Comparing the Efficacy and Influence on the Quality of Life of Three Classes of Drugs Used in Bronchial Asthma - A Prospective Study

AJITHA SHARMA¹, SHALINI ADIGA², BHARTI CHOGTU³, ASWINI KUMAR MOHAPATRA⁴, RAHUL MAGAZINE⁵

ABSTRACT

Objectives: To compare the efficacy of formoterol, montelukast and acebrophylline when used in combination with budesonide in patients with bronchial asthma and to determine their role in the improvement of the quality of life of patients.

Materials and Methods: A total of 75 patients were divided into 3 groups based on treatment given – formoterol 6 mcg/ puff + budesonide 100 mcg/puff combination inhaler, 2 puffs twice daily; oral montelukast 10 mg once daily + budesonide 100 mcg/puff, 2 puffs twice daily and oral acebrophylline 100 mg twice daily + budesonide 100 mcg/puff, 2 puffs twice daily. The patients were followed-up for 4 wk after initiating treatment. Spirometry values – forced expiratory volume in 1 second (FEV1)

INTRODUCTION

A combination of inhaled corticosteroids (ICS) with long acting β_{o} - agonists (LABA) has been used as the first line therapy for controlling symptoms and preventing exacerbations in moderate to severe asthma. This approach has been made possible with the combination inhaler containing budesonide and formoterol, which has a rapid onset of bronchodilator action with an efficacy and safety similar to salbutamol in acute severe asthma [1,2]. However, concerns have been raised due to an increased mortality seen in some studies due to LABA therapy [3]. Montelukast is a cysteinyl leukotriene1(CysLT1) receptor antagonist and has been found to reduce the airway eosinophilic inflammation [4]. When given in combination with ICS, montelukast was found to be as effective as LABA and even more in some disease control parameters like night time symptom control. However unlike LABA, they have not been found to reduce the dose of inhaled steroids when used in combination [5].

Acebrophylline, which contains ambroxol and theophylline-7 acetic acid, has been found to improve ciliary clearance, reduce the frequency of episodes of bronchial obstruction, reduce the need for β_2 -agonists and improve indices of ventilatory function. The anti-inflammatory effect of acebrophylline may be useful in the treatment of this disease. Unlike other xanthine derivatives including theophylline, it has minimal side effects like palpitations and tachycardia [6].

Asthma causes considerable impairment in the physical, social and emotional aspects of lives of patients and thus has a substantial impact on the quality of life [7]. These outcomes are important because they reflect the patients' burden of disease rather than a simple assessment of clinical and economic perspectives. Most of the studies comparing the combinations of anti-asthmatic drugs with inhaled corticosteroids have been carried out in the United States and Europe. Such studies are scarce from the Indian subcontinent. So this study was undertaken with the following and peak expiratory flow rate (PEFR), before and after treatment were recorded. The quality of life was assessed before and after treatment using Asthma Quality of Life Questionnaire (AQLQ). Analysis was done using repeated measures ANOVA followed by Bonferroni's post-hoc test.

Results: As compared to baseline values, FEV1, PEFR and Quality of Life showed significant improvement in all 3 groups after 4 wk of treatment (p-value < 0.01). Three patients in acebrophylline-treated group reported gastric irritation while no adverse effects were reported in the other groups.

Conclusion: Formoterol, montelukast or acebrophylline combined with inhaled corticosteroids have similar efficacy in the treatment of asthma.

Keywords: Acebrophylline, Budesonide, Formoterol, Montelukast

objectives: a) To compare the efficacy of three different classes of anti – asthmatic drugs i.e., long acting β_2 – agonist (formoterol), leukotriene antagonist (montelukast) and acebrophylline when used in combination with budesonide in patients with bronchial asthma in a tertiary care hospital and to assess the safety of these drugs b) To determine their role in the improvement of quality of life of patients.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This observational, prospective, comparative study was done on patients of bronchial asthma, after getting the approval of the Institutional Ethics Committee (IEC) in a tertiary care hospital in coastal Karnataka in South India. The study was carried out for a period of six months during 2012-2013. Patients of bronchial asthma on inhalational steroid therapy who needed an add-on therapy and who were willing to give written informed consent were included in the study. Those who were already on the study drugs, those with present or past history of smoking and those with old or active pulmonary tuberculosis, COPD, bronchiectasis or any other respiratory diseases or cardiovascular diseases were excluded from the study.



[Table/Fig-1]: Age distribution of study population

Groups (n)*	At Baseline visit	After 4 wk of treatment	p-value	
Group 1 (25)	2.12 ± 0.65	2.38 ± 0.68	<0.01	
Group 2 (25)	2.24 ± 0.75	2.47 ± 0.73	<0.01	
Group 3 (25)	2.27 ± 0.70	2.47 ± 0.78	<0.01	
[Table/Fig-2]: Pre and post treatment values of FEV1 (L)., $*n = number of patients in each group. Values expressed as mean \pm SD, p < 0.05 being considered significant$				

Groups (n) †	At Baseline visit	After 4 wk of treatment	p-value
Group 1 (25)	4.72 ± 1.30	5.16 ± 1.35	<0.01
Group 2 (25)	5.80 ± 1.92	6.21 ± 1.82	<0.01
Group 3 (25)	5.56 ± 1.84	6.10 ± 1.90	<0.01

[Table/Fig-3]: Pre and post treatment values of PEFR (L/sec)., $\dagger n = number of patients each group. Values expressed as mean <math>\pm$ SD, p < 0.05 being considered significant



After taking informed consent, the demographic details of the patients were recorded. The details of inhalational steroid medication taken, routine blood test reports and spirometry values were noted. The quality of life was assessed using 'Asthma Quality of Life Questionnaire' (AQLQ). A total of 75 patients were included in the study. Patients received the following medications: Patients in first group received formoterol (6 mcg/puff) + budesonide (100 mcg/ puff) combination inhaler (2 puffs twice daily), while those in second group received montelukast (10 mg once daily) + budesonide (100 mcg/puff, 2 puffs twice daily) and those in third group received acebrophylline (100 mg twice daily) + budesonide (100 mcg/puff, 2 puffs twice daily). Patients were followed-up for a total of 4 wk period after the initiation of treatment. After 2 wk of treatment, quality of life was assessed again and occurrence of any adverse event was documented. After 4 wk of treatment, the routine blood test reports, repeat spirometry values, quality of life and adverse events were recorded.

The Asthma Quality of Life Questionnaire (AQLQ) was used to assess the improvement in the quality of life [8]. There were 29 questions in the AQLQ which were divided into 4 domains. Domain A dealt with activity limitation while domain B dealt with occurrence of symptoms like shortness of breath, wheezing, cough, heaviness of chest and night time asthmatic episodes. Domain C dealt with emotional disturbances due to asthma like frustration or concern due to the disease, concern about availability and intake of medicines and also the fear of episodic attacks. Domain D dealt with precipitation of asthmatic attacks on exposure to environmental stimuli like cigarette smoke, dust, air pollution, strong smells or perfumes. Patients were asked to think about how they had been during the previous 2 wk and then respond to the questions. They were asked to grade the responses to each of the 29 questions on a four-point scale (0= no impairment/ none of the time, 1= mild impairment/ some of the time, 2= moderate impairment/ most of the time, 3= severe/persistent impairment/ all the time). The mean score of all the questions in each domain was calculated and this was taken as the individual domain scores. The overall AQLQ score was calculated as the mean of the individual domain scores. The questionnaire was administered to the patients at baseline visit, after 2 wk and 4 wk of treatment.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

The data collected was analysed using SPSS software version 16.0. Categorical variables were analysed using repeated measures ANOVA, followed by Bonferroni's post-hoc test. A p-value < 0.05 was considered significant.

RESULTS

A total of 75 patients who were diagnosed with asthma and put on the study treatments were followed up for a period of 1 month after treatment initiation. Of these, 36 were males and 39 were females. The male to female ratio was 0.92:1. This indicates a female preponderance to asthma. The study revealed peak incidence in the age group of 31-50 y [Table/Fig- 1] The baseline laboratory investigations in all patients were within normal limits. There was no significant difference in their values when repeated after four wk of treatment in all the three study groups.

Lung Function Tests

The forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV1) and Peak Expiratory Flow Rate (PEFR) showed significant improvement after 4 wk of treatment [Table/Fig- 2,3] .But on intergroup comparison, no significant difference was observed. Gastric irritation was observed only in acebrophylline-treated group in 3 patients (12%) which occurred usually about half an hour after the intake of the drug. It was mild in severity and lasted for about an hour. It was relieved spontaneously and did not warrant discontinuation of the study drug.

Quality of Life Questionnaire scores

There was a significant decrease in the mean scores of all the four domains and also the overall AQLQ score after 4 wk of treatment in all the groups. Comparative analysis of the three groups revealed no significant difference in domains A, C and D and the overall AQLQ score. However, in domain B, the formoterol group showed a significantly better control of symptoms when compared with montelukastandace brophylline groups [Table/Fig- 4].

DISCUSSION

In this study the number of female patients was more than males. Other studies done in India and Europe have reported higher incidence of asthma in females [9-11]. This has been attributed to a greater expression of symptoms of the disease in females, higher female life expectancy, hormonal factors and the fact that women are normally more exposed than men to cleaning products and other irritants. The mean age of the patients was 43.75 ± 14.31 y with peak incidence in the age group of 31-50 y. A lower mean age of patients [10] and a peak incidence above 60 y of age are revealed in certain studies [11]. This variation in asthma incidence in different age groups could be due to the small sample size of our study. Asthma is associated with airway eosinophilia which can be correlated with the severity of the disease [4]. In this study, there was no significant difference seen in the blood eosinophil counts before and after treatment in all the three study groups. Significant reduction in blood eosinophil counts were seen with montelukast but not with LABA in some studies [12]. However, few studies report no significant change in mean eosinophil counts after 6 wk of montelukast treatment [13].

FEV1 represents the air flow in both small and large airways. A decreased FEV1 is associated with an increased risk of severe exacerbations of asthma. Regular monitoring of pulmonary function is particularly important for asthma patients who do not perceive their symptoms until airflow obstruction is severe. The significant improvement seen in the average FEV1 in all the treatment groups in this study is consistent with other studies as well [1,2,14]. Comparative analysis between the study groups showed no significant difference in the average FEV1 which is consistent with other studies [12]. However, authors also found that LABA showed better efficacy in improving FEV1 than montelukast [15,16].

PEFR measures the airflow through the bronchi and thus the degree of obstruction in the airways. In clinical trials, peak flow values have been used as major outcome measures to monitor short and long term asthma control and treatment responses,. The significant improvement seen in the average PEFR in all the treatment groups is consistent with other studies [1,16]. On intergroup comparison, there was no significant difference in the change in PEFR which is consistent with other literature reports [17]. However, in some studies, LABA showed better efficacy in improving PEFR than montelukast [15,16].

In our study, 3 patients (12%) on acebrophylline treatment reported mild gastric irritation whereas no adverse effects were reported in formoterol and montelukast groups. Adverse events like headache (0.8%), tremors (1.6%) and tachycardia (0.65%) have been reported with the use of formoterol [2]. Authors have also reported headache (1% each) with the use of both LABA and montelukast, and insomnia (1%) with the use of LABA [16]. Another study reported a lesser incidence of gastrointestinal upset (2.6%) with acebrophylline [18].

The significant decrease seen in the mean scores of all domains and the overall AQLQ score after 4 wk of treatment in all the treatment groups of this study is in accordance with other studies [19]. The superiority in symptom control with formoterol can be attributed to its longer duration of action when compared with montelukast or acebrophylline; thereby leading to lesser occurrence of asthmatic symptoms.

The results of the study show that acebrophylline can provide a third option as an add-on therapy to ICS. The combination of acebrophylline with ICS represents a logical option as this combination offers different mechanisms of action for relief of asthma and also the additional anti-inflammatory effect of acebrophylline can benefit in the treatment of asthma. The follow-up period was restricted to one month which is ideally not sufficient to assess the effects of the drugs on the pulmonary functions. This was done because acebrophylline is usually administered for a brief period and not many safety reports are available on the long term usage of acebrophylline in asthma treatment. Another limitation was that the exacerbations in symptoms within the study period and the usage of rescue medication (short acting β_2 -agonist like salbutamol) could not be elicited accurately. Hence studies with larger sample size and of longer duration of treatment are warranted to establish the long term benefits and risks of different therapeutic combinations in the treatment of asthma.

CONCLUSION

formoterol, montelukast or acebrophylline, when combined with inhaled corticosteroids have shown similar efficacy in the treatment of asthma. Also, formoterol and montelukast were better tolerated than acebrophylline. All the drugs have shown similar effects on the quality of life of patients but formoterol appeared to have better symptom control.

REFERENCES

- O'Byrne PM, Bisgaard H, Godard PP, Pistolesi M, Palmqvist M, Zhu Y, et al. Budesonide/Formoterol combination therapy as both maintenance and reliever medication in asthma. *Am J RespirCrit Care Med.* 2005;171:129-36.
- [2] Pauwels RA, Lofdahl CG, Postma DS, Tattersfield AE, O'Byrne P, Barnes PJ, et al. Effect of inhaledformoterol and budesonide on exacerbations of asthma. N Engl J Med. 1997;337:1405- 11.
- [3] Salpeter SR, Ormiston SM, Salpeter EE. Cardiovascular effects of beta-agonists in patients with asthma and COPD: a meta-analysis. *Chest.* 2004;125:2309-21.
- [4] Pizzichini E, Leff JA, Reiss TF, Hendeles L, Boulet L-P, Wei LX, et al. Montelukast reduces airwayeosinophilic inflammation in asthma: a randomized, controlled trial. *EurRespir J.* 1999;14:12-18.
- [5] Kanniess F, Richter K, Janicki S, Schleiss MB, Jorres RA, Magnussen H. Dose reduction of inhaled corticosteroids under concomitant medication with montelukast in patients with asthma. *EurRespir J.* 2002;20:1080-87.
- [6] Pozzi E. Acebrophylline: an airway mucoregulator and anti-inflammatory agent. Monaldi Arch Chest Dis. 2007;67:106-15.
- [7] Global Strategy for Asthma Management and Prevention, Global Initiative for Asthma (GINA) 2011. Available from: http://www.ginasthma.org/. (Accessed on 26Apr 2013).
- [8] Juniper EF, Guyatt GH, Epstein RS, Ferrie PJ, Jaeschke R, Hiller TK. Evaluation of impairment of health related quality of life in asthma: development of a questionnaire for use in clinical trials. *Thorax.* 1992;47:76-83.
- [9] Mahdi B, Mahesh PA, Mysore RS, Kumar P, Jayaraj BS, Ramachandra NB. Inheritance patterns, consanguinity & risk for asthma. *Indian J Med Res.* 2010;132:48-55.
- [10] de Marco R, Locatelli F, Sunyer J, Burney P. Differences in incidence of reported asthma related to age in men and women. A retrospective analysis of the data of the European Respiratory Health Survey. *Am J RespirCrit Care Med.* 2000;162:68-74.
- [11] Gonzalez-Barcala FJ, Aboal J, Valdes L, Carreira JM, Alvarez-Dobano JM, Puga A, et al. Trends in adult asthma hospitalization: gender-age effect. *Multidiscip Respir Med.* 2011;6:82-86.
- [12] Wilson AM, Dempsey OJ, Sims EJ, Lipworth BJ. Evaluation of salmeterol or montelukast as second-line therapy for asthma not controlled with inhaled corticosteroids. *Chest.* 2001;119:1021-26.
- [13] Visitsunthorn N, Chirdjirapong V, Santadilog S, Pajarn P, Jirapongsananuruk O, Komoltri C, et al. The effect of montelukast on bronchial hyperreactivity and lung function in asthmatic children aged 6-13 years. *Asian Pac J Allergy Immunol.* 2011;29:127-33.
- [14] Vaquerizo MJ, Casan P, Castillo J, Perpina M, Sanchis J, Sobradillo V, et al. Effect of montelukast added to inhaled budesonide on control of mild to moderate asthma. *Thorax*. 2003;58:204–10.
- [15] Ceylan E, Gencer M, Aksoy S. Addition of formoterol or montelukast to low-dose budesonide: an efficacy comparison in short- and long-term asthma control. *Respiration*. 2004;71:594-601.
- [16] Fish JE, Israel E, Murray JJ, Emmett A, Boone R, Yancey SW, et al. Salmeterol powder provides significantly better benefit than montelukast in asthmatic patients receiving concomitant inhaled corticosteroid therapy. *Chest.* 2001;120:423-30.
- [17] Bjermer L, Bisgaard H, Bousquet J, Fabbri LM, Greening AP, Haahtela T, et al. Montelukast and fluticasone compared with salmeterol and fluticasone in protecting against asthma exacerbation in adults: one year, double blind, randomised, comparative trial. *BMJ*. 2003;327:891.
- [18] Goldgrub N, Soares VRX, Hamaoui A, Zavattini G, Poli A. Therapeutic activity and tolerability profile of acebrophylline. *Advan Ther.* 1992; 9:107-15.
- [19] Biernacki WA, Kharitonov SA, Biernacka HM, Barnes PJ. Effect of montelukast on exhaled leukotrienes and quality of life in asthmatic patients. *Chest.* 2005;128:1958-63.

PARTICULARS OF CONTRIBUTORS:

- 1. Assistant Professor, Department of Pharmacology, Pondicherry Institute of Medical Sciences, Puducherry, India.
- 2. Professor, Department of Pharmacology, KMC, Manipal, Karnataka, India.
- 3. Associate Professor, Department of Pharmacology, KMC, Manipal, Karnataka, India.
- 4. Professor and Head, Department of Pulmonary Medicine, KMC, Manipal, Karnataka, India.
- 5. Professor, Department of Pulmonary Medicine, KMC, Manipal, Karnataka, India.

NAME, ADDRESS, E-MAIL ID OF THE CORRESPONDING AUTHOR: Dr. Shalini Adiga,

Professor, Department of Pharmacology, Kasturba Medical College, Manipal, Manipal University, Karnataka-576104, India. Phone : 0820-2922365, E-mail : drshaliadiga@gmail.com

FINANCIAL OR OTHER COMPETING INTERESTS: None.

Date of Submission: Feb 19, 2014 Date of Peer Review: Jul 10, 2014 Date of Acceptance: Aug 11, 2014 Date of Publishing: Sep 20, 2014