Cold Pressor Response in High Landers Versus Low Landers

JAGDISH NARAYAN¹, ARCHANA GHILDIYAL², MANISH GOYAL³, DILEEP VERMA⁴, SHRAD<u>DHA SINGH⁶, SUNITA TIWARI⁶</u>

ABSTRACT

Background: Native high landers face two main environmental challenges i.e. hypobaric hypoxia and low ambient temperatures. Both factors contribute to increased sympathetic stimulation and increased blood pressure. Despite these challenges, subjects living at high altitude have lower systolic and diastolic pressures as compared to subjects living in plains. Present study investigated cold pressor test (CPT) which is a potential predictor of future hypertension in high landers and low landers

Materials and Methods: Vascular reactivity in terms of changes in systolic and diastolic blood pressure and heart rate

in response to cold pressor test has been compared in high lander (n=45) and low lander (n=46) population.

Results: Systolic and diastolic blood pressure changes and heart rate changes with cold pressor test are lower in high landers as compared to low landers. Females in both the groups in general exhibited greater cold pressor response than males.

Conclusion: Hypo-reactive cold pressor test is due to higher parasympathetic tone and lower sympathetic tone. Decreased cold pressor response in high landers reflects another adaptive modulation of sympatho-vagal activity that enables them to stay in hypobaric atmosphere and lower temperatures without undue autonomic stress.

Keywords: Altitude, Autonomic, CPT, Hypertension, Parasympathetic, Sympathetic

INTRODUCTION

Indian Northern mountainous terrain extends from west to east for thousands of miles; where people dwell perennially at and above 5000-6000 feet, with very low winter temperatures; and even in summers, temperature remains very cold. On the contrary low landers of Gangetic plains face winter with low ambient temperatures for a mere 2-3 months.

Native high landers are innately adapted for hypobaric atmosphere and have large barrel shaped chest, small bodies and polycythemia [1-3]. High landers face two main environmental challenges i.e. hypobaric hypoxia and low ambient temperatures. Hypoxia both acute and chronic is known to cause sympathetic stimulation [4-6]. Autonomic functions in general exhibit higher sympathetic tone as revealed by higher LF/HF ratio (Low Frequency / High Frequency ratio) both acute and chronic exposure to high altitude [7-9]. Apart from increased sympathetic stimulation due to hypoxia, cold exposure independently may also contribute to increased blood pressure [10,11]. Despite these two challenges the native populations at high altitudes have systolic and diastolic pressures lower than the population at low altitude. Heart rate have also been reported to be lower in natives at high altitudes, this is largely attributable to higher vagal tone [12-14].

This peculiar lower risk of hypertension and related adverse cardiovascular events in high landers and its physiological correlation needs to be investigated and compared with that of the low landers. The vascular reactivity in terms of blood pressure and heart rate response to cold pressor test is a marker of sympathetic tone [15]. Also vascular reactivity to cold pressor test, has been described as an useful indicator of future hypertension [16-18].

In the present study we have investigated vascular reactivity to cold pressor test in high landers and compared it with low lander population.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Design: This was a cross-sectional experimental study done in two populations; High Landers and Low Landers.

Subjects: High Landers consisted of young males and females in age range of 18-25 years. Subjects were randomly selected from student population of Tehri – Garhwal division of Uttarakhand

province of India. All subjects were residing in this region since birth. This hilly region is at around 1550 – 1950 m above sea level and has low ambient temperature ranges from 8-15°C in October-November months.

Low Landers consisted of young males and females in age range of 18-25 years. Subjects were randomly selected from the student population of King George's Medical University, Lucknow (ambient temperature ranges from 20-27°C in October-November months) and all were residing in plains since birth.

All subjects filled a detailed proforma regarding their clinical and personal history. Subjects taking any cardiac antihypertensive drug, bronchodilator, antihistaminic and analgesic agents, alcoholics and smokers were excluded from the study. General clinical examination was performed to rule out any systemic disorder. An informed written consent was obtained from volunteers of all the groups and non invasive nature of experimental protocol was explained to them. The study protocol was approved by ethical committee of the Institute King George's Medical University, Lucknow, India.

Experimental Protocol: We performed all the tests between 9:00 AM - 12:00 PM in the months of October-November to avoid diurnal and seasonal variation. Testing of high landers was done at high altitude while the testing of low landers was done at low altitude. The height and weight were recorded in all the volunteers and Body mass index (BMI) calculated. The Subjects were asked to relax in a chair for 15 min. In all subjects test was performed in sitting posture as described elsewhere [19]. Baseline heart rate was determined from radial pulse for one minute. Systolic (SBP) and Diastolic Blood pressure (DBP) were recorded from right arm by a Sphygmomanometer in mm of Hg. The subjects were then asked to immerse their left hand in a big bowl filled with commercial ice cubicles with some water (0 - 4°C) for 1 min. The SBP and DBP were recorded at the end of one minute from right arm. Simultaneously pulse rate for 15 s at the end of cold stress was determined by another observer.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

The results are presented in Mean \pm SD and percentages. Normality of data was tested using Shapiro Wilk's test and equality of variance

| Parameters | High Landers (n=45) | Low Landers (n=46) | | | |
|---|---------------------|--------------------|--|--|--|
| Age in years | 18.33 ± 1.33 | 19.67 ± 1.47 | | | |
| Male:Female | 20:25 | 24:22 | | | |
| Height (cm) | 161.17 ± 8.53 | 166.02 ± 10.17* | | | |
| Weight (kg) | 48.20 ± 7.26 | 57.36 ± 12.23* | | | |
| BMI | 18.50 ± 1.98 | 20.65 ± 3.06* | | | |
| BSA | 526.50 ± 49.83 | 578.41 ± 72.08* | | | |
| Abdominal girth | 64.20 ± 10.51 | 77.85 ± 10.71* | | | |
| [Table/Fig-1]: Subject parameters, data presented as Mean ± SD. * represent statistically | | | | | |

Parameters **High landers** Low landers p value SBP Resting 111.6 ± 11.4 112.5 ± 10.5 0.689 SBP CPR 122.7 ± 11.2 124.4 ± 9.1 0.423 10.2 ± 3.7 10.9 ± 4.0 0.386 SBP % rise DBP Resting 73.2 ± 8.0 73 ± 8.9 0.891 DBP CPR 83.6 ± 7.7 82.9 ± 8.3 0.692 DBP % rise 14.5 ± 4.4 14.0 ± 4.1 0.600 Pulse Rate Resting 77.2 ± 6.3 79.4 ± 8.3 0.240 Pulse Rate CPT 86.1 ± 8.0 93.4 ± 10.1 0.000 Pulse Rate % rise 11.8 ± 8.5 18.9 ± 13.7 0.004 [Table/Fig-2]: Cold pressure responses in high landers and low landers, values presented as Mean ± SD, p-values represent level of significance as derived from unpaired t-test

was tested using Levene's test. The continuous variables were compared using unpaired t-test and dichotomous parameters compared using Chi Square test between High and Low Landers. The paired t-test was used to compare rise from resting to CPR. Subgroup analysis was done with respect to gender in both high landers and low landers using unpaired t test. Correlation analysis was done using Pearson correlation test. The p-value less than 0. 05 was considered significant. All the analysis were carried out by using SPSS 21. 0 (Chicago, Inc., USA).

RESULTS

There were total of 45 subjects in High Lander group and 46 subjects in Low Lander group. The male and female ratio was similar in both the groups. There was no significant difference in the age between high and low lander subjects. The measured height and weight and abdominal girth were significantly lower among the High Landers as compared to Low Landers. Also the derived parameters body surface area (BSA) and Body Mass Index (BMI) are accordingly lower in highlanders [Table/Fig-1].

The cold pressor response was compared between highlanders and low landers [Table/Fig-2]. There was no significant difference in resting pulse rate, systolic and diastolic blood pressures between the high landers and low landers. With 1 minute cold stress there was a significant rise in pulse rate, systolic and diastolic blood pressures from resting state in both the groups. However there is no statistically significant difference between cold pressor response in terms of absolute rise and percent rise in systolic and diastolic blood pressure between high landers and low landers. Only the rise in pulse rate (both absolute and percent rise) after cold pressor test is significantly lower in highlanders.

Increase in SBP, DBP and pulse rate in response to cold pressor test is in general was lower in females in both high landers and low landers [Table/Fig-3]. Among high landers % SBP rise only reached statistical significance. Among low landers rise in DBP and Pulse rate (both absolute values and percent increase values) shows statistically significant difference between males and females.

Correlation analysis results are presented in [Table/Fig-4]. Resting DBP and rise in DBP correlated with weight of the subjects. Resting DBP also correlated with body surface area of subjects. This

correlation was only a weak correlation ($r \sim 4$). Other statistically significant values of correlation coefficient were less than 4, therefore are not relevant. Derivation of prediction equations was not attempted in view of poor correlations or nil.

DISCUSSION

CPT is used to assess cardiovascular reactivity in normotensive and hypertensive subjects. Increased response to CPT is an potential marker for future hypertensive disease [17]. A 45 years follow up study by Wood et al., also suggests that cold pressor test is a potentially useful predictor of hypertension [18]. Traditionally, the cold pressor response is described only with BP changes, while the heart rate also increases due to sympathetic stimulation. Heart rate response may also provide insight into cardio-autonomic modulation during cold pressor test [20, 21].

High landers are exposed to hypobaric atmosphere along with low ambient temperatures. Low landers when are exposed to high altitude hypoxia and low temperature contribute to increase in sympathetic tone both in short term and in long term. In the present study we have compared the cold pressor response in high lander population and low lander population using both blood pressure changes and heart rate changes. There are no statistically significant differences in systolic and diastolic blood pressures between the two groups in present study. Several epidemiological studies have reported that at high altitudes subjects have typically lower levels of blood pressures both systolic and diastolic [22-24] High lander population in our group is residing at just 1550 - 1950 m height while earlier reports are for the populations at more than 3500 m height. Further both the study groups largely comprise of subjects belonging to almost similar socioeconomic strata and being students their lifestyle does not vary much.

Though there was lesser increase in systolic and diastolic blood pressure (non significant) but the increase in heart rate response in high landers is significantly lower than low landers. Also baseline heart rate is marginally lower in high landers. Autonomic function studies on subjects residing at high altitudes reported higher parasympathetic tone and lower sympathetic tone as reflected by lower LF/HF ratio as compared to subjects living in plains [25-27]. In contrast, the low landers when exposed to high altitude exhibits decrease in parasympathetic tone and increase in sympathetic tone [26-29]. High landers thus have inherently higher parasympathetic tone and lower sympathetic tone.

Baseline systolic and diastolic blood pressures are also a good predictor of future hypertension. In our study groups differences in baseline blood pressures were not statistically significant. Several studies on different groups of population in high altitude reported lower prevalence of blood pressure [30-32]. Fiori et al., particularly studied Asian population at low altitude, medium altitude and high altitudes. The residents at medium altitude did not exhibit lower blood pressures [32]. Our study population also does not corresponds to high altitude and our results are in accordance with medium altitude population blood pressures reported in this study.

Females in general in both high landers and low landers tend to have higher cold pressor response than their male counterparts. This is in accordance with previous reports [33-35]. Apart from inherent differences in sympathetic and parasympathetic modulation in males and females this is also in part explained by increased CPT pain perception in females [34,35].

The cold pressor response parameters didn't exhibited significant correlations with subject parameters. Weight and body surface are though revealed a significant relationship but the level of correlation is weak. Derivation of prediction equations was not attempted in view of poor correlations. Further the small size of the population studied was not expected to yield any meaningful conclusion regarding relationship between the response and subject parameters.

| Male (n=20) | Female (n=25) | p Value | Male (n=24) | E 1 (20) | |
|--------------|--|--|--|---|--|
| 1117+123 | | | | Female (n=22) | p Value |
| | 111.4 ± 10.9 | 0.333 | 116.3 ± 9 | 108.4 ± 10.6 | 0.599 |
| 121.8 ± 12.6 | 123.4 ± 10.2 | 0.226 | 127.2 ± 8.4 | 121.5 ± 9.1 | 0.539 |
| 9.2 ± 3.5 | 11 ± 3.8 | 0.008 | 9.5 ± 3.1 | 12.4 ± 4.4 | 0.386 |
| 74.0 ± 8.2 | 72.6 ± 8 | 0.940 | 76.7 ± 8.6 | 68.9 ± 7.4 | 0.009 |
| 83.9 ± 8.0 | 83.4 ± 7.6 | 0.632 | 86.2 ± 7.9 | 79.4 ± 7.3 | 0.0312 |
| 13.6 ± 4.2 | 15.2 ± 4.5 | 0.113 | 12.8 ± 4.5 | 15.4 ± 3.3 | 0.014 |
| 78.2 ± 6.3 | 76.4 ± 6.2 | 0.555 | 79.6 ± 9.6 | 78.3 ± 6.6 | 0.002 |
| 84.5 ± 7.0 | 87.4 ± 8.6 | 0.817 | 92.5 ± 9.2 | 94.4 ± 11.2 | 0.004 |
| 8.1 ± 3.6 | 14.7 ± 10.1 | 0.243 | 17.2 ± 13.9 | 20.7 ± 13.6 | 0.032 |
| | 9.2 ± 3.5 74.0 ± 8.2 83.9 ± 8.0 13.6 ± 4.2 78.2 ± 6.3 84.5 ± 7.0 8.1 ± 3.6 | 9.2 ± 3.5 11 ± 3.8 74.0 ± 8.2 72.6 ± 8 83.9 ± 8.0 83.4 ± 7.6 13.6 ± 4.2 15.2 ± 4.5 78.2 ± 6.3 76.4 ± 6.2 84.5 ± 7.0 87.4 ± 8.6 8.1 ± 3.6 14.7 ± 10.1 | 9.2 ± 3.5 11 ± 3.8 0.008 74.0 ± 8.2 72.6 ± 8 0.940 83.9 ± 8.0 83.4 ± 7.6 0.632 13.6 ± 4.2 15.2 ± 4.5 0.113 78.2 ± 6.3 76.4 ± 6.2 0.555 84.5 ± 7.0 87.4 ± 8.6 0.817 | 9.2 ± 3.5 11 ± 3.8 0.008 9.5 ± 3.1 74.0 ± 8.2 72.6 ± 8 0.940 76.7 ± 8.6 83.9 ± 8.0 83.4 ± 7.6 0.632 86.2 ± 7.9 13.6 ± 4.2 15.2 ± 4.5 0.113 12.8 ± 4.5 78.2 ± 6.3 76.4 ± 6.2 0.555 79.6 ± 9.6 84.5 ± 7.0 87.4 ± 8.6 0.817 92.5 ± 9.2 8.1 ± 3.6 14.7 ± 10.1 0.243 17.2 ± 13.9 | 9.2 ± 3.5 11 ± 3.8 0.008 9.5 ± 3.1 12.4 ± 4.4 74.0 ± 8.2 72.6 ± 8 0.940 76.7 ± 8.6 68.9 ± 7.4 83.9 ± 8.0 83.4 ± 7.6 0.632 86.2 ± 7.9 79.4 ± 7.3 13.6 ± 4.2 15.2 ± 4.5 0.113 12.8 ± 4.5 15.4 ± 3.3 78.2 ± 6.3 76.4 ± 6.2 0.555 79.6 ± 9.6 78.3 ± 6.6 84.5 ± 7.0 87.4 ± 8.6 0.817 92.5 ± 9.2 94.4 ± 11.2 8.1 ± 3.6 14.7 ± 10.1 0.243 17.2 ± 13.9 20.7 ± 13.6 |

Values presented as Mean ± SD, p-values represent level of significance derived from unpaired t-test

| 0.29* 0.25* -0.25* 0.32* 0.28* | 0.35* 0.34* -0.18 0.418* 0.400* | 0.25* 0.29* -0.04 0.31* 0.33* | 0.36* 0.34* -0.21* 0.41* 0.38* | 0.35* 0.34* -0.18 0.346* 0.30* |
|--|---|--|---|---|
| -0.25* 0.32* 0.28* | -0.18 0.418* | -0.04 0.31* | -0.21* 0.41* | -0.18 0.346* |
| 0.32* | 0.418* | 0.31* | 0.41* | 0.346* |
| 0.28* | | | | |
| | 0.400* | 0.33* | 0.38* | 0.30* |
| 0.00* | | | | |
| -0.29* | -0.26* | -0.12 | -0.28* | -0.30* |
| 0.09 | 0 | -0.07 | 0.03 | 0.08 |
| 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.2 |
| -0.06 | 0.03 | 0.1 | 0.01 | 0.15 |
| | 0.01 | 0.01 0.02 -0.06 0.03 | 0.01 0.02 0.03 -0.06 0.03 0.1 | 0.01 0.02 0.03 0.03 |

/alues represents the Pearson's correlation coefficient (r); * represents significant values (p<0.05)

Cold pressor test is a simple and useful marker for assessing the autonomic modulation of blood pressure response to cold stress. It is able to reflect the differences in high landers versus low landers and also between males and female population.

This study has limitations due to cross-sectional design and its smaller study groups. Studies with larger cohort and long term follow up are warranted for assessment of relationship between cold pressor test response and longitudinal profile of blood pressures in individuals.

CONCLUSION

In the present study we report that the cold pressor test tends to be hyporeactive in high landers as compared to low landers. This hyporeactiveness reflects another adaptive modulation of sympathovagal activity that enables them to stay in hypobaric atmosphere and lower temperatures without undue autonomic stress.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We wish to express our deepest gratitude to the late Professor R. D. Srivastava for providing us the opportunity to work on this project. His encouragement, guidance and support helped us greatly.

REFERENCES

- Sevre K, Bendz B, Hankø E, Nakstad AR, Hauge A, Kåsin JI, et al. Reduced autonomic activity during stepwise exposure to high altitude. *Acta Physiol Scand*. 2001;173:409-17.
- [2] Ganong W. F. Respiratory adjustments in health and disease. Review of Medical Physiology. 21 eds. San Francisco: Mc Graw Hill, 2003;688.
- [3] Wheatley K, Creed M, Mellor A. Haematological changes at altitude. J R Army Med Corps. 2011;157:38-42.
- [4] Léon-Velarde F, Gamboa A, Rivera-Ch M, Palacios JA, Robbins PA. Selected contribution:Peripheral chemoreflex function in high-altitude natives and patients with chronic mountain sickness. J Appl Physiol. 2003;94:1269-78.
- [5] Rostrup M. Catecholamines, hypoxia and high altitude. Acta Physiol Scand. 1998;162:389-99.
- [6] Hansen J, Sander M. Sympathetic neural overactivity in healthy humans after prolonged exposure to hypobaric hypoxia. J Physiol. 2003;546:921-29.

- [7] Kanai M, Nishihara F, Shiga T, Shimada H, Saito S. Alterations in autonomic nervous control of heart rate among tourists at 2700 and 3700 m above sea level. *Wilderness Environ Med.* 2001;12:8-12.
- [8] Cornolo J, Mollard P, Brugniaux JV, Robach P, Richalet JP. Autonomic control of the cardiovascular system during acclimatization to high altitude:effects of sildenafil. J Appl Physiol. 2004;97:935-40.
- [9] Duplain H, Vollenweider L, Delabays A, Nicod P, Bärtsch P, Scherrer U. Augmented sympathetic activation during short-term hypoxia and high-altitude exposure in subjects susceptible to high-altitude pulmonary edema. *Circulation*. 1999;99:1713-18.
- [10] Kristal-Boneh E, Harari G, Green MS. Seasonal change in 24-hour blood pressure and heart rate is greater among smokers than nonsmokers. *Hypertension*. 1997;30:436-41.
- [11] Kim JY, Jung KY, Hong YS, Kim JI, Jang TW, Kim JM. The relationship between cold exposure and hypertension. J Occup Health. 2003;45:300-06.
- [12] Shrestha S, Shrestha A, Shrestha S, Bhattarai D. Blood pressure in inhabitants of high altitude of Western Nepal. JNMA J Nepal Med Assoc. 2012;52:154-58.
- [13] Negi PC, Bhardwaj R, Kandoria A, Asotra S, Ganju N, Marwaha R, et al. Epidemiological study of hypertension in natives of Spiti Valley in Himalayas and impact of hypobaric hypoxemia; cross-sectional study. J Assoc Physicians India. 2012;60:21-25.
- [14] de León AC, Pérez Mdel C, González DA, Díaz BB, Coello SD, Hernández AG, et al. CDC of the Canary Islands Group. Hemodynamics and metabolism at low versus moderate altitudes. *High Alt Med Biol.* 2011;12:179-86.
- [15] Victor RG, Leimbach W N Jr, Seals DR, Wallin BG, Mark AL. Effects of the cold pressor test on muscle sympathetic nerve activity in humans. *Hypertension*. 1987;9:429-36.
- [16] Hines EA, Brown GE. A standard stimulus for measuring vasomotor reactions:its application in the study of hypertension. *Mayo Clin Proc.* 1932;7:332-35.
- [17] Hines EA Jr, Brown GE. The cold pressor test for measuring the reactibility of the blood pressure:data concerning 571 normal and hypertensive subjects. Am Heart J. 1936;11:1-9.
- [18] Wood DL, Sheps SG, Elveback LR, Schirger A. Cold pressor test as a predictor of hypertension. *Hypertension*. 1984;6:301-06.
- [19] Scharf M, Korczyn AD. Cold Pressor Test. In:Handbook of autonomic nervous system dysfunction ed. Korczyn AD. Marcel Dekker Inc, New York, 1995;558.
- [20] Jáuregui-Renaud K, Hermosillo AG, Márquez MF, Ramos-Aguilar F, Hernández-Goribar M, Cárdenas M. Repeatability of heart rate variability during simple cardiovascular reflex tests on healthy subjects. *Arch Med Res.* 2001;32:21-26.
- [21] Goldstein B, Woolf PD, DeKing D, DeLong DJ, Cox C, Kempski MH. Heart rate power spectrum and plasma catecholamine levels after postural change and cold pressor test. *Pediatr Res.* 1994;36:358-63.
- [22] Marticorena E, Ruiz L, Severino J, Galvez J, Peñaloza D. Systemic blood pressure in white men born at sea level:changes after long residence at high altitudes. *Am J Cardiol.* 1969;23:364-68.
- [23] Ruiz L, Peñaloza D. Altitude and hypertension. Mayo Clin Proc. 1977;52:442-45.
- [24] Dasgupta DJ, Prasher BS, Vaidya NK, Ahluwalia SK, Sharma PD, Puri DS, Mehrotra AN. Blood pressure in a community at high altitude (3000m) at Pooh (North India). *Journal of Epidemiol and Community Health*, 1982, 36, 251-55.
- [25] Bernardi L. Heart rate and cardiovascular variability at high altitude. Conf Proc IEEE Eng Med Biol Soc. 2007:6679-81.
- [26] Bernardi L, Passino C, Spadacini G, Calciati A, Robergs R, Greene R, et al. Cardiovascular autonomic modulation and activity of carotid baroreceptors at altitude. *Clin Sci (Lond)*. 1998;95:565–73.
- [27] Passino C1, Bernardi L, Spadacini G, Calciati A, Robergs R, Anand I, et al. Autonomic regulation of heart rate and peripheral circulation:comparison of high altitude and sea level residents. *Clin Sci (Lond)*. 1996;91 Suppl:81-83.
- [28] Hughson RL1, Yamamoto Y, McCullough RE, Sutton JR, Reeves JT. Sympathetic and parasympathetic indicators of heart rate control at altitude studied by spectral analysis. J Appl Physiol. 1994;77:2537-42.
- [29] Bhaumik G, Dass D, Bhattacharyya D, Sharma YK, Singh SB. Heart rate variability changes during first week of acclimatization to 3500 m altitude in Indian military personnel. *Indian J Physiol Pharmacol.* 2013;57:16-22.
- [30] Mirrakhimov MM, Rafibekova ZhS, Dzhumagulova AS, Meimanaliev TS, Murataliev TM, Shatemirova KK. Prevalence and clinical peculiarities of essential hypertension in a population living at high altitude. *Cor Vasa*. 1985;27:23-28.

- [31] Wolfel EE, Selland MA, Mazzeo RS, Reeves JT. Systemic hypertension at 4, 300 m is related to sympathoadrenal activity. J Appl Physiol. 1994;76:1643-50.
- Fiori G1, Facchini F, Pettener D, Rimondi A, Battistini N, Bedogni G. Relationships [32] between blood pressure, anthropometric characteristics and blood lipids in highand low-altitude populations from Central Asia. Ann Hum Biol. 2000;27:19-28.
- [33] Moro PJ, Flavian A, Jacquier A, Kober F, Quilici J, Gaborit B, et al. Gender differences in response to cold pressor test assessed with velocity-encoded cardiovascular magnetic resonance of the coronary sinus. J Cardiovasc Magn Reson. 2011;13:54.
- Jagdish Narayan et al., CPT in High Landers vs Low Landers
- [34] Srivastava RD, Kumar M, Shinghal R, Sahay AP. Influence of age and gender on cold pressor response in Indian population. Indian J Physiol Pharmacol. 2010;54:174-78.
- [35] McIlhany ML, Shaffer JW, Hines EA Jr. The heritability of blood pressure:an investigation of 200 pairs of twins using the cold pressor test. Johns Hopkins Med J. 1975;136:57-64.

PARTICULARS OF CONTRIBUTORS:

- Assistant Professor, Department of Physiology, King George's Medical University, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh, India. Associate Professor, Department of Physiology, King George's Medical University, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh, India. Assistant Professor, Department of Physiology, All India Institute of Medical Sciences, Bhubaneswar, Odisha, India.
- 2. 3.
- 4.
- Associate Professor, Department of Physiology, King George's Medical University, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh, India. Professor, Department of Physiology, King George's Medical University, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh, India. 5
- Professor, Department of Physiology, King George's Medical University, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh, India. 6.

NAME, ADDRESS, E-MAIL ID OF THE CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:

Dr. Archana Ghildiyal,

Associate Professor, Department of Physiology, King George's Medical University, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh, India. E-mail : drarchana06@yahoo.com

FINANCIAL OR OTHER COMPETING INTERESTS: None.

Date of Submission: Aug 04, 2014 Date of Peer Review: Aug 12, 2014 Date of Acceptance: Aug 26, 2014 Date of Publishing: Oct 20, 2014