An Impacted Denture in the Oesophagus-An Endoscopic or A Surgical Emergency-A Case Report

ABSTRACT
Accidentally swallowed dentures can lead to severe complications in the gastrointestinal tract. Therefore, an early detection and an effective therapeutic management are important. In the era of advanced endoscopy, though the primary treatment of an impacted foreign body in the oesophagus is an endoscopic removal, a primary surgical treatment is advised for dentures with sharp hooks. Also, a prolonged impaction of dentures with sharp hooks leads to an increase in the complication rates. We are reporting a case of accidentally swallowed denture. After the diagnosis of an impacted denture was made, upper GI endoscopy revealed that an endoscopic removal was not feasible and thus, a late surgical removal was performed in the form of a transhiatal oesophagectomy and reconstruction. This case highlights the importance of an early and preferred treatment in the form of a controlled surgical intervention as compared to an endoscopic extraction for patients with impacted dentures with sharp hooks.

INTRODUCTION
Impacted foreign bodies in the oesophagus are common both in adults as well as in children. In children, coins, pieces of toys, etc. are the commonly seen foreign bodies, while in adults, orthodontic appliances are the most common cause of an accidental swallowing. An accidental ingestion of an appliance can create a medical or a surgical emergency that can lead to serious complications, including death from aspiration of the foreign body [1]. Because of their sizes, rigidity and pointed edges; dentures get frequently impacted in the oesophagus and are difficult to retrieve endoscopically [2].

CASE REPORT
A 40 years old male patient, a labourer by occupation, reported to the emergency department of our hospital with the complaint of accidental swallowing of the upper denture, around 5 days back. On questioning, he couldn’t tell the shape of the swallowed denture. He had fever (100°F) with raised total leucocyte counts (14000), without any respiratory distress. He had no history of a significant dysphagia. He also had a history of getting this denture removed endoscopically around 4 days back but could not be successful. On examining the endoscopic report, he was found to have an impacted denture at 40 cm from the incisor tooth [Table/Fig-1]. As the patient was very poor, a CECT scan was not performed. A plain X-ray of the chest didn’t reveal any evidence of any pleural effusion or any pneumomediastinum. Keeping in view, the impacted denture at the lower end of the oesophagus, a laparotomy was planned, with removal of the denture. On laparotomy, it was found that there was inflammation at the GE junction and that the denture was impacted in the lower oesophagus instead of the GE junction, which was removed (which consisted of four teeth along with the jaw plate and the hook) after an oesophagotomy was made in the anterior wall of the lower one third of the oesophagus. Around 7-8 cm of the posterior and the lateral walls of the lower oesophagus were necrotic because of the impaction of the sharp hooks as well as the denture. As such, there was no periesophageal soilage. Keeping in view, the large extent of the oesophageal involvement, a transhiatal oesophagectomy was planned, which was done successfully. Post op, he had a leakage from the neck anastomosis, which got healed in 2 weeks. At follow up after 3 months, he was doing well.

DISCUSSION
Though coins are the commonest foreign bodies which are seen in the oesophagus in children, dentures are common in aged people due to the decreased sensation of the oral cavity in denture wearers and the poor motor control of the laryngopharynx [3]. Following foreign body ingestion, the patients usually present with dysphagia (92%). The other symptoms include hypersalivation, retrostern-
nal fullness, regurgitation of undigested food, and odynophagia. Sometimes, the patient can be asymptomatic in the early period of ingestion of the foreign body, which can lead to a voluntary or an involuntary delay in the presentation, as it happened in our case. A radiological imaging can determine the exact site of the radio-opaque impacted foreign body. Dentures, however, are frequently made of acrylic resin, which is a radiolucent material and thus, they are difficult to assess on plain X-Rays, though the radio-opaque wire hooks of the dentures can sometimes be seen, if they are present [1,4]. Most of the swallowed foreign bodies are impacted at the cervical and the upper oesophagus. The small dentures without hooks usually travel through the alimentary tract to the anus. A prolonged impaction of the dentures with sharp hooks in the oesophagus can cause peri-oesophagitis, necrosis and perforation of the wall or a fistula formation. It has been reported that more than 24 hours after the ingestion, the rate of complications multiplies from 3.2% at 24 hours to as high as 23.5% after 48 hours [4]. Thus, there is no room for an expectant or a conservative management in the cases of impacted dentures, as the risk of the complications increase, the longer it takes before an appropriate intervention. Few reports have described the usefulness of an endoscopic removal, even for sharp or penetrating foreign bodies [5-7]. However, the sharp hooks of the dentures are likely to damage the oesophageal or the pharyngeal wall [3]. Consequently, it is difficult to remove the dentures endoscopically. Many articles have supported this primarily surgical approach over an endoscopic removal for sharp dentures [2-4], [8-9].

CONCLUSION

The experience of this present case illustrates that the prompt management of an impacted denture in the oesophagus is preferably surgical rather than endoscopic. Also, if the patient does not have dysphagia, even than, the patient should be investigated thoroughly with respect to the position of the denture and its associated complications and it should be treated as early as possible, so that any catastrophe can be prevented.
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