

PARTICULARS OF CONTRIBUTORS:

1. Associate Professor, Department of General Surgery, M S Ramaiah Medical College, Bangalore, Karnataka, India.

NAME, ADDRESS, E-MAIL ID OF THE CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:

Dr. Kalaivani V,

#20/1, Payappa Garden, Tasker Town, Queen's Road Down, Bangalore, Karnataka - 560051, India. Phone : 9945090285, E-mail : dr.vani_rajan@yahoo.com

FINANCIAL OR OTHER COMPETING INTERESTS: None.

- [10] Boulton Al, Armstrong DG, Albert SF, Frykberg RG, Hellman R, Kirkman MS, et al. Comprehensive foot examination and risk assessment. *Diabetes Care*. 2008;31:1679-85.
- [11] Frykberg RG. Diabetic foot ulcers: pathogenesis and management. *Am Fam Phys.* 2002;66:1655-62.
- [12] Singh G, Chawla S. Amputation in diabetic patients. MJAFI. 2006;52:36-39.
- [13] Altunbas H, Balci MK, Karayalan U. A retrospective analysis of hospitalized diabetic foot patients in Akdeniz university school of medicine, Division of Endocrinology. *Turk J Endo Met.* 1999;3:123-27.
- [14] Jain AKC, Viswanath S. Distribution and analysis of diabetic foot. OA Case Reports. 2013;2(12):117.

Date of Submission: Jun 20, 2014 Date of Peer Review: Sep 01, 2014 Date of Acceptance: Oct 12, 2014 Date of Publishing: Dec 05, 2014