Section	
Physiology	

Hypertension in Asymptomatic, Young Medical Students with Parental History of Hypertension

SIMRAN SIDHU¹, ARUSHIE SADHWANI², MALAVIKA MITTAL³, VEDDANT SHARMA⁴, HANJABAM BARUN SHARMA⁵, SOUMEN MANNA⁶

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Family history of hypertension in medical students is an important, non-modifiable risk factor for hypertension in future.

Aim: To determine the prevalence of sustained hypertension in young asymptomatic medical students with a parental history of hypertension.

Materials and Methods: A cross-sectional study was conducted in a medical college of Dehradun. A total of 104 medical students with parental history of hypertension (Group A) and 100 medical students without a parental history of hypertension (Group B) were included. Electronically blood pressures were recorded on two separate occasions at an interval of 15 days. Comparison was done using Chi-square test/Likelihood ratio, Un-paired t-test and ANCOVA. **Results:** Overall, Group A had significantly higher percentage of prehypertensive (56.7%) and hypertensive (17.3%) students as compared to Group B which were 19% and 1%, respectively. Group A students had significantly higher Systolic Blood Pressure (SBP) and Diastolic Blood Pressure (DBP) as compared to Group B, even after controlling for the differences in Body Mass Index (BMI) and gender (p<0.001).

Conclusion: Hypertension was significantly higher in asymptomatic, healthy medical students with parental history of hypertension as compared to those with normotensive parents. We need to orient medical students to improve their knowledge, attitude and lifestyle practices early in life to prevent, treat hypertension and prevent its subsequent morbidity and mortality.

Keywords: Future risk, Medical undergraduates, Raised resting blood pressure

INTRODUCTION

Hypertension was one of the three most important risk factors for global disease burden in 2010 [1]. In India, about 1/3rd of the urban population and 1/4th of the rural population are hypertensive and most of them are unaware of their hypertension [2]. Reports state that 57% of all stroke deaths and 24% of all coronary heart disease related death in India are directly related to hypertension [3].

Although, hypertension is more prevalent in older populations, young adults (including the active young athletes) are not free from the disease [4]. Special attention must be given to the young adult hypertension as in most of the cases, it remains undiagnosed and needs early treatment [5]. Epidemiological data from India reported that approximately 12.7% hypertensive population [6] with varying prevalence of hypertension and vascular disease occurred below 40 years of age [7].

Presence of family history of hypertension in medical students is an important non-modifiable risk factor for hypertension in future since about 30% of the Blood Pressure (BP) variance can be attributed to genetic factors [8-11], and was found to vary from 25% in pedigree studies to 65% in twin studies. Hence, screening young adults with parental history of hypertension can lead to early detection of hypertension and treatment before possible end-organ damage. In our study, we aim to determine the prevalence of sustained hypertension in young asymptomatic adults with parental history of hypertension.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This cross-sectional study was carried out in the Department of Physiology, Himalayan Institute of Medical Sciences (HIMS), Dehradun, Uttarakhand, India, from 21st July 2016 to 21st September 2016. The study was approved by the Institutional Ethical Committee.

A total of 104 healthy asymptomatic, medical students between 18-22 years of age (42 males and 62 females), who had a family history (mother and/or father) of hypertension were chosen as cases (Group A). Another 100 healthy, asymptomatic age-matched medical students (39 males and 61 females), without a family history of hypertension were taken as controls (Group B). Exclusion criteria for both groups were: a) students with a history of known medical or surgical disorders; b) history of corticosteroid usage in the past year; c) history of antidepressant medication usage.

A preformed questionnaire was given to the subject before inclusion into the study. The preformed questionnaire included medical history of his/her parents mainly focusing on Hypertension and Cardiovascular Disease (CVD) events. The subjects were enrolled after obtaining an informed written consent. Height (HT) and Body Weight (BW) were measured for all subjects. BMI was calculated as BW in kg divided by square root of HT in meter.

Blood pressure and Heart Rate (HR) were measured once a day using an electronic sphygmomanometer (LotFancy FDA Approved Digital Upper Arm Blood Pressure Monitor and Heart Rate Monitor: Medium and Large Cuffs). Blood pressure was measured in the sitting position, after a minimum five minutes of rest. The readings were taken from both right and left arm of the subjects. The higher BP reading was taken as the reference.

First reading (Day 0): One reading was taken at baseline and another reading was taken after one minute. An average of the two BP readings was calculated and recorded to represent the patient's blood pressure (SBP-1 and DBP-1) on day 0. Similarly, an average of two readings of heart rate was also taken (HR-1).

Second reading (15th day): After 15 days, the same procedure was followed to record the blood pressure (SBP-2 and DBP-2) and heart rate (HR-2) from the same subject. All other precautions for BP recording were taken according to the guidelines [12-14].

An overall average BP reading was then calculated as the arithmetic mean of day 0 BP and day 15th BP readings. This value was used to classify the subject into normal or prehypertensive or hypertensive category, based on Joint National Committe (JNC) VII guidelines which are as follows [15-16]:

• Hypertensive: SBP ≥140 or DBP ≥90 mmHg

Simran Sidhu et al., Hypertension in Medical Students with Parental History of Hypertension

- Prehypertensive: SBP is 120-139 mmHg or DBP 80-89 mmHg.
- Normal: SBP <120 and DBP <80 mmHg.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Data were presented in Mean±Standard Deviation (SD). Frequency of normotensives, prehypertensives and hypertensives were determined. Comparison of frequencies between the two groups as per gender were done using Pearson's Chi-square test or Likelihood ratio, if >20% of the expected counts were <5. Subsequent Post-Hoc testing was done as described earlier with Bonferroni adjusted p-value [17-19]. The comparison of frequency of various BMI classes was also done using Pearson Chi-Square test. An un-paired t-test was used for comparison of selected parameters between the study groups. Subsequently, one-way ANCOVA (analysis of covariance) was used for the comparison after controlling for the differences in BMI and gender. For all the analyses, statistical significance was chosen at p-value (2-tailed) of <0.05, except for the Post-Hoc testing in the Pearson's Chi-square analysis, where the p-value (2-tailed) was set at <0.0083. Statistical Package for Social Science (SPSS) version 19 was used.

RESULTS

The frequency distribution of normotensives, prehypertensives and hypertensives among the studied subjects is shown in [Table/Fig-1]. Of all the studied subjects, the percentages of prehypertensives and hypertensives were 38.2% (male: 48.1% and female: 31.7%) and 9.3% (male: 17.3% and female: 4.1%) respectively [Table/Fig-1].

Out of all the students with positive parental history of hypertension (Group A), 17.3% and 56.7% were hypertensive and prehypertensive respectively, which were statistically significant (p<0.001) [Table/Fig-2]. On gender-wise analysis, in Group A, 31.0% of the male and 53.2% of the female students were hypertensive and prehypertensive respectively [Table/Fig-2]. They were statistically significant (p<0.001). Maximum of the students (statistically significant: 80% overall; 64.1% among males and 90.2% among females) with negative parental history of hypertension (Group B) had normal BP [Table/Fig-2].

The frequency distribution of underweight, normal, overweight and obese

BP Classification	Gender	Frequency (%)				
	Males (n=81)	28 (34.6%)				
Normotensive	Females (n=123)	79 (64.2%)				
	Total (n=204)	107 (52.5%)				
	Males (n=81)	39 (48.1%)				
Prehypertensive	Females (n=123)	39 (31.7)				
	Total (n=204)	78 (38.2%)				
	Males (n=81)	14 (17.3%)				
Hypertensive	Females (n=123)	5 (4.1%)				
	Total (n=204)	19 (9.3%)				
[Table/Fig-1]: Frequency distribution of normotensives, prehypertensives and hypertensives among the studied subjects.						

among the studied subjects is shown in [Table/Fig-3]. The classification was based on BMI [20-23]. In our study, 22.5% (male: 23.5% and female: 22%) subjects with BMI \geq 25 kg/m² and 12.3% (male: 9.9% and female: 13.8%) subjects with BMI \geq 27.5 kg/m² were obese, whereas 17.6% (male: 27.2% and female: 11.4%) subjects with BMI 23 to <25 kg/m² and 27.9% (male: 40.7% and female: 19.5%) subjects with BMI 23 to <27.5 kg/m² were overweight [Table/Fig-3].

However, when the comparison of the frequency of different BMI classes was done between the two groups, they had statistically comparable or similar distribution [Table/Fig-4]. Although, Group A students had significantly higher BMI as compared to that of Group B (p<0.001) when the analysis was done among the females and all the students as a whole [Table/Fig-5].

Group A also had statistically higher SBP-1,2 and DBP-1,2 as

		Blood Pressure Groups					
Subjects	Group	Normo- tensive tensive		Hyperten- sive	χ², df p- value		
Males	A (n=42, 100%)	3 (7.1%)*	26 (61.9%)	13 (31.0%)*	31.84, 2		
(n=81)	B (n=39, 100%)	25 (64.1%)*	13 (33.3%)	1 (2.6%)*	[<0.001]**		
Females (n=123)	A (n=62, 100%)	24 (38.7%)*	33 (53.2%)*	5 (8.1%)	39.999, 2 [<0.001]**#		
	B (n=61, 100%)	55 (90.2%)*	6 (9.8%)*	0 (0.0%)			
All combined	A (n=104, 100%)	27 (26%)*	59 (56.7%)*	18 (17.3%)*	61.92, 2		
(n=204)	B (n=100, 100%)	80 (80%)*	19 (19%)*	1 (1%)*	[<0.001]**		
[Table/Fig-2]: Comparison of the frequency of normotensives, prehypertensives and hypertensives between the two groups as per gender. *p-value≤0.0083: significant; **p-value≤0.01: highly significant. Pearson Chi-Square test. #Likeli- hood Ratio. χ ² = Chi-Square, df=degree of freedom. Group A= +ve family history of hypertension hypertension, and Group B= -ve family history of hypertension.							

compared to those of Group B. This was also true when analysis was done separately on each gender [Table/Fig-5]. These statistical significant differences between the two groups were even present when the differences in BMI and gender were controlled [Table/Fig-5]. This was not the case for HR-2, the difference of which between

;) (es (3) (4) (5) () (es (3)	<18.5 kg/m² 18.5 to <23 kg/	7 (8.6%) 12 (9.8%) 19 (9.3%) 33 (40.7%)	<18.5 kg/m²	7 (8.6%) 12 (9.8%) 19 (9.3%)
(3) (4) (5) (es	kg/m ² 18.5 to	(9.8%) 19 (9.3%) 33	<18.5 kg/m ²	19 (9.3%)
) les		(9.3%) 33		
) les				00 (40 70()
				33 (40.7%)
	<23 kg/ m ²	70 (56.9%)	18.5 to <23 kg/m²	70 (56.9%)
4)		103 (50.5%)		103 (50.5%)
;)		22 (27.2%)		33 (40.7%)
les :3)	23 to <25 kg/ m ²	14 (11.4%)	23 to <27.5 kg/m²	24 (19.5%)
4)		36 (17.6%)		57 (27.9%)
;)		19 (23.5%)		8 (9.9%)
les 3)	≥25 kg/ m²	27 (22.0%)	≥27.5 kg/m²	17 (13.8%)
		46 (22.5%)		25 (12.3%)
) les (3) (4)) les 3) 25 kg/ m ² 4) ency distribution o) les 3) 225 kg/ m ² 27 (22.0%) 46 (22.5%) 46 (22.5%) ency distribution of underweig) les 3) ≥25 kg/ m ² 27 (22.0%) ≥27.5 kg/m ² 46

the two groups was significant among the females and when all the students were analyzed as a whole; but became non-significant when differences in BMI and gender were controlled [Table/Fig-5]. Both the groups had comparable or similar age and HR-1 [Table/Fig-5].

DISCUSSION

Prehypertensive adolescents and young adults are more prone to develop hypertension in later years of life [24]. Moreover, prehypertension in young adolescents is associated with other

<18.5 kg/m ² (Under- weight)	18.5 to <23kg/m² (Normal)	23 to <25 kg/m² (Over- weight)	≥25 kg/ m² (Obese)	χ², df p- value		
6 (5.8%)	50 (48.1%)	19 (18.3%)	29 (27.9%)	5.83, 3		
13 (13.0%)	53 (53.0%)	17 (17.0%)	17 (17.0%)	[0.120]		
<18.5 kg/m ² (Under- weight)	18.5 to <23 kg/m² (Normal)	23 to <27.5 kg/m² (Over- weight)	≥27.5 kg/m² (Obese)	χ², df p- value		
6 (5.8%)	50 (48.1%)	30 (28.8%)	18 (17.3%)	7.59, 3		
13 (13.0%)	53 (53.0%)	27 (27.0%)	7 (7.0%)	[0.055]		
	(Under- weight) 6 (5.8%) 13 (13.0%) <18.5 kg/m ² (Under- weight) 6 (5.8%)	<18.5 kg/m²	(Underweight) <23kg/m² (Normal) kg/m² (Overweight) 6 (5.8%) 50 (48.1%) 19 (18.3%) 13 (13.0%) 53 (53.0%) 17 (17.0%) BMI Classification-2 <18.5 kg/m² (Underweight)	<18.5 kg/m² (Under- weight) 18.5 to <23 kg/m² (Normal) 23 to <25 kg/m² (Over- weight) ≥25 kg/ m² (Obese) 6 (5.8%) 50 (48.1%) 19 (18.3%) 29 (27.9%) 13 (13.0%) 53 (53.0%) 17 (17.0%) 17 (17.0%) BMI Classification-2 <18.5 kg/m² (Under- weight) 18.5 to <23 kg/m² (Normal) 23 to <27.5 kg/m² (Over- weight) ≥27.5 kg/m² (Obese) 6 (5.8%) 50 (48.1%) 30 (28.8%) 18 (17.3%)		

two groups. Pearson Chi-square test. χ^2 = Chi-square, df=degree of freedom. Group A= +ve family history of by Patnaik A, Choudhury KC showed prehypertensives were 64% and hypertensives were 3% among asymptomatic medical students [35]. In our study, 9.3% and 38.2% were prehypertensives and hypertensives respectively [Table/Fig-1].

Hypertension among medical students may be due to high levels of stress [36,37]. The tendency to eat more junk food among the students is also correlated to high systolic BP [34]. Higher percentage of hypertension among medical students with a family history of hypertension may be linked to genetic factors. Among the various mechanisms proposed to explain the relation between hypertension and a positive family history are genetic traits related to high blood pressure such as high sodium-lithium counter-transport, low urinary kallikrein excretion, elevated uric acid level, high fasting plasma insulin concentrations, Low Density Lipoprpotein (LDL) subfractions, higher BMI [38-40].

While screening young undergraduate medical students in our study, we identified a significant number of individuals [38.2% (male: 48.1% and female: 31.7%)] in the prehypertension category, stressing the need to initiate screening strategies at an earlier age, so that major health gains can be made through the implementation of primary prevention strategies.

Subjects		Parameters (Mean±SD)								
	Group	Age (years)	BW (kg)	BMI (kg/m²)	SBP-1 (mmHg)	SBP-2 (mmHg)	DBP-1 (mmHg)	DBP-2 (mmHg)	HR-1 (bpm)	HR-2 (bpm)
	A (n=42)	19.24±.93	70.15±14.09	23.49±5.65	133.74±12.21	131.90±10.54	80.88±9.93	79.80±9.49	85.95±11.23	84.17±10.27
Males	B (n=39)	19.26±.82	65.08±8.84	22.24±3.34	117.01±9.31	119.08±10.88	74.01±8.93	74.38±8.01	82.88±12.16	82.71±9.93
(n=81)	p-value	0.926	0.058	0.235	<0.001**	<0.001**	0.002**	0.007**	0.241	0.518
	#p-value	-			<0.001**	<0.001**	0.003**	0.012*	0.276	0.551
Females (n=123)	A (n=62)	19.37±.85	62.95±13.22	23.35±4.21	120.27±11.45	120.39±13.49	77.40±8.91	76.44±8.86	86.43±9.27	88.21±9.87
	B (n=61)	19.10±.85	57.38±9.72	21.69±3.99	110.74±10.99	107.16±8.79	71.00±7.85	68.70±6.26	83.50±11.73	84.38±9.72
	p-value	0.079	0.009**	0.027*	<0.001**	<0.001**	<0.001**	<0.001**	0.127	0.032*
	#p-value	-			<0.001**	<0.001**	0.001**	<0.001**	0.354	0.128
All combined (n=204)	A (n=104)	19.32±.88	65.86±13.97	23.41±4.82	125.71±13.45	125.04±13.58	78.80±9.44	77.80±9.22	86.24±10.06	86.58±10.18
	B (n=100)	19.16±.84	60.38±10.08	21.91±3.74	113.19±10.77	111.81±11.24	72.18±8.37	70.92±7.49	83.26±11.84	83.73±9.79
	p-value	0.194	0.002**	0.014*	<0.001**	<0.001**	<0.001**	<0.001**	0.054	0.043*
	#^p-value		-		<0.001**	<0.001**	<0.001**	<0.001**	0.136	0.105

[Table/Fig-5]: Comparison of selected parameters between the two groups as per gender. *p-value<0.05: significant; **p-value<0.01: highly significant. Unpaired t test. # One way ANCOVA: Covariate: BMI, and ^BMI and Gender. SD=Standard deviation. Group A= +ve family history of hy-

ohertension and Group B= -ve family history of hyphertension.

cardiovascular risk factors in the future [25]. So, if asymptomatic young adults with parental history of hypertension have a higher prevalence of prehypertension and hypertension than the control group, it may indicate that they are also more prone to adverse cardiovascular consequences in future. Hence, screening young adults with a parental history of hypertension would lead to early detection of hypertension and treatment before end organ damage occurs.

Our study recorded approximately 17.3% prevalence of hypertension and 56.7% prehypertension among undergraduate medical students whose parents had hypertension [Table/Fig-2]. This is significantly more than the prevalence rate of hypertension in students whose parents are normotensive.

Globally, numerous epidemiological studies reported that there is a high prevalence of obesity and hypertension among medical and university students in Scotland [26], United Arab Emirates [27], Nigeria [28], USA [29], Brazil [30], Colombia [31], Uganda [32], Ethiopia [33], and many parts of the world. In our study, 22.5% and 17.6% were obese (BMI: \geq 25 kg/m²) and overweight (BMI: 23 to <25 kg/m²) respectively [Table/Fig-3]. In a study conducted in Nepal on asymptomatic medical student 17-21 years of age has shown that 10.25% were hypertensive and 6.83% were prehypertensive [34]. In comparison, study conducted on Odisha medical students

LIMITATION

Considering a single visit to ascertain hypertension status might lead to an overestimation of its prevalence. Extrapolation of our results to general population may not be possible as sample size was less and our study was only on medical students excluding general population.

CONCLUSION

The prevalence of hypertension in undergraduate medical students was much higher in those with hypertensive parents. Hypertension remains asymptomatic until complications like coronary artery disease, stroke, and renal failure develop. Undergraduate medical students are the future health care professionals of our nation; hence, it is very important to screen these students especially those with a genetic risk of hypertension in the form of positive family history. We need to devise educational programs on hypertension among this cohort of students, to improve their knowledge, attitude and lifestyle practices early in life to prevent and treat hypertension and its subsequent morbidity and mortality.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank all participating students as well as their family members for this cooperation. Sincere thanks to Dr. Archana Pandey Ojha, Postgraduate trainee, SRH University, Dehradun for helping us. We would also like to thank all the staffs members in Department of Physiology, SRH University, Dehradun.

REFERENCES

- [1] Lim SS, Vos T, Flaxman AD, Danaei G, Shibuya K, Adair-Rohani H, et al. A comparative risk assessment of burden of disease and injury attributable to 67 risk factors and risk factor clusters in 21 regions, 1990-2010: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010. Lancet. 2012;380(9859):2224-60.
- [2] Anchala R, Kannuri NK, Pant H, Khan H, Franco OH, Di Angelantonio E, et al. Hypertension in India: a systematic review and meta-analysis of prevalence, awareness, and control of hypertension. J Hypertens. 2014;32(6):1170-77.
- [3] Gupta R. Trends in hypertension epidemiology in India. J Hum Hypertens. 2004;18(2):73-78.
- [4] De Venecia T, Lu M, Figueredo VM. Hypertension in young adults. Postgrad Med. 2016;128(2):201-07.
- [5] Johnson HM, Thorpe CT, Bartels CM, Schumacher JR, Palta M, Pandhi N, et al. Undiagnosed hypertension among young adults with regular primary care use. J Hypertens. 2014;32(1):65-74.
- [6] Joshi SR, Saboo B, Vadivale M, Dani SI, Mithal A, Kaul U, et al. Prevalence of diagnosed and undiagnosed diabetes and hypertension in India-results from the Screening India's Twin Epidemic (SITE) study. Diabetes Technol Ther. 2012;14(1):08-15.
- [7] Dalal J, Sethi KK, Kerkar PG, Ray S, Guha S, Hiremath MS. Vascular Disease in young Indians (20-40 years): Role of hypertension. J Clin Diagn Res. 2016;10(8):OE01-OE06.
- [8] Moga A, Orha I. The role of genetic and environmental factors in the origin of arteriosclerosis and essential arterial hypertension. Stud Cercet Med Interna. 1968;9(3):211-22.
- [9] Meyer P. Genetic factors in essential arterial hypertension. Rev Prat. 1979;29(55):4205-08.
- [10] Stoica O, Azoicai D, Ungureanu G, Ciochina AD. Role of genetic factors in detecting essential arterial hypertension (EAHT). Rev Med Chir Soc Med Nat Iasi. 1992;96(3-4):319-22.
- [11] Noa Ortega FH, Castillo Herrera J, Heredero Baute L, Castro Garcia A, Bacallao Gallestey J, Rojas Ramos M. Genetic and environmental factors in essential arterial hypertension in an urban population of Cuba. Arch Inst Cardiol Mex. 1995;65(5):426-34.
- [12] Pickering TG, Hall JE, Appel LJ, Falkner BE, Graves J, Hill MN, et al. Recommendations for blood pressure measurement in humans and experimental animals: part 1: blood pressure measurement in humans: a statement for professionals from the Subcommittee of Professional and Public Education of the American Heart Association Council on High Blood Pressure Research. Circulation. 2005;111(5):697-716.
- [13] Kurtz TW, Griffin KA, Bidani AK, Davisson RL, Hall JE, Subcommittee of P, et al. Recommendations for blood pressure measurement in humans and experimental animals. Part 2: Blood pressure measurement in experimental animals: a statement for professionals from the subcommittee of professional and public education of the American Heart Association council on high blood pressure research. Hypertension. 2005;45(2):299-310.
- [14] Pickering TG, Hall JE, Appel LJ, Falkner BE, Graves J, Hill MN, et al. Recommendations for blood pressure measurement in humans and experimental animals: Part 1: blood pressure measurement in humans: a statement for professionals from the Subcommittee of Professional and Public Education of the American Heart Association Council on High Blood Pressure Research. Hypertension. 2005;45(1):142-61.
- [15] Chobanian AV, Bakris GL, Black HR, Cushman WC, Green LA, Izzo JL Jr., et al. The Seventh Report of the Joint National Committee on Prevention, Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Pressure: the JNC 7 report. JAMA. 2003;289(19):2560-72.
- [16] Jones DW, Hall JE. Seventh report of the Joint National Committee on Prevention, Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Pressure and evidence from new hypertension trials. Hypertension. 2004;43(1):01-03.
- [17] Beasley TM, Schumacker RE. Multiple regression approach to analyzing contingency tables: Post hoc and planned comparison procedures. The Journal of Experimental Education. 1995;64(1):79-93.
- [18] Garcia-Perez MA, Nunez-Anton V. Cellwise residual analysis in twoway contingency tables. Educational and Psychological Measurement. 2003;63(5):825-39.

- [19] MacDonald PL, Gardner RC. Type I error rate comparisons of post hoc procedures for I j Chi-Square tables. Educational and psychological measurement. 2000;60(5):735-54.
- [20] Misra A, Chowbey P, Makkar BM, Vikram NK, Wasir JS, Chadha D, et al. Consensus statement for diagnosis of obesity, abdominal obesity and the metabolic syndrome for Asian Indians and recommendations for physical activity, medical and surgical management. The J Asso Physician India. 2009;57:163-70.
- [21] Sharma HB, Shrivastava A, Saxena Y, Sharma A. Cardiorespiratory fitness and heart rate recovery in Type-II Diabetic males: The Effect of Adiposity. Indian J Physiol Pharmacol.2016;60(3):260-67.
- [22] Stegenga H, Haines A, Jones K, Wilding J. Identification, assessment, and management of overweight and obesity: summary of updated NICE guidance. BMJ. 2014;349:g6608.
- [23] Pradeepa R, Anjana RM, Joshi SR, Bhansali A, Deepa M, Joshi PP et al., Prevalence of generalized and abdominal obesity in urban and rural India-the ICMR-INDIAB Study (Phase-I) [ICMR- NDIAB-3]. Indian J Med Res. 2015;142(2):139-50.
- [24] Redwine KM, Falkner B. Progression of prehypertension to hypertension in adolescents. Curr Hypertens Rep. 2012;14(6):619-25.
- [25] Assadi F. Prehypertension: a warning sign of future cardiovascular risk. Int J Prev Med. 2014;5(Suppl 1):S4-S9.
- [26] Smith GD, McCarron P, Okasha M, McEwen J. Social circumstances in childhood and cardiovascular disease mortality: prospective observational study of Glasgow University students. J Epidemiol Community Health. 2001;55(5):340-41.
- [27] Al Dhaheri AS, Mohamad MN, Jarrar AH, Ohuma EO, Ismail LC, Al Meqbaali FT, et al. A cross-sectional study of the prevalence of metabolic syndrome among young female emirati adults. PLoS One. 2016;11(7):e0159378.
- [28] Adams-Campbell LL, Nwankwo MU, Omene JA, Ukoli FA, Young MP, Haile GT, et al. Assessment of cardiovascular risk factors in Nigerian students. Arteriosclerosis. 1988;8(6):793-96.
- [29] Huang TT, Harris KJ, Lee RE, Nazir N, Born W, Kaur H. Assessing overweight, obesity, diet, and physical activity in college students. J Am Coll Health. 2003;52(2):83-86.
- [30] Costa Silva Zemdegs J, Barreto Corsi L, De Castro Coelho L, Duarte Pimentel G, Toyomi Hirai A, Sachs A. Lipid profile and cardiovascular risk factors among firstyear Brazilian university students in Sao Paulo. Nutr Hosp. 2011;26(3):553-59.
- [31] Feliciano-Alfonso JE, Mendivil CO, Ariza ID, Perez CE. Cardiovascular risk factors and metabolic syndrome in a population of young students from the National University of Colombia. Rev Assoc Med Bras. 2010;56(3):293-98.
- [32] Nyombi KV, Kizito S, Mukunya D, Nabukalu A, Bukama M, Lunyera J, et al. High prevalence of hypertension and cardiovascular disease risk factors among medical students atMakerere University College of Health Sciences, Kampala, Uganda. BMC Res Notes. 2016;9:110.
- [33] Tadesse T, Alemu H. Hypertension and associated factors among university students in Gondar, Ethiopia: a cross-sectional study. BMC Public Health. 2014;14:937.
- [34] Khaliq F, Gupta K, Singh P. Stress, autonomic reactivity and blood pressure among undergraduate medical students. J Nepal Med Assoc. 2010;49(177):14-8.
- [35] Patnaik A, Choudhury KC. Assessment of risk factors associated with hypertension among undergraduate medical students in a medical college in Odisha. Adv Biomed Res. 2015;4:38.
- [36] Iqbal S, Gupta S, Venkatarao E. Stress, anxiety and depression among medical undergraduate students and their socio-demographic correlates. Indian J Med Res. 2015;141(3):354-57.
- [37] Behere SP, Yadav R, Behere PB. A comparative study of stress among students of medicine, engineering, and nursing. Indian J Psychol Med. 2011;33(2):145-48.
- [38] Hilton PJ. Genetic and environmental factors influencing cellular sodium transport in essential hypertension. J Clin Hypertens. 1986;2(2):109-13.
- [39] Song Y, Xu W, Chen Y, Che L, Wang Q, Zhou X, et al. The influences of genetic and environmental factors on plasma plasminogen activator inhibitor-1 levels in patients with essential hypertension. Zhonghua Yi Xue Yi Chuan Xue ZaZhi. 1999;16(6):374-76.
- [40] Haenni A, Reneland R, Lind L, Lithell H. Serum aldosterone changes during hyperinsulinemia are correlated to body mass index and insulin sensitivity in patients with essential hypertension. J Hypertens. 2001;19(1):107-12.

PARTICULARS OF CONTRIBUTORS:

- 1. Medical Student, Department of Physiology, Swami Rama Himalayan University, Dehradun, Uttarakhand, India.
- 2. Medical Student, Department of Physiology, Swami Rama Himalayan University, Dehradun, Uttarakhand, India.
- 3. Medical Student, Department of Physiology, Swami Rama Himalayan University, Dehradun, Uttarakhand, India.
- 4. Medical Student, Department of Physiology, Swami Rama Himalayan University, Dehradun, Uttarakhand, India.
- Senior Resident, Department of Physiology, All India Institute of Medical Sciences, New Delhi, India.
 Assistant Professor, Department of Physiology, VMMC and Safdarjung Hospital, New Delhi, India.

C. Assistant i rolessol, Department of i riyslology, vivilvio and Saldaljung hospital, New De

NAME, ADDRESS, E-MAIL ID OF THE CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:

Dr. Soumen Manna,

Assistant Professor, Department of Physiology, VMMC and Safdarjung Hospital, New Delhi-110029, India. E-mail: drsoumen.manna@gmail.com

FINANCIAL OR OTHER COMPETING INTERESTS: None.

Date of Submission: Jul 21, 2017 Date of Peer Review: Oct 10, 2017 Date of Acceptance: Oct 29, 2017 Date of Publishing: Nov 01, 2017