DOI: 10.7860/JCDR/2018/29729.11025

Original Article

Internal Medicine

Antihypertensive Prescription Pattern, Self-reported Reasons for Non adherence to Antihypertensives and Lifestyle Practices among the Elderly

MELITA SHEILINI¹, H MANJUNATHA HANDE², MUKHYAPRANA PRABHU³, MAMATHA SHIVANANDA PAI⁴, ELSA SANATOMBI DEVI⁵, ASHA KAMATH⁶, ANICE GEORGE⁷

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Adherence to antihypertensives is not to be assumed just because people seek help. It is a complex issue and requires individualised care. Individuals above the age of 60 years have double the risk of cardiovascular diseases for each rise in 20 mmHg Systolic Blood Pressure (SBP) or 10 mmHg Diastolic Blood Pressure (DBP).

Aim: To identify the antihypertensive prescription pattern among elderly hypertensives and to explore the reasons for non adherence to antihypertensives expressed by the elderly hypertensive patients on assessment using the Morisky Medication Adherence Scale (MMAS-8).

Materials and Methods: The study was conducted using the cross sectional survey design. A total of 800 elderly hypertensives

attending Medicine Outpatients Department (OPDs) of a tertiary care hospital, Karnataka, were surveyed using purposive sampling technique from July 2013 to July 2015. The tools used were demographic and clinical proforma, scale on lifestyle practices and MMAS-8 (r=0.83).

Results: The study findings revealed that most of the participants 205 (25.6%) were on calcium antagonists. Majority 309 (38.6%) were found to be non adherent to antihypertensives. About 59.4% were following the life style practices required for the control of BP. The majority i.e., 249 (31.1%) expressed that forgetfulness is the major factor for their non adherence.

Conclusion: With the mainstay of pharmacotherapy for hypertension, lifestyle modifications play a vital role in the management of hypertension.

Keywords: Aged, Cardiovascular diseases, Medication adherence

INTRODUCTION

Hypertension is an important risk factor for cardiovascular disease. Despite improvements in its detection and treatment since the 1970s, studies show that 60-75% of treated hypertensive patients do not reach the recommended target blood pressure of <150/90 mmHg [1,2]. Non adherence is thought to be a factor in lack of control of blood pressure and may lead to unnecessary adjustments of drug regimens and increased health care costs [3].

According to the World Health Organisation (WHO) statistics 2011, 83 million persons in India are 60 years of age and older, representing over seven percent of the nations total population. Non adherence to treatment plans and drug regimens is an impediment in managing the health of this population resulting in an estimated 8% of hospital admissions. The most commonly cited reasons for non adherence include cost, inadequate instruction and switching over to non conventional treatment [4]. Both medication use and the incidence of drug related problems increase with advancing age.

Lifestyle measures, are a crucial step in hypertension management [5]. The patient's lifestyle practices and the pharmacological management should run parallel in order to achieve the target manageable blood pressure level. Lifestyle modification therefore, has a great impact on the success or failure of therapeutic management of hypertension. Lack of knowledge related to lifestyle modifications for hypertension leads to poor understanding of its beneficial effects and what constitutes as an unhealthy lifestyle. Hence, this study has been undertaken to investigate the antihypertensive prescription pattern among elderly hypertensives and to explore the reasons

for non adherence to antihypertensives expressed by them, on assessment using the MMAS-8 and also to assess the adherence to lifestyle practices among elderly hypertensives.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study included 800 patients aged 60 years and above with Stage I and Stage II hypertension attending the outpatient Department of Medicine in a tertiary care hospital, Karnataka surveyed using purposive sampling technique from July 2013 to July 2015.

Inclusion Criteria

Patients with or without comorbidities like diabetes mellitus, chronic Ischaemic Heart Diseases (IHD), dyslipidaemias, chronic rheumatism and any other chronic conditions; who were able to manage taking medications and were able to read, write, and converse in English/Kannada. Also, ambulatory patients aged 60 years and above diagnosed with Stage I (systolic and diastolic Blood Pressure (BP) ranging between 140-159 mmHg and 90-99 mmHg respectively) and Stage II (SBP and DBP ranging between ≥160 mmHg and ≥100 mmHg respectively) according to the Joint National Committee-VII (JNC-VII) report were included in the study.

Exclusion Criteria

Patients with Stage III hypertension (SBP and DBP ranging between ≥180 mmHg and DBP≥110 mmHg respectively), renal failure, acute stroke, acute IHD, major psychiatric disorders and dementia or delirium were excluded from the study. The tools used for data

collection were: background information with three parts (part A: demographic proforma, part B: clinical proforma, part C: scale on lifestyle practices) and MMAS-8 (reliability coefficient value $\alpha = 0.83$) which is a standardised scale authorised to utilise with due norms. MMAS-8 have eight items focussing on the drug taking behaviour of the individual. The adherence level was categorised as low adherence (<6), medium adherence (6<8) and high adherence (=8) [6]. Calibrated sphygmomanometer and weighing machine was used which was purchased exclusively for this study purpose. The ethical clearance was obtained from the Institutional Review Board (IRB No. KH IEC 253/2012).

In the OPD, the patient's records were checked for identifying the patients who met the inclusion criteria. After obtaining the written informed consent from the participants, data were obtained. Demographic details were obtained through interview and the clinical proforma detail was assessed using interview as well as the case records. Baseline BP was assessed with the prerequisite that the patients did not drink coffee one hour prior to the BP recording. The repeat measurement of BP was obtained after one minute and the average BP reading was considered. Weight and height were checked separately and the Body Mass Index (BMI) was calculated. The patients were surveyed for their adherence level using MMAS-8 scale. The scale on lifestyle practices was developed by the researcher. The items included were eating vegetables, eating fruits, sprinkle salt on food, eating pickle, eating deep fried food/snacks, type of diet, smoke/chew tobacco, drink alcohol, sleep, practice of regular exercises and yoga. It had four levels such as daily, frequently (>once/week), rarely (<once/week) and never [7]. Those who were found to be practicing these lifestyles on daily basis were found to be adherent.

The pre testing of the questionnaire among five hypertensive patients showed no changes in the questions. The pretested patients were not included in the final analysis. Original tools were in English and the tools were translated to Kannada. Language validity was done by retranslation.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

The data were analysed using SPSS 16.0 version software. Descriptive statistics were used to analyse the data.

RESULTS

The majority 597 (74.6%) were between the age group of ≥60-70 years, 417 (52.1%) were males, 289 (36.1%) were with the educational qualification of less than seven standard and 415 (51.9%) were currently not working. Majority 652 (81.5%) were living with their spouse, 696 (87%) belonged to the nuclear family and 428 (53.5%) were having an annual income less than INR 12, 000. Most 522 (65.3%) had health insurance facility. About 408 (51%) expressed that their treatment cost was taken care of by their children and 777 (97.1%) reported no intervention with alternative medicines for hypertension [Table/Fig-1].

Majority 627 (78.4%) were taking one to four medications daily including the antihypertensives, 335 (41.9%) were taking only one antihypertensive medication and about 205 (25.6%) were on calcium antagonists for hypertension [Table/Fig-2].

Out of 800 participants, 475 (59.4%) were following the overall lifestyle practices required for the control of BP. With regard to the specific area of life style practices, it was found that 765 (95.6%) were non smokers/chewers of tobacco, 758 (94.8%) were non consumers of alcohol, 764 (95.5%) were non eaters of deep fried food/snacks, 760 (95%) reported not adding extra salt to their food and 766 (95.7%) reported eating pickle daily. Majority 635 (79.4%) reported getting sleep for minimum six hours a day and 540 (67.5%), 571 (71.4%) reported eating vegetables daily and not eating meat high in fat respectively. Majority 663 (82.8%), 601 (75.1%) and 734 (91.7%) reported not eating fruits, not practicing exercises and yoga respectively [Table/Fig-3].

| Variables | Frequency | Percentage (%) | | |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|--|--|
| Age in years | | | | |
| ≥60-70 | 597 | 74.6 | | |
| >70 | 203 | 25.3 | | |
| Gender | | | | |
| Male | 417 | 52.1 | | |
| Female | 383 | 47.9 | | |
| Education | | | | |
| Illiterate | 154 | 19.3 | | |
| <7 th standard | 289 | 36.1 | | |
| >7th standard-PUC | 280 | 35 | | |
| Degree | 77 | 9.6 | | |
| Occupation | 1 | | | |
| Professional | 35 | 4.4 | | |
| Non professional | 91 | 11.4 | | |
| Business | 38 | 4.8 | | |
| Retired | 116 | 14.5 | | |
| Cooli | 105 | 13.1 | | |
| Not working | 415 | 51.9 | | |
| Living with spouse | 1 | | | |
| Yes | 652 | 81.5 | | |
| No | 148 | 18.5 | | |
| Type of family | 1 | | | |
| Nuclear | 696 | 87 | | |
| Joint | 104 | 13 | | |
| Annual income of the family | ' | | | |
| <12000 | 428 | 53.5 | | |
| 12000-1 lakh | 278 | 34.8 | | |
| >1 lakh-2.5 lakhs | 63 | 7.9 | | |
| >2.5 lakhs | 31 | 3.9 | | |
| Health insurance facility | ' | | | |
| Yes | 522 | 65.3 | | |
| No | 278 | 34.8 | | |
| Finance for the treatment | 1 | | | |
| Children | 408 | 51 | | |
| Own | 293 | 36.6 | | |
| Spouse | 99 | 12.4 | | |
| Use of alternative medicines | | | | |
| Yes | 23 | 2.9 | | |
| No | 777 | 97.1 | | |
| [Table/Fig-1]: Demographic chara | cteristics of sample in fre | equency and percentage. | | |

Out of the 800 elderly hypertensives being surveyed, 491 (61.4%) were adherent to the antihypertensive medications. Subjects in both the low 127 (15.9%) and medium 182 (22.8%) adherence category were considered as non adherents to antihypertensives. [Table/Fig-4]. Among the 309 (38.7%) participants who were identified as non adherents, 249 (31.1%) expressed that sometimes they forget to take their BP medications. Of the total 38 (4.8%) expressed that in the past two weeks they had missed taking their antihypertensives due to reasons other than forgetting. A total of 17 (2.1%) expressed that they stopped their BP medications on their own without telling their doctor. A total of 83 (10.4%) expressed that they forget to carry their BP medications when they go on travelling. Only 4 (0.5%) participants said that sometimes they stopped taking BP medications as they felt that their BP is under control. A total of 548 (68.5%) felt that once in a while they find it difficult to remember taking all their medications [Table/Fig-5].

| Variables | Frequency | Percentage (%) | | | |
|---|-----------|----------------|--|--|--|
| Duration of treatment | | | | | |
| <6 months | 183 | 21.9 | | | |
| 6-12 months | 38 | 4.8 | | | |
| >1 year | 579 | 72.4 | | | |
| Number of medications | | | | | |
| 1-4 | 627 | 78.4 | | | |
| 5-8 | 159 | 19.9 | | | |
| 9-12 | 14 | 1.8 | | | |
| BMI | 14 | 1.0 | | | |
| | 05 | 4.4 | | | |
| Underweight (<18.5) | 35 | 4.4 | | | |
| Normal (18.5-24.9) | 454 | 56.8 | | | |
| Overweight (25-29.9) | 274 | 34.3 | | | |
| Obese (>30) | 37 | 4.6 | | | |
| Comorbidities | | | | | |
| Diabetes Mellitus | 426 | 53.3 | | | |
| Chronic Ischemic Heart Disease | 77 | 9.6 | | | |
| Dyslipidemia | 9 | 1.1 | | | |
| Chronic rheumatism | 1 | 0.1 | | | |
| No comorbidities | 287 | 35.9 | | | |
| More than one comorbidities | 57 | 7.1 | | | |
| Number of antihypertensive medications | | | | | |
| 1 | 335 | 41.9 | | | |
| 2 | 237 | 29.6 | | | |
| 3 | 24 | 3 | | | |
| 4 | 4 | 0.5 | | | |
| Class of antihypertensives | | | | | |
| ACE inhibitors | 128 | 16 | | | |
| Angiotensin II antagonists | 70 | 9.1 | | | |
| ACE inhibitors+ Diuretics | 73 21 | 2.6 | | | |
| | 58 | | | | |
| Angiotensin II antagonists+ calcium antagonists | | 7.3 | | | |
| Angiotensin II antaginists+ACE inhibitors | 9 | 1.1 | | | |
| β blockers | 153 | 19.1 | | | |
| β blocker+ACE inhibitors | 54 | 6.8 | | | |
| β blocker+ diuretic+ angiotensin II antagonists | 7 | 0.9 | | | |
| β blocker+ angiotensin II antagonists | 36 | 4.5 | | | |
| β blocker+Calcium antagonists | 27 | 3.4 | | | |
| Calcium antagonists | 205 | 25.6 | | | |
| Calcium antagonists+ ACE inhibitors+ angiotensin II antagonists | 6 | 0.7 | | | |
| Calcium anatgonists+ ACE inhibitors+ β blocker | 6 | 0.8 | | | |
| Angiotensin II antagonists+ calcium antagonists+diuretics | 8 | 1 | | | |
| β blocker+Calcium antagonists+ Angiotensin II | 6 | 0.8 | | | |

[Table/Fig-2]: Clinical characteristics of sample in frequency and percentage.

DISCUSSION

In this study it was found that among 800 patients, only 491 (61.4%) were adherent to antihypertensives and forgetfulness was one of the major factor for their non adherence as expressed by 249 (31.1%) out of 309 (38.7%) who were found to be non adherents.

The present study findings were supported by several other previous studies such as a systematic review and a meta-analysis carried

| Practicing | | Not practicing | | |
|------------|--|---|---|--|
| f | % | f | % | |
| 540 | 67.5 | 260 | 32.5 | |
| 137 | 17.1 | 663 | 82.9 | |
| 760 | 95 | 40 | 5 | |
| 34 | 4.3 | 766 | 95.7 | |
| 764 | 95.5 | 36 | 4.5 | |
| 571 | 71.4 | 229 | 28.6 | |
| 765 | 95.6 | 35 | 4.4 | |
| 758 | 94.8 | 42 | 5.2 | |
| 635 | 79.4 | 165 | 20.6 | |
| 199 | 24.9 | 601 | 75.1 | |
| 66 | 8.3 | 734 | 91.7 | |
| | f 540 137 760 34 764 571 765 758 635 199 | f % 540 67.5 137 17.1 760 95 34 4.3 764 95.5 571 71.4 765 95.6 758 94.8 635 79.4 199 24.9 | f % f 540 67.5 260 137 17.1 663 760 95 40 34 4.3 766 764 95.5 36 571 71.4 229 765 95.6 35 758 94.8 42 635 79.4 165 199 24.9 601 | |

| ı | [lable/Fig-3]: | Lifestyle prac | lices in freque | ncy and percen | iage. |
|---|----------------|----------------|-----------------|----------------|-------|
| | | | | | |

| Level of adherence | Frequency | Percentage (%) |
|------------------------|-----------|----------------|
| Low adherence (<6) | 127 | 15.9 |
| Medium adherence (6<8) | 182 | 22.8 |
| High adherence (=8) | 491 | 61.4 |

[Table/Fig-4]: Adherence to antihypertensives in frequency and percentage.

| O NI- | Factors leading to non-adherence | Yes | | No | |
|-------|--|-----|------|-----|------|
| S.No. | | f | % | f | % |
| 1. | Do you sometimes forget to take your BP medications? | | 31.1 | 551 | 68.9 |
| 2. | forgetting? Have you ever stopped taking your BP medications without telling your doctor because you felt worse when taking it? | | 4.8 | 762 | 95.3 |
| 3. | | | 2.1 | 783 | 97.9 |
| 4. | | | 10.4 | 717 | 89.6 |
| 5. | Did you take your BP medicine yesterday? | | 98.3 | 14 | 1.8 |
| 6. | control? | | 0.5 | 796 | 99.5 |
| 7. | | | 0.3 | 798 | 99.7 |
| 8. | How often do you have difficulty remembering to take all your medications? | | | | |
| | Never/rarely | 77 | 9.6 | 0 | 0 |
| | Once in a while | 548 | 68.5 | 0 | 0 |
| | Sometimes | 154 | 19.3 | 0 | 0 |
| | Usually | 18 | 2.3 | 0 | 0 |
| | All the time | 3 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 |

[Table/Fig-5]: Self-expressed factors leading to non adherence among elderly hypertensives (n=800).

out on non adherence to anti hypertensive medication in low and middle income countries by Nielsen J et al., with the identified non adherence rate of 63.35%; systematic review and meta-analysis by Abegaz TM et al., with 83.7% of non adherents, Kang CD et al., reporting 44.8% non adherence, Mugwano I et al., stating 77% non adherence, Hossain SZ et al., with 56.6% non adherence and Lo SH et al., revealing the non adherence rate of 55.9% each [8-13].

Many studies reported forgetfulness as the major barrier for the non adherence to antihypertensives among elderly such as Lo SH et al., Mallya SD et al., Khan MU et al., Kumaraswamy R et al., Varleta P et al., Mathew A et al., Nguyen TP et al., and Gelaw BK et al., [13-20].

In the present study, it was found that 765 (95.6%) were nonsmokers/chewers of tobacco, 758 (94.8%) were non consumers of alcohol, 764 (95.5%) were non eaters of deep fried food/snacks and 760 (95%) reported as not adding extra salt to their food. Similar finding was obtained from a study by Gore AD and Kadam YR which revealed the adherence to non pharmacological therapy like reduction of salt (79.5%) and reduction of oil (80.9%) among hypertensive patients [21].

The study conducted by Uche G et al., found that, adherence was highest with the non chewers of tobacco (100%) followed by non use of excessive dietary salt (94.3%) and non consumers of alcohol (90.7%) [22].

In the present study, most 205 (25.6%) of the participants were found to be receiving calcium antagonists.

The results of the study conducted by Khan GM et al., confirms the present study. Findings revealed that 80% of the patients were on monotherapy and calcium channel blockers were the mostly prescribed medication [23].

LIMITATION

This study was conducted in a tertiary care hospital where patients can get benefited by receiving health information from students and also get better opportunity to interact with their physicians. Thus, it cannot be assumed that the responses expressed by respondents in this study would be similar to those attending healthcare setting. Only diagnosed hypertensive patients who were registered at the study setting participated in the study. The sample was representative of the patients with regards to the inclusion criteria mentioned in the study.

CONCLUSION

Non adherence to antihypertensives may result in worsened clinical outcomes, which may lead to the addition of a more complex medication regimen to the therapy and increase the overall healthcare expenditures. The findings of this study shows that all the participants are on second line medications where the management is quiet challenging. So, the primary care strategies to control blood pressure should focus not only on antihypertensive medications but also on the benefits from lifestyle modifications. This will invariably guide the patients to improve their quality of life. The findings of the present study supports the importance of lifestyle modification and stresses the need for further interventions to target the non adherent population and continuous motivation of adherents by the healthcare team members.

REFERENCES

- [1] Hyman DJ, Pavlik VN. Characteristics of patients with uncontrolled hypertension in the US. N Engl J Med. 2001;345(7):479-86.
- [2] Wolf-Maier K, Cooper RS, Kramer H, Banegas JR, Giampaoli S, Joffres MR, et al. Hypertension treatment and control in five European countries, Canada, and the US. Hypertension. 2004;43(1):10-17.

- [3] Inkster ME, Donnan PT, MacDonald TM, Sullivan FM, Fahey T. Adherence to antihypertensive medication and association with patient and practice factors. J Hum Hypertens. 2006;20(4):295-97.
- [4] Evans JM, Kiran PR, Bhattacharyya O. Activating the knowledge to action cycle for geriatric care in India. Heal Res Policy Syst. 2011;9(1):42.
- [5] Gupta R, Guptha S. Strategies for initial management of hypertension. Indian J Med Res. 2010:132:531-42.
- [6] Morisky DE, Ang A, Wood, MK, Ward HJ. Predictive Validity of a Medication Adherence Measure in an Outpatient Setting. J Clin Hypertens. 2008;10(5):348-54
- [7] Battegay EJ, Lip GYH, Bakris GL. Hypertension Principles and Practice. CRC Press; 2005.
- [8] Nielsen J, Shrestha AD, Neupane D, Kallestrup P. Non-adherence to antihypertensive medication in low-and middle -income countries: a systematic review and meta-analysis of 92443 subjects. J Hum Hypertens. 2017;31(1):14-21.
- [9] Abegaz TM, Shehab A, Gebreyohannes EA, Bhagavathula AS, Elnour AA. Nonadherence to antihypertensive drugs: A systematic review and metaanalysis. Medicine (Baltimore). 2017;96(4):e5641.
- [10] Kang CD, Tsang PP, Li WT, Wang HH, Liu KQ, Griffiths SM, et al. Determinants of medication adherence and blood pressure control among hypertensive patients in Hong Kong: A cross-sectional study. Int J Cardiol. 2015;182:250-57.
- [11] Mugwano I, Kaddumukasa M, Mugenyi L, Kamyima J, Ddumba E, Sajatovic M, et al. Poor drug adherence and lack of awareness of hypertension among hypertensive stroke patients in Kampala, Uganda: a cross sectional study. BMC Res Notes. 2016;9(3):02-08.
- [12] Hossain SZ, Islam MR, Biswas S, Hossain MZ, Biswas PK, Islam N, et al. Pattern of compliance to antihypertensive medications in hypertensive patients in a tertiary care hospital in Bangladesh. J Dhaka Med Coll. 2015;24(1):62-66.
- [13] Lo SH, Chau JP, Woo J, Thompson DR, Choi KC. Adherence to Antihypertensive Medication in Older Adults with Hypertension. J Cardiovasc Nurs. 2016;31(4): 296-303.
- [14] Mallya SD, Kumar A, Kamath A, Shetty A, Reddy SK, Mishra S. Assessment of treatment adherence among hypertensive patients in a coastal area of Karnataka, India. Int J Community Med Public Heal. 2016;3(8):1998-2003.
- [15] Khan MU, Shah S, Hameed T. Barriers to and determinants of medication adherence among hypertensive patients attended National Health Service Hospital, Sunderland. J Pharm Bioallied Sci. 2014;6(2):104-08.
- [16] Kumaraswamy RC, Kauser MM, Jagadeesh MK, Kumar RU, Kumar SR, Afreen A, et al. Study of determinants of nonadherence to anti-hypertensive medications in essential hypertension at a Teaching Hospital in Southern India. CHRISMED J Heal Res. 2015;2(1):57-60.
- [17] Varleta P, Akel C, Acevedo M, Salinas C, Pino J, Opazo V, et al. Assessment of adherence to antihypertensive therapy. Rev medicde Chile. 2015;143:569-76.
- [18] Mathew A, Paluri V, Venkateswaramurthy N. A study on impact of clinical pharmacist interventions on relationship between treatment satisfaction and medication adherence in hypertensive patients. J Pharm Sci Res. 2016;8(4):190-97.
- [19] Nguyen TP, Schviling-Veninga CC, Nguyen TB, Vu TH, Wright EP, Postma MJ, et al. Adherence to hypertension medication: Quantitative and qualitative investigations in a rural Northern Vietnamese community. PLoS One. 2017;12(2):01-13.
- [20] Gelaw BK, Gelaw YK, Satessa GD. Assessment of adherence of patients with anti- hypertensive medication and factors for non-adherence in amhara region dessie referral hospital, Ethiopia. Int J Chem Nat Sci. 2014;2(1):51-57.
- [21] Gore AD, Kadam YR. Assessing treatment adherence in hypertensive patients: a cross sectional study. Int J Community Med Public Heal. 2016;3(4):886-92.
- [22] Uche G, Iloh P, Amadi AN, Oguejiofor G, Okafor CIA. Adherence to lifestyle modifications among adult hypertensive nigerians with essential hypertension in a primary care clinic of a tertiary hospital in resource-poor environment of Eastern Nigeria. Br J Med Med Res. 2014;4(18):3478-90.
- [23] Khan GM, Thapa RK, Khakurel A, Shrestha G, Katila N, Bhurtel S, et al. Patient Demographics and Drug Prescription Pattern among Hypertensive Patients of Pokhara Valley. J Chitwan Med Coll. 2013;3(2):32-35.

PARTICULARS OF CONTRIBUTORS:

- 1. Assistant Professor, Department of Medical Surgical Nursing, Manipal College of Nursing, Manipal Academy of Higher Education, Manipal, Udupi, Karnataka, India.
- 2. Professor, Department of Medicine, Kasturba Hospital, Manipal, Udupi, Karnataka, India.
- 3. Professor, Department of Medicine, Kasturba Hospital, Manipal, Udupi, Karnataka, India.
- 4. Professor, Department of Child Health Nursing, Manipal College of Nursing, Manipal Academy of Higher Education, Manipal, Udupi, Karnataka, India.
- 5. Professor, Department of Medical Surgical Nursing, Manipal College of Nursing, Manipal Academy of Higher Education, Manipal, Udupi, Karnataka, India.
- 6. Associate Professor, Department of Community Medicine, Kasturba Medical College, Manipal Academy of Higher Education, Manipal, Udupi, Karnataka, India.
- 7. Professor and Dean, Manipal College of Nursing, Manipal Academy of Higher Education, Manipal, Udupi, Karnataka, India.

NAME, ADDRESS, E-MAIL ID OF THE CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:

Mrs. Melita Sheilini,

Assistant Professor, Department of Medical Surgical Nursing, Manipal College of Nursing, Manipal Academy of Higher Education, Manipal, Udupi-576104, Karnataka, India. E-mail: mellishelli@yahoo.co.in

FINANCIAL OR OTHER COMPETING INTERESTS: None.

Date of Submission: May 02, 2017 Date of Peer Review: Jul 15, 2017 Date of Acceptance: Nov 15, 2017 Date of Publishing: Jan 01, 2018