

# A Review of Clinical Evaluation and Management of Delirium

BHUPENDRA SHAH<sup>1</sup>, BIJAY BARTUALA<sup>2</sup>

## ABSTRACT

Delirium is a syndrome characterised by the acute onset, fluctuating course of disturbed consciousness and cognitive impairment. It is an important medical condition with poor outcomes. Delirium is still under-recognised problem in intensive care unit. This is our endeavor to review the diagnosis and management of delirium based on the published literature. Two reviewer independently searched Electronic databases MEDLINE/PubMed, Google Scholar, Cochrane library and Scopemed with Mesh (Medical Subject Headings) terms “delirium”, “diagnosis”, and “management” from earliest possible date to January 31<sup>st</sup>, 2018. Articles in any language especially those published in recent years were given preference. Delirium is categorised into hypoactive, hyperactive and mixed type. The Confusional assessment method is an effective, easy and user-friendly tool to diagnose delirium. The non-pharmacological management like reorientation, mobilisation, and termination of the reversible cause is the initial step of delirium management. Haloperidol is a drug choice for delirium; however, newer antipsychotics are showing promising results.

**Keywords:** Antipsychotic, Diagnostic tool, Outcomes, Pathophysiology, Risk factors

## INTRODUCTION

Delirium (sometimes called acute confusional state) is a syndrome characterised by acute onset, fluctuating course of disturbed consciousness and cognitive impairment [1]. The point prevalence of delirium was 10-15% which was even higher if we consider only elderly population [2]. It is a serious medical condition with poor outcomes. Every 48-hours of delirium increases mortality by 11% [3]. Delirium is widely considered as a marker of the quality of hospital care [4]. The misdiagnosis of delirium in the medical and surgical ward was up to 46% [5]. Delirium is still an under-recognised problem in intensive care unit [6]. The delirium is generating considerable interest in a patient care in the developed world; however, it is still neglected in developing countries. In this review, we aim to analyse the diagnosis and management of delirium based on published literatures of delirium.

## PATHOPHYSIOLOGY

The pathophysiology of delirium is a complex process and not clearly understood yet. Among the various theories hypothesised, the most widely accepted theory is neurotransmitter theory and neuroinflammation theory. The neurotransmitter hypothesis states that delirium is a result of decreased cholinergic activity and increased dopamine, noradrenaline, and glutamate activity in the brain. It occurs due to the reduced oxidative metabolism of neurotransmitter [7]. This theory is supported by the improvement of delirium with the use of cholinesterase inhibitor and a dopamine antagonist.

Neuroinflammation theory states that delirium is due to release of different cytokines, which leads to brain dysfunction. In a delirious patient, there was an elevation in Interleukin-6 and Interleukin-8 [8]. This theory gives explanation to the toxic and septic delirium. The other proposed theories of delirium are: neuronal aging, oxidative stress, neuroendocrine, diurnal dysregulation, and network disconnectivity [9]. To explain all the theory of delirium is out of the scope of this review.

## RISK FACTORS

The risk factors for a delirium are categorised into predisposing and precipitating factors as mentioned in [Table/Fig-1] [10]. Predisposing factors are an inherent characteristic of individuals to have delirium whereas precipitating factors are often modifiable factors associated with delirium.

Predisposing factors	Precipitating factors
Dementia	Infection
Advanced age	Benzodiazepines use
Visual impairment	Alcohol withdrawal
High co-morbid burden	Brain insult
History of alcohol abuse	Acute kidney injury
Malnutrition	Liver failure
Past history of stroke	Heart failure
Hearing loss	Catheterisation
	Physical restraint
	Polypharmacy

[Table/Fig-1]: Risk factors for delirium.

## CLINICAL FEATURES

Delirium is characterised by a global disturbance in a cognition, attention, and consciousness. It is usually of an acute onset. The severity of delirium fluctuates with time. It shows sun-downing phenomenon. Caregivers often need to take history from the patient attendant and nursing staff. The heterogeneity in the symptoms of delirium led to under recognition of this condition. The diagnosis is often confused with other condition like catatonic depression and dementia [5]. The clinical features of delirium vary according to its type. Delirium is classified into three motor subtypes- ‘Hypoactive’, ‘Hyperactive’ and ‘Mixed type’ [11]. Hypoactive delirium is characterised by drowsiness and inactivity whereas hyperactive delirium is dominated by restlessness and agitation. The mixed type has the features of both agitation and drowsiness [12]. The prevalence of hyperactive, hypoactive and mixed type delirium was 50.15%, 19.93%, and 24.6% respectively [13]. Even though most of the delirium is precipitated by the benign conditions, sometimes it may be a manifestation of life threatening condition. The red flag sign for delirium is illustrated in [Table/Fig-2] [14].

## CLINICAL EXAMINATION

There are numerous causes of delirium. We should examine the patient thoroughly to identify the etiological diagnosis of delirium. The summary of the examination is illustrated in [Table/Fig-3]. We should perform complete blood count, electrolyte panel, random

1	Altered level of consciousness
2	Age <65 years
3	Head trauma
4	Neurological sign
5	Severe headache
6	Delirium tremens
7	Vomiting
8	Presence of co-morbidities

**[Table/Fig-2]:** Red flags for delirium.

blood sugar, renal function, hepatic function analysis and arterial blood gas analysis. Chest X-ray may show evidence of pneumonia. Computed tomography of the head should be done if delirium associated with the focal neurological deficit. Toxicological profile may be required if history is suggestive of toxin intake [15].

General physical examination	Assess dehydration, temperature, icterus
Central system examination	Sensorium, focal neurological sign, meningeal sign, pupillary reaction
Gastrointestinal system	Look for abdominal distension
Respiratory system	Assess for pneumonia and chronic respiratory disease
Cardiovascular system	Look for evidence of heart failure
Genitourinary system	Asses for urinary bladder distension
Musculoskeletal system	Asses for musculoskeletal injuries

**[Table/Fig-3]:** Clinical examination to look for delirium.

## DIAGNOSIS TOOL

In the assessment of delirium, there are various tools and criteria like Confusion Assessment Method (CAM) tool, Diagnostic Statistical Method-V (DSM-V) criteria and Delirium Rating Scale (DRS). Among the various methods, CAM is an easy, reliable and user-friendly tool. It can be done within five minutes [16]. The confusional assessment method had a sensitivity of 94% and specificity of 89% to diagnose the delirium [17]. Adamis D et al., in his study reported that there was an agreement between CAM, DSM-IV, DSM-V and delirium rating scale-R98 in diagnosing the delirium [18]. The questionnaire of CAM is shown in [Table/Fig-4]. In intensive cares setting, a well validated a CAM-ICU tool can be applied to screen delirium in a critical ill patients. Similarly, memorial delirium assessment scale is reliable, easy and a time-saving tool to assess the severity of delirium [19].

1	Acute onset and fluctuating course
2	Impaired attention
3	Disorganised thinking
4	Altered level of consciousness

For diagnosis of delirium 1 and 2 plus either 3 or 4 is required

**[Table/Fig-4]:** Confusional assesment method.

## TREATMENT

### Non-pharmacological Treatment

The management of delirium begins with the counseling to the caregiver regarding the symptoms, prognosis, and mode of management of delirium as soon as the diagnosis of the delirium established. Adequate hydration and nutrition therapy is an important component of the management of delirium. We should correct the identifiable reversible cause as soon as possible. The multi-component nonpharmacological interventions should be offered to patients with delirium. It includes promoting mobilisation, avoiding bed changes, limiting medical monitoring, reorienting patients and promoting sound sleep [15].

### Physical Restraining

Physical restraining is a commonly practiced method for managing the delirium. Physical restraining was associated with increased

mortality and morbidity [20]. The most common indication for physical restraint was the prevention of disruption of therapy [21]. Physical restraint of the delirious patient should be discouraged unless the patient combativeness hampered their or other patient's therapy. Physical restraining should be time-limited. Caregiver should re-evaluate the indications, side-effects, and effectiveness of physical restraining frequently while managing the patients with delirium [22].

## PHARMACOLOGICAL THERAPY

### Haloperidol

Haloperidol is the most frequently prescribed typical antipsychotic drugs for delirium due to its lesser anticholinergic and sedative side-effects. Devanand DP et al., in their study demonstrated that standard dose haloperidol (2 to 3 mg/day) was significantly more effective than low dose haloperidol (0.5-0.75 mg/day) and placebo to reduce the psychomotor agitation in a patient of Alzheimer's disease with delirium [23]. Haloperidol was equally effective to risperidone, olanzapine and aripiprazole to reduce the delirium but the extra pyramidal side effects were more than other antipsychotic drugs [24].

### Risperidone

Risperidone is a second line atypical antipsychotic with predominant action on 5HT<sub>2</sub> and dopamine-2 receptor [25]. Risperidone is recently advocated by many clinicians for its use in delirium due to its decreased extra-pyramidal side-effects. Han CS and Kim YK, in their study demonstrated that 'Risperidone' and 'Haloperidol' had comparable efficacy and side-effects profile; however, the sample was smaller in the study and need of study with larger sample size was recommended [26]. The low dose of risperidone (2.6±1.7 mg/day) was effective and safe in delirium in the medically ill patient [27]. The side effects of risperidone are tremor and rigidity [28].

### Quetiapine

Quetiapine is an atypical antipsychotic with little extra-pyramidal and antimuscarinic side effects [29]. Srisurapanont M, in their open-label study on delirium demonstrated that quetiapine at a dose of 25-100 mg/day is well tolerated and significantly reduces its severity [30]. It is comparable to haloperidol in efficacy and safety for the management of behavioural disturbances in the delirious patient [31]. The extra-pyramidal side-effects and QT<sub>c</sub> prolongation of quetiapine are lesser than haloperidol. The major side-effects of quetiapine are somnolence, dizziness, and postural hypotension [32].

### Olanzapine

Olanzapine is a atypically antipsychotics action with antagonist action on D<sub>2</sub> receptor and 5HT<sub>2</sub> receptor. Olanzapine has a poorer response in the elderly population above 75 years of age [24]. Olanzapine was comparable to haloperidol for resolving the delirium [33,34]. Olanzapine was also effective in reducing the episode of delirium if given prophylactically to elderly patients undergoing joint replacement surgery [35]. The extra-pyramidal side-effects of olanzapine were negligible; however, sedation is a major issues in in about 30% of patient treated with olanzapine [36].

### Dexmedetomidine

Dexmedetomidine is a selective alpha-2 agonist. It shows sedative, anxiolytic and analgesic effect. It was more effective than haloperidol in reducing the ICU length stay, duration of intubation and need of sedation in a patient who was under mechanical ventilation [37]. Phandharipande P et al., reported in their study that 'Dexmedetomidine' was better than 'lorazepam' to achieve the targeted level of sedation in a mechanically ventilated patient with fewer days in delirium [38]. Among mechanically ventilated patient, dexmedetomidine treated patient had a lesser risk for delirium than

midazolam with the same level of sedation. The added advantage of dexmedetomidine in a mechanically ventilated patient is not causing respiratory depression. Common side effects of dexmedetomidine are nausea, hypotension, and bradycardia [39].

### Aripiprazole

Aripiprazole is a partial D2 partial agonist, 5-HTA1 agonist, and 5-HTA2 antagonist [40]. Boettger S and Breitbart W, reported in their study that 'Aripiprazole' is effective in managing the hypoactive as well as hyperactive delirium in the hospitalised cancer patient [41]. Aripiprazole is preferred in a patient with the cardiovascular disease and diabetes due to its minimal effect on QT<sub>c</sub> interval, weight gain, lipid profile, and glucose profile [42]. The starting dose of aripiprazole is 1 mg BD [43].

### Benzodiazepine

Benzodiazepine is commonly used to control the agitation in the hospitalised patient. In the meta-analysis done by Mayo-smith MF et al., demonstrated that benzodiazepines were more effective than a neuroleptic agent to reduce the alcohol withdrawal related delirium [44]. Lorazepam is an important a risk factor to cause delirium in the mechanically ventilated patient [38]. Benzodiazepines cannot be recommended for the management of delirium other than alcohol withdrawal delirium due to limited evidence [31]. The side effects of benzodiazepines use is respiratory depression and somnolence.

### PREVENTION

The prevalence of preventable delirium was 30-40% in a hospital setting [45]. As the delirium has a huge impact on mortality, morbidity and health care costs, we should take utmost care to prevent the delirium in a hospitalised patients. Inouye SK et al., reported that early intervention of the cognitive impairment, vision problems, hearing difficulty, immobility, sleep deprivation and dehydration were effective to prevent the delirium in a high-risk population than usual group [46]. Serafim RB et al., in their study demonstrated that the use of antipsychotic in surgical ICU as the preventive strategies may be effective to decrease the prevalence of delirium; however, Neufeld KJ et al., in the systemic review and meta-analysis of 19 studies demonstrated that antipsychotics was not effective for prevention and treatment of delirium [47,48]. Sleep protocol including back rub, warm drink, and relaxation tape reduced the use of sleep hypnotic drugs in a hospitalised elderly patient which prevent the onset of delirium [49]. Brummel NE and Girard TD, in their review reported that delirium can be prevented by pain management, early mobilisation and improving sleep in ICU patient with multiples risk factors [50]. Hence, non pharmacological intervention like pain management, sound sleep, early mobilisation, reduced used of hypnotics, addition of sensory aids should be encouraged and practiced by to prevent the delirium in hospitalised patients.

### STRENGTH

The simplified approach to a case of delirium and reviews of current medication of delirium.

### LIMITATION

We didn't conduct meta-analysis. Despite the present maximal effort, there might be a selection bias of studies and recent important studies have been missed out.

### CONCLUSION

Delirium is a common problem in a clinical practice. The clinical feature of delirium is based on its type. CAM is an effective and easy method to diagnose delirium. We should advocate for a nonpharmacological method like termination of reversible causes,

reorientation, and early mobilisation of the hospitalised patient. Use of physical and chemical restraint should be minimised. The preventive methods of delirium in should be practiced in day to day care of the high risk population. Use of antipsychotics should be minimised and restricted to hyperactive delirium.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors acknowledge Prof. Sanjib K. Sharma for constant motivation to write this review and editors of Grammarly application for English language editing.

### REFERENCES

- [1] Delirium: prevention, diagnosis and management | Guidance and guidelines | NICE [Internet]. NICE; 2010 [cited 2017 Jul 23]. Available from: <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/cg103/chapter/Introduction>.
- [2] Bucht G, Gustafson Y, Sandberg O. Epidemiology of delirium. *Dement Geriatr Cogn Disord* [Internet]. 1999;10(5):315-18. Available from: <http://www.karger.com/?doi=10.1159/000017161>.
- [3] González M, Martínez G, Calderón J, Villarreal L, Yuri F, Rojas C, et al. Impact of delirium on short-term mortality in elderly inpatients: a prospective cohort study. *Psychosomatics* [Internet]. 2009;50(3):234-38. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19567762>.
- [4] Inouye SK, Schlesinger MJ, Lydon TJ. Delirium: a symptom of how hospital care is failing older persons and a window to improve quality of hospital care. *Am J Med* [Internet]. 1999;106(5):565-73. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10335730>.
- [5] Armstrong SC, Cozza KL, Watanabe KS. The misdiagnosis of delirium. *Psychosomatics* [Internet]. 1997;38(5):433-39. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9314712>.
- [6] Chawla R, Myatra S, Ramakrishnan N, Todi S, Kansal S, Dash S. Current practices of mobilization, analgesia, relaxants and sedation in Indian ICUs: a survey conducted by the Indian Society of Critical Care Medicine. *Indian J Crit Care Med* [Internet]. 2014;18(9):575. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25249742>.
- [7] White S. The neuropathogenesis of delirium. *Rev Clin Gerontol*. 2002;12(1):62-67.
- [8] de Rooij SE, van Munster BC, Korevaar JC, Levi M. Cytokines and acute phase response in delirium. *J Psychosom Res*. 2007;62(5):521-25.
- [9] Maldonado JR. Neuropathogenesis of delirium: review of current etiologic theories and common pathways. *Am J Geriatr Psychiatry* [Internet]. 2013;21(12):1190-222. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24206937>.
- [10] Vasilevskis EE, Han JH, Hughes CG, Ely EW. Epidemiology and risk factors for delirium across hospital settings. *Best Pr Res Clin Anaesthesiol* [Internet]. 2012;26(3):277-87. Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3580997/pdf/nihms403190.pdf>.
- [11] Lipowski ZJ. *Delirium: acute confusional state*. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 1990.
- [12] Meagher D. Motor subtypes of delirium: past, present and future. *Int Rev Psychiatry* [Internet]. 2009;21(1):59-73. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19219713>.
- [13] Grover S, Sharma A, Aggarwal M, Mattoo SK, Chakrabarti S, Malhotra S, et al. Comparison of symptoms of delirium across various motoric subtypes. *Psychiatry Clin Neurosci* [Internet]. 2014;68(4):283-91. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24372977>.
- [14] Cumisky A. Red flag symptoms: Delirium | GPOnline [Internet]. 2005 [cited 2017 Aug 22]. Available from: <http://www.gponline.com/red-flag-symptoms-delirium/neurology/article/1102510>.
- [15] Cerejeira J, Mukaetova-ladinska EB. A clinical update on delirium: from early recognition to effective management. *Nurs Res Pract*. 2011;2011:875196.
- [16] Inouye SK, van Dyck CH, Alessi CA, Balkin S, Segal AP, Horwitz RI. Clarifying confusion: the confusion assessment method. A new method for detection of delirium. *Ann Intern Med* [Internet]. 1990;113(12):941-48. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/2240918>.
- [17] Wei LA, Fearing MA, Sternberg EJ, Inouye SK. The Confusion Assessment Method (CAM): a systematic review of current usage. *J Am Geriatr Soc* [Internet]. 2008;56(5):823-30. Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC2585541/pdf/nihms50514.pdf>.
- [18] Adamis D, Rooney S, Meagher D, Mulligan O, McCarthy G. A comparison of delirium diagnosis in elderly medical inpatients using the CAM, DRS-R98, DSM-IV and DSM-5 criteria. *Int Psychogeriatrics* [Internet]. 2015;27(6):883-39. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25601222>.
- [19] Breitbart W, Rosenfeld B, Roth A, Smith MJ, Cohen K, Passik S. The memorial delirium assessment scale. *J Pain Symptom Manage* [Internet]. 1997;13(3):128-37. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9114631>.
- [20] Parker K, Miles SH. Deaths caused by bedrails. *J Am Geriatr Soc* [Internet]. 1997;45(7):797-802. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9215328>.
- [21] Minnick AF, Mion LC, Johnson ME, Catrambone C, Leipzig R. Prevalence and variation of physical restraint use in acute care settings in the US. *J Nurs Scholarsh an Off Publ Sigma Theta Tau Int Honor Soc Nurs* [Internet]. 2007;39(1):30-37. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17393963>.
- [22] Agens JE. Chemical and physical restraint use in the older person. *Br J Med Pract* [Internet]. 2010;3(1):302-07. Available from: <http://www.bjmp.org/files/2010-3-1/bjmp-2010-3-1-302.pdf>.

- [23] Devanand DP, Marder K, Michaels KS, Sackeim HA, Bell K, Sullivan MA, et al. A randomized, placebo-controlled dose-comparison trial of haloperidol for psychosis and disruptive behaviours in alzheimer's disease. *Am J Psychiatry* [Internet]. 1998;155(11):1512-20. Available from: <http://ajp.psychiatryonline.org/doi/pdf/10.1176/ajp.155.11.1512>.
- [24] Yoon HJ, Park KM, Choi WJ, Choi SH, Park JY, Kim JJ, et al. Efficacy and safety of haloperidol versus atypical antipsychotic medications in the treatment of delirium. *BMC Psychiatry* [Internet]. Cambridge University Press; 2013;13(1):588-94. Available from: <http://bmcp psychiatry.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/1471-244X-13-240>.
- [25] Love RC, Nelson MW. Pharmacology and clinical experience with risperidone. *Expert Opin Pharmacother* [Internet]. 2000;1(7):1441-53. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11249477>.
- [26] Han CS, Kim YK. A double-blind trial of risperidone and haloperidol for the treatment of delirium. *Psychosomatics* [Internet]. 2004;45(4):297-301. Available from: <http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S003331820470170X>.
- [27] Parellada E, Baeza I, de Pablo J, Martínez G. Risperidone in the treatment of patients with delirium. *J Clin Psychiatry* [Internet]. 2004;65(3):348-53. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15096074>.
- [28] Yen YC, Lung FW, Chong MY. Adverse effects of risperidone and haloperidol treatment in schizophrenia. *Prog Neuro-Psychopharmacology Biol Psychiatry* [Internet]. 2004;28(2):285-90. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14751424>.
- [29] Simpson GM, Angus JW. A rating scale for extrapyramidal side effects. *Acta Psychiatr Scand Suppl* [Internet]. 1970;212:11-19. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/4917967>.
- [30] Srisurapanont M. An open-label study of quetiapine for delirium. *J Med Assoc Thai* [Internet]. 2007;90(10):2158-63. Available from: [http://www.academia.edu/27257609/An\\_open-label\\_study\\_of\\_quetiapine\\_for\\_delirium](http://www.academia.edu/27257609/An_open-label_study_of_quetiapine_for_delirium).
- [31] Maneeton B, Maneeton N, Srisurapanont M, Chittawatanarat K. Quetiapine versus haloperidol in the treatment of delirium: a double-blind, randomized, controlled trial. *Drug Des Devel Ther* [Internet]. 2013;7:657-67. Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3728270/pdf/dddt-7-657.pdf>.
- [32] Schwartz TL, Masand PS. Treatment of delirium with quetiapine. *Prim Care Companion J Clin Psychiatry* [Internet]. Physicians Postgraduate Press, Inc. 2000;2(1):10-12. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15014661>.
- [33] Siphimalani A, Masand PS. Olanzapine in the treatment of delirium. *Psychosomatics* [Internet]. 1998;39(5):422-30. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9775699%5Cnhttp://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0033318298713015>.
- [34] Skrobik YK, Bergeron N, Dumont M, Gottfried SB. Olanzapine vs haloperidol: Treating delirium in a critical care setting. *Intensive Care Med*. 2004;30(3):444-49.
- [35] Larsen KA, Kelly SE, Stern TA, Bode Jr. RH, Price LL, Hunter DJ, et al. Administration of olanzapine to prevent postoperative delirium in elderly joint-replacement patients: a randomized, controlled trial. *Psychosomatics* [Internet]. 2010;51(5):409-18. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20833940>.
- [36] Breitbart W, Tremblay A, Gibson C. An open trial of olanzapine for the treatment of delirium in hospitalized cancer patients. *Psychosomatics* [Internet]. Columbia University Press, New York. 1994;43(3):175-82. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12075032>.
- [37] Reade MC, O'Sullivan K, Bates S, Goldsmith D, Ainslie WR, Bellomo R. Dexmedetomidine vs. haloperidol in delirious, agitated, intubated patients: a randomised open-label trial. *Crit Care* [Internet]. 2009;13(3):R75. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19454032>.
- [38] Pandharipande P, Shintani A, Peterson J, Pun BT, Wilkinson GR, Dittus RS, et al. Lorazepam is an independent risk factor for transitioning to delirium in intensive care unit patients. *Anesthesiology* [Internet]. 2006;104(1):21-26. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16394685>.
- [39] Bhana N, Goa KL, McClellan KJ. Dexmedetomidine. *Drugs* [Internet]. 2000;59(2):263-70. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10730549>.
- [40] de Bartolomeis A, Tomasetti C, Iasevoli F. Update on the mechanism of action of aripiprazole: translational insights into antipsychotic strategies beyond dopamine receptor antagonism. *CNS Drugs* [Internet]. Springer; 2015;29(9):773-99. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26346901>.
- [41] Boettger S, Breitbart W. An open trial of aripiprazole for the treatment of delirium in hospitalized cancer patients. *Palliat Support Care* [Internet]. 2011;9(4):351-57. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22104410>.
- [42] Straker DA, Shapiro PA, Muskin PR. Aripiprazole in the treatment of delirium. *Psychosomatics* [Internet]. 2006;47(5):385-91. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16959926>.
- [43] Thom RB, Mock CK TP. Delirium in hospitalized patients: Risks and benefits of antipsychotics. *Cleve Clin J Med* [Internet]. 2017;84(8):616-22. Available from: [http://www.mdedge.com/sites/default/files/Document/July-2017/thom\\_delirium.pdf](http://www.mdedge.com/sites/default/files/Document/July-2017/thom_delirium.pdf).
- [44] Mayo-Smith MF, Beecher LH, Fischer TL, Gorelick DA, Guillaume JL, Hill A, et al. Management of alcohol withdrawal delirium. An evidence-based practice guideline. *Arch Intern Med* [Internet]. 2004;164(13):1405-12. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15249349>.
- [45] Siddiqi N, House AO, Holmes JD, Siddiqi N, House AO, Holmes JD. Occurrence and outcome of delirium in medical in-patients: a systematic literature review. *Age Ageing* [Internet]. Oxford University Press. 2006;35(4):350-64. Available from: <https://academic.oup.com/ageing/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/ageing/af005>.
- [46] Inouye SK, Bogardus ST, Charpentier PA, Leo-Summers L, Acampora D, Holford TR, et al. A multicomponent intervention to prevent delirium in hospitalized older patients. *N Engl J Med* [Internet]. 1999;340(9):669-76. Available from: <http://www.nejm.org/doi/pdf/10.1056/NEJM199903043400901>.
- [47] Serafim RB, Bozza FA, Soares M, do Brasil PEAA, Tura BR, Ely EW, et al. Pharmacologic prevention and treatment of delirium in intensive care patients: A systematic review. *J Crit Care* [Internet]. 2015;30(4):799-807. Available from: <http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0883944115001501>.
- [48] Neufeld KJ, Yue J, Robinson TN, Inouye SK, Needham DM. Antipsychotic medication for prevention and treatment of delirium in hospitalized adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Am Geriatr Soc* [Internet]. 2016;64(4):705-14. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27004732>.
- [49] McDowell JA, Mion LC, Lydon TJ, Inouye SK. A nonpharmacologic sleep protocol for hospitalized older patients. *J Am Geriatr Soc* [Internet]. 1998;46(6):700-05. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9625184>.
- [50] Brummel NE, Girard TD. Preventing delirium in the intensive care unit. *Crit Care Clin* [Internet]. NIH Public Access. 2013;29(1):51-65. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23182527>.

**PARTICULARS OF CONTRIBUTORS:**

1. Assistant Professor, Department of Internal Medicine, BP Koirala Institute of Health Sciences, Ghopa, Dharan, Nepal.
2. Assistant Professor, Department of Internal Medicine, BP Koirala Institute of Health Sciences, Ghopa, Dharan, Nepal.

**NAME, ADDRESS, E-MAIL ID OF THE CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:**

Dr. Bhupendra Shah,  
Assistant Professor, Department of Internal Medicine, BP Koirala Institute of Health Sciences, Ghopa, Dharan-56700, Nepal.  
E-mail: bhupendra.shah@bpkihs.edu

Date of Submission: **Dec 28, 2017**Date of Peer Review: **Feb 13, 2018**Date of Acceptance: **Apr 13, 2018**Date of Publishing: **Jun 01, 2018****FINANCIAL OR OTHER COMPETING INTERESTS:** None.