**Original Article** 

A Study on Expression of Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor in Colorectal Malignancies and its Correlation with Various Clinicopathological Parameters

K ASHWINI<sup>1</sup>, RAJAGOPALAN PADMAVATHI<sup>2</sup>

# ABSTRACT

**Introduction:** Tumours require neovascularisation for growth and metastasis. Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor (VEGF) is an important regulator of tumour angiogenesis and is associated with metastasis and poor prognosis.

**Aim:** To assess the expression of VEGF in colorectal cancer by Immunohistochemistry (IHC), to compare it with the clinicopathological parameters and to determine the prognostic significance.

**Materials and Methods:** We received 147 cases of resected specimens of colorectal carcinomas for histopathological examination in Institute of Pathology, Madras Medical College, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India, during the period between July 2013 to July 2015. Relevant clinical details of the patients undergoing surgery for colorectal malignancy, regarding age, gender, procedure done were collected. Four µm thick sections were taken from formalin fixed paraffin embedded tissue blocks and stained with haematoxylin and eosin. Among them, 50 random cases were selected for immunohistochemical studies.

**Results:** The median age at presentation was 60 years. Maximum number of cases occurred in the age group of 51-60 years. There was a male preponderance with 85 cases (57.8%). Left sided

tumours were more common (104 cases, 70.7%). About 53.7% cases had tumours less than 5 cm in greatest dimension. Most common gross appearance was ulceroproliferative (92 cases, 62.6%). Most common histopathological subtype was infiltrating adenocarcinoma (123 cases, 83.7%). Most common grade was moderately differentiated (95 cases, 64.6%). Fifty three cases (36.1%) belonged to stage C2, 66 cases (44.9%) had lymph node involvement, 74 cases (50.3%) had lymphatic invasion, 67 cases (45.6%) had vascular invasion and resected margins were free in 143 (97.3%) cases; 4 cases (2.7%) had tumour infiltration in their resected margins. Among the 50 cases on which IHC was done, 9 (18%), 20 (40%) and 21 (42%) cases had 1+, 2+ and 3+ levels of VEGF expression respectively. A total of 21 cases (42%) had strong cytoplasmic staining for VEGF and 15 cases (30%) and 14 cases (28%) showed moderate and weak cytoplasmic VEGF positivity respectively.

Statistically significant association was found between VEGF expression in colorectal carcinoma and factors like presence of lymphatic invasion, vascular invasion, lymph node metastasis and stage of the tumour.

**Conclusion:** VEGF expression in colorectal malignancies seems to be an independent prognostic marker of tumour behavior and may be used to identify patients with unfavourable clinical outcome.

Keywords: Cytoplasmic positivity, Lymphovascular invasion, Monoclonal antibodies, Neovascularisation

## INTRODUCTION

Colorectal cancer is an important public health problem and a major cause of morbidity and mortality throughout the world [1]. Of all the cases reported worldwide, incidence in developed world accounts for about 63% of cases [2].

In India, colon cancer ranks eighth and rectal cancer ranks ninth among men. In women, rectal cancer does not figure in top ten; whereas, colon cancer ranks ninth [3]. Global age standardised rates of colorectal carcinoma incidence are higher in men than in women (19.1/1,00,000 in men and 14.4/1,00,000 in women) [4].

Tumours require neovascularisation for growth and metastasis. VEGF is a signal protein that can induce angiogenesis and lymphangiogenesis [5]. It was discovered in 1983 by Senger DR et al., [6]. VEGF promotes regional and distant metastasis, thereby reducing survival [7]. Thus, assessing the expression of VEGF in colorectal malignancies can help in determining the prognosis and in improving patient survival.

In this study, an attempt has been made to study the expression of VEGF in colorectal malignancies and to compare it with various clinicopathological parameters and to determine the prognostic significance.

#### Journal of Clinical and Diagnostic Research. 2018 Jan, Vol-12(1): EC01-EC04

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study was combined prospective and retrospective, conducted over a period of two years from July 2013 to July 2015 after obtaining clearance from the Institutional Ethics Committee (No. 12102014). We received 147 cases of resected specimens of colorectal carcinomas for histopathological examination in Institute of Pathology, Madras Medical College. Colorectal malignancies diagnosed in resected specimens were included in the study. Small biopsies, conditions such as lymphoma of the colon, GIST, non neoplastic and benign lesions were excluded from the study.

Relevant clinical details of the patients undergoing surgery for colorectal malignancy were collected. The clinicopathological variables studied were age, gender, site of the tumour, size of the tumour, gross appearance, histological type, grading and staging of the tumour, other prognostic factors like lymphovascular invasion and presence of lymph node metastasis.

Four micron thick sections were taken from formalin fixed paraffin embedded tissue blocks and stained with haematoxylin and eosin. Among them, 50 random cases were selected for immunohistochemical studies. Immunohistochemical analysis was done using VEGF monoclonal antibodies. Sections showing features of pyogenic granuloma were used for control. The vascular endothelial cells showed cytoplasmic positivity for VEGF [Table/Fig-1].

**Interpretation and scoring system:** The slides were analysed for the presence of immunohistochemical reaction, percentage of cells stained and intensity of the reaction. The slides were screened for cytoplasmic positivity of VEGF. Intensity of staining was classified as weak, moderate and strong [8].

Scoring was done based on the proportion of the cells stained.

- 0- no tumour cells show positivity
- 1- <10 tumour cells show cytoplasmic positivity
- 2-11-50% cells show cytoplasmic positivity
- 3- >50% cells show cytoplasmic positivity



**[Table/Fig-1]:** Strong VEGF cytoplasmic positivity in the tumour cells (40X); Inse shows the VEGF positivity in the control tissue used (pyogenic granuloma), IHC (10X).

# **STATISTICAL ANALYSIS**

The statistical analysis was done using Statistical Package for Social Science (SPSS) software - IBM SPSS version 20.0. The expression of VEGF was correlated with variables like age, gender, location, size, grade, stage, histological grade, lymph node involvement and lymphovascular invasion. Chi-square test was used to obtain the p-values (p-values <0.05 were considered significant).

## RESULTS

In this study, the median age at presentation of colorectal cancer was 60 years. The youngest age of presentation was 23 years and the oldest age of presentation was 79 years. Most of the cases were in the age range of 51 to 60 years (42.9%). Out of 147 cases, 85 (57.8%) were males and 62 (42.2) were females. A total of 104 (70.7%) cases had the primary tumour in the left colon and 43 (29.3%) cases in the right colon. The tumour size was <5 cm in 79 (53.7%) cases and >5 cm in 68 (46%) cases, 92 (62.6%) cases were ulceroproliferative [Table/Fig-2], 23 (15.6%) were ulcerative, 12 (8.2%) were ulceronodular, 14 (9.5%) were circumferential, 2 (1.4%) cases presented as stricture and 4 (2.7%) cases presented with polypoidal masses.

Among the 147 cases, 123 (83.7%) were infiltrating adenocarcinoma [Table/Fig-3], 6 (4.1%) were infiltrating adenocarcinoma with mucinous differentiation 14 (9.5%) were mucinous carcinoma, 3 (2%) were signet ring cell carcinoma, 1 (0.7%) was adenocarcinoma with neuroendocrine differentiation.

The detailed results of clinicopathological variables assessed in this study have been presented in [Table/Fig-4]. The percentage and scoring of VEGF positivity in the 50 random cases is given in [Table/Fig-5]. A total of 21 cases (42%) had strong cytoplasmic staining for VEGF and 15 cases (30%) and 14 cases (28%) showed moderate and weak cytoplasmic VEGF positivity respectively. The correlation between VEGF expression and parameters like lymphovascular invasion and lymph node involvement is given in [Table/Fig-6]. The correlation between tumour stage and levels of VEGF expression is given in [Table/Fig-7], p-value for correlation between VEGF expression and clinicopathological variables are given in [Table/Fig-8].



[Table/Fig-2]: Gross appearance of a caecal growth



adenocarcinoma of colon (left to right), (H&E 40X).

| Variables  |          | Frequency | Percent |
|--|----------|-----------|---------|
| Grade of differentiation                           | Well     | 37        | 25.2    |
|  | Moderate | 95        | 64.6    |
|  | Poor     | 15        | 10.2    |
| Stage of the<br>tumour<br>(Astler-Coller<br>stage) | B1       | 36        | 24.5    |
|  | B2       | 44        | 29.9    |
|  | C1       | 14        | 9.5     |
|  | C2       | 53        | 36.1    |
| Lymphatic<br>invasion                              | Present  | 74        | 50.3    |
|  | Absent   | 73        | 49.7    |
|  | Present  | 67        | 45.6    |
| Vascular Invasion                                  | Absent   | 80        | 54.4    |
| Lymph node   | Present  | 66        | 44.9    |
| involvement  | Absent   | 81        | 55.1    |
| Lymphocytic<br>response                            | Present  | 76        | 51.7    |
|  | Absent   | 71        | 48.3    |
| Resected margins                                   | Free     | 143       | 97.3    |
|  | Involved | 4         | 2.7     |





| Variables  |         | VEGF positivity |          |            |  |
|--|---------|-----------------|----------|------------|--|
|  |         | 1+              | 2+       | 3+         |  |
| Lymphatic<br>invasion  | Present | 2 (22.2%)       | 14 (70%) | 19 (90.5%) |  |
|  | Absent  | 7 (77.8%)       | 6 (30%)  | 2 (9.5%)   |  |
| Vascular<br>invasion   | Present | 3 (33%)         | 15 (75%) | 17 (81%)   |  |
|  | Absent  | 6 (67%)         | 5 (25%)  | 4 (19%)    |  |
| Lymph node<br>involvement  | Present | 1 (11.1%)       | 10 (50%) | 16 (76.2%) |  |
|  | Absent  | 8 (88.9%)       | 10 (50%) | 5 (23.8%)  |  |
| [Table/Fig-6]: The correlation between VEGF expression and parameters like |         |                 |          |            |  |

lymphovascular invasion and lymph node involvement.



[Table/Fig-7]: The correlation between tumour stage and levels of VEGF expression.

| Variables   | Pearson Chi-square value | p-value |  |  |  |
|---|--------------------------|---------|--|--|--|
| Gender  | 0.740                    | 0.691   |  |  |  |
| Location of the tumour  | 3.653                    | 0.161   |  |  |  |
| Size of the tumour  | 3.229                    | 0.199   |  |  |  |
| Grade of the tumour   | 4.467                    | 0.107   |  |  |  |
| Lymphovascular invasion   | 7.20                     | 0.027   |  |  |  |
| Lymph node involvement  | 10.956                   | 0.004   |  |  |  |
| Stage of the tumour   | 19.607                   | <0.05   |  |  |  |
| [Table/Fig-8]: Shows p-values for correlation between VEGF expression and |                          |         |  |  |  |

clinicopathological variables.

# DISCUSSION

Globally, colorectal cancer poses a major public health problem. Survival is mainly dependent on the stage of the disease at diagnosis; with a better 5-year survival for patients diagnosed at the localised stage [9]. Many biological markers are being studied, in order to explore their prognostic significance. The history of targeted therapy dates back to 1971, when Folkman hypothesised that administration of an agent that prevents angiogenesis can have dramatic effect on cancer treatment [10]. In colon cancer, monoclonal antibodies against VEGF and Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor (EGFR) have been used for targeted therapies [11]. Cancers expressing VEGF can grow and metastasise. VEGF acts by binding to tyrosine kinase receptors on the cell surface. They are activated by transphosphorylation. The hypoxic cells produce Hypoxia Inducible Factor (HIF) which stimulates the release of VEGF [12].

VEGF receptors are classified into VEGF-R1, VEGF-R2, VEGF-R3. VEGF-R2 is located in vascular endothelial cells and VEGF-R3 on lymphatic endothelial cells and stimulate angiogenesis and lymphangiogenesis respectively [13]. Circulating VEGF binds to VEGF receptor on endothelial cells triggering tyrosine kinase pathway leading to angiogenesis [13]. Thus, assessing the levels of expression of VEGF in colorectal cancer can predict the extent of the ability of the tumour to metastasise.

Many studies have been conducted based on the expression of VEGF in colorectal cancer [14,15]. Troisi RJ et al., Burshey RP et al., and Chu KC et al., conducted studies in 223, 168 and 108 colorectal carcinoma patients and found that the mean age at diagnosis was 60, 72 and 58 years respectively [16-18]. The median age at diagnosis of colorectal

carcinoma in this study was 60 years which was in concurrence with the study done by Troisi RJ et al., [16].

Side of the primary tumour was in concurrence with a study done by El-Gendi S and Al-Gendi A, in which left sided tumours constituted about 75% and 25% were right sided tumours [19]. In the study conducted by Kazem A et al., in 323 colorectal cancer patients, left sided tumours were 56.7% and 43.3% tumours were right-sided [20]. Also, the most common histological type was infiltrating adenocarcinoma (86.7%) followed by mucinous carcinoma (10%). In our study, it was infiltrating adenocarcinoma (83.7%) followed by mucinous adenocarcinoma (9.5 %) and adenocarcinoma with mucinous differentiation (4.1%).

On comparison with the clinicopathological parameters, there was a statistically significant association among the levels of VEGF expression by immunohistochemistry and stage of the tumour, the presence of lymphovascular invasion and lymph node metastasis.

In a study conducted by Bendarafa R et al., in 360 colorectal cancer patients, cytoplasmic VEGF expression in the tumour cells was assessed by automated immunohistochemistry [21]. Significant statistical association was found between VEGF expression and factors like, location of the tumour (left sided tumours expressed VEGF more than the right sided tumours), stage of the disease and 10-year disease specific survival. Thus, assessing VEGF expression in colorectal carcinoma helped in selecting patients who are likely to benefit from neoadjuvant chemotherapy.

Zafirellis K et al., did a study in 117 colorectal cancer patients and assessed the cytoplasmic VEGF expression in the tumour cells using semi-automated computerised image analysis [22]. Statistically significant association was found between presence of lymph node metastasis and stage of the disease. High levels of VEGF staining was seen to correlate with poor disease-specific survival (p<0.0001). Thus, VEGF expression in colorectal cancer seems to be an independent prognostic factor and helps to identify patients with unfavourable clinical outcome.

In studies, conducted by Takahashi Y et al., Kang SM et al., and Takahashi Y et al., among 52, 156 and 27 colorectal carcinoma patients respectively, significant statistical association was found between presence of lymphovascular invasion and lymph node involvement [23-25]. In studies conducted by Martin SF et al., Yeh CY et al., Guetz GD et al., and Ogata Y et al., in 672, 58, 317 and 92 colorectal carcinoma patients respectively, there is significant association between VEGF expression and lymph node involvement [26-29].

Also in studies conducted by Bendarafa R et al., Zafirellis K et al., Okita NT et al., Ochs AM et al., in 360, 117, 91, and 109 colorectal carcinoma patients, significant statistical association was found between VEGF expression and stage of the tumour [21,22,30,31].

#### LIMITATION

In various studies conducted among colorectal cancer patients, the higher levels of VEGF expression correlated with disease specific survival of the patients. But in this study, the patients were not followed up.

### CONCLUSION

To conclude, this study assessed the expression of VEGF in colorectal cancer by immunohistochemistry which was found to have a statistically significant association with clinicopathologic characteristics that contribute to progression, invasion and metastasis, thereby leading to poor prognosis. Thus, assessing VEGF expression in colorectal cancer provides valuable prognostic information and helps to identify patients with an unfavourable clinical outcome.

Also, this study throws light on the need for targeted therapy with anti-VEGF drugs to minimise pathological vasculogenesis in the tumour, occurrence of regional and distant metastasis, thereby improving patient survival.

#### REFERENCES

- WHO Cancer incidence in five continents. Lyon: The WHO and the International Agency for cancer research; 2002.
- [2] Jonout V, Kollarova H. Epidemiology of colorectal cancer. Biomed Pap Med Fac Univ Palacku Olomouc Czech Repub. 2001;145:05-10.
- [3] NRCP(2013). Three year report of the population based cancer registries- 2009-2011. National Cancer Registry Programme, Indian Council of Medical Research. 2013.
- [4] Ferlay J, Bray F, Pisani P, Parkin DM. GLOBOCAN 2000: Cancer incidence, mortality and prevalence worldwide, version 1.0. IARC Cancer Base No.5 Lyon: IARC, 2001.
- [5] Nagy JA, Dvorak AM, Dvorak HF. VEGF-A and the induction of pathological angiogenesis. Annu Rev Pathol. 2007;2:251.
- [6] Senger DR, Galli SJ, Dvorak AM, Perruzzi CA, Harvey VS, Dvorak HF. Tumour cells secrete a vascular permeability factor that promotes accumulation of ascites fluid. Science. 1983;219(4587):983-85.
- [7] Lee JC, Chow NH, Wang ST, Huang SM. Prognostic value of VEGF expression in colorectal cancer patients. Eur J Cancer. 2000;36(6):748-53.
- [8] Zlobec I, Steele R, Michel RP, Compton CC, Lugli A, Jass JR. Scoring of p53, VEGF, APAF-1, Bcl-2 immunohistochemistry in colorectal cancer. Modern Pathology. 2006;19:1236-42.
- [9] World Cancer Report 2014. World Health Organization. Chapter1.1
- [10] Folkman J. Tumour angiogenesis, therapeutic implications. N Engl J. 1971;285:1182-86.
- [11] El Zouhairi M, Charabaty A, Pishvaian MJ. Molecularly targeted therapy for metastatic colon cancer - proven treatments and promising new agents. Gastrointest Cancer Res. 2011;4(1):15-21.
- [12] Sullivan LA, Brekken RA. The VEGF family in cancer and antibody-based strategies for their inhibition. M Abs. 2010;2(2):165-75.
- [13] Carmeliet P. VEGF as a key mediator of angiogenesis in cancer. Oncology. 2005;69(suppl 3):04-10.
- [14] Li Q, Wang D, Li J, Chen P. Clinicopatholgic and prognostic significance of Her-2-neu and VEGF expression in colon carcinomas. BMC Cancer. 2011;11:277.
- [15] Zong S, Li H, Shi Q, Liu S, Li W, Hou F. Prognostic significance of VEGF immunohistochemical expression in colorectal carcinoma- a meta-analysis. Clin Chim Acta. 2016;458:106-14.
- [16] Troisi RJ, Freedman AN, Devesa SS. Incidence of colorectal carcinoma: an update of trends by age, gender, race, subsite and stage. Ann Surg Oncol. 2012;8:413-17.
- [17] Burshey RP, Haggar FA. Colorectal cancer epidemiology: Incidence, mortality, survival and risk factors. Clin Colon Rectal Surg. 2009;22:191-97.
- [18] Chu KC, Taeone RE, Chow WH, Alexander GA. Colorectal cancer trends by race and anatomic subsites. Arch Farm Med. 2005;4:849-56.
- [19] El-Gendi S, Al-Gendi A. Clinicopathological correlation in colorectal carcinoma: association with VEGF expression. Journal of the Egyptian National Cancer Institute. 2011;23:01-09.

- [20] Kazem A, El Sayed K, El Kerm Y. Prognostic significance of expression of VEGF in colorectal carcinoma. Alexandria Journal of Medicine. 2014;50(3):Z11-Z20.
- [21] Bendarafa R, Buhmeida A, Hilska M, Laato M, Syrjänen S, Syrjänen K, et al. VEGF-1 expression in colorectal cancer is associated with disease localisation, stage and long-term disease specific survival. Anti-Cancer Res. 2008;28:3865-70.
- [22] Zafirellis K, Agrogiannis G, Zachaki A, Gravani K, Karameris A, Kombouras C. Prognostic significance of VEGF expression evaluated by quantitative immunohistochemical analysis in colorectal cancer. J Surg Res. 2008;147(1):99-107.
- [23] Takahashi Y, Kitadai Y, Bucana CD, Cleary KR, Ellis LM. Expression of VEGF and its receptor correlates with vascularity, metastasis and proliferation of human colon cancer. Cancer Res. 1995;55:3964-68.
- [24] Kang SM, Maeda K, Onoda N, Chung YS, Nakata B, Nishiguchi Y, et al. Combined analysis of p53 and vascular endothelial growth factor expression in colorectal carcinoma for determination of tumor vascularity and liver metastasis. Intl J Cancer. 1997;74:502-07.
- [25] Takahashi Y, Tucker SL, Kitadai Y, Koura AN, Bucana CD, Cleary KR, et al. Vessel counts and expression of VEGF as prognostic factor in node negative colon cancer. Arch Surg. 1997;132:541-46.
- [26] Martins SF, Garcia EA, Luz MA, Pardal F, Rodrigues M, Filho AL. Clinicopathological correlation and prognostic significance of VEGF-A, VEGFR-2 and VEGFR-3 in colorectal cancer. Dig Dis Sci. 2009;26:1117-28.
- [27] Yeh CY, Chiang JM, Hsieh PS, Tsai WS, Tang R, Changchien CR, et al. Immunohistochemical study of vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) and matrilysin (MMP-7) in T1 adenocarcinoma of the colon and rectum to predict lymph node metastases or distant metastases. Hepatogastroenterology. 2011;58:1943-46.
- [28] Guetz GD, Uzzan B, Nicolas P, Cucherat M, Morere J-F, Benamouzig R, et al. Microvessel density and VEGF expression are progonstic factors in colorectal cancer, Meta-analysis of the literature. Br J Cancer. 2006;94:1823-32.
- [29] Ogata Y, Matono K, Mizobe T, Ishibashi N, Mori S, Akagi Y, et al. The expression of vascular endothelial growth factor determines the efficancy of post operative adjuvant chemotherapy using oral fluoropyrimidines in stage II or III colorectar cancer. Oncol Rep. 2006;15:1111-16.
- [30] Okita NT, Yamada Y, Takahari D, Hirashima Y, Matsubara J, Kato K, et al. Vascular endothelial growth factor receptor expression as a prognostic factorfor survival in colorectal cancer. Am J Surg Pathol. 2009;33:126-33.
- [31] Ochs AM, Wong L, Kakani V, Neerukonda S, Gorske J, Rao A, et al. Expression of vascular endothelial growth factor and HER2/neu in stage II colon cancer and correlation with survival. Clin Colorectal Cancer. 2004;4:262-67.

#### PARTICULARS OF CONTRIBUTORS:

- 1. Assistant Professor, Department of Pathology, Government Medical College, Omandurar Government Estate, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India.
- 2. Professor, Department of Pathology, Madras Medical College, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India.

#### NAME, ADDRESS, E-MAIL ID OF THE CORRESPONDING AUTHOR: Dr. K Ashwini.

Euphoria Apartments, Chitti babu Nagar, 3<sup>rd</sup> Cross Street, Pallikaranai, Chennai-600100, Tamil Nadu, India. E-mail: dr.achu15@gmail.com

FINANCIAL OR OTHER COMPETING INTERESTS: None.

Date of Submission: Apr 04, 2017 Date of Peer Review: May 01, 2017 Date of Acceptance: Sep 06, 2017 Date of Publishing: Jan 01, 2018