Morphological Study of Soft Palate by Using Computed Tomography–A Prospective Study

CHANDRAMANI BHAGWAN MORE¹, NAIRITA SAHA², RITIKA VIJAYVARGIYA³

ABSTRACT

Dentistry Section

Background: Soft palate is an important part of oro-nasopharyngeal apparatus. The morphometric assessment of soft palate is well-performed on computed tomography (CT).

Aim: To evaluate the morphology of soft palate in healthy individuals by using Computed tomography.

Materials and Methods: The study was conducted on 300 healthy voluntary participants who were subjected to CT scan (sagittal view) of head and neck region. The measurements of antero-posterior and supero-inferior length of soft palate were performed by using Syngo CT 2009E software.

Statistical Analysis: The statistical analysis was performed by using SPSS software version 16 and test applied were one-way ANOVA, independent t-test, chi-square and Karl Pearson's correlation coefficient.

Results: The participant's age ranged from 18-80 years with mean of 39.51 ± 14.64 . The study had equal number of males (n=150) and females (n=150). Out of six shapes of soft palate, Butt type (38.7%) was the commonest. The morphology of soft palate in different age groups was statistically not significant (p>0.05). The total mean of antero-posterior length (30.31±3.39 mm) of soft palate was more than supero-inferior length (10.72±1.71 mm). The mean of antero-posterior length in males (30.69±3.42 mm) was more than females (29.92±3.32 mm); which was statistically significant (p<0.05). The mean of supero-inferior length in males (10.78+1.64 mm), which was statistically not significant (p>0.05).

Conclusion: CT scan is an important diagnostic aid for studying the accurate morphology of soft palate.

Keywords: Lateral cephalogram, Oro-naso-pharyngeal, Sagittal view

INTRODUCTION

Soft palate is a mobile fibro-muscular part attached to the posterior border of hard palate, sloping down and back between the oral and nasal parts of the pharynx [1,2]. The palate is formed by the fusion of three components - two palatal processes and the fronto-nasal process. In the later stage, the mesoderm in the palate undergoes intra-membranous ossification to form the hard palate whereas the posterior part does not undergo ossification and remains as soft palate [2-4]. The hard and soft palate is easily palpable and identified by change in colour [1]. Soft palate plays an important role in phonation, deglutition, respiration and velo-pharyngeal competence [5]. This soft tissue structure has not been studied much. You M et al., [6] has laid down morphological types of soft palate.

The soft palate can be studied on lateral cephalogram and sagittal section of computed tomography (CT) scan. CT scan permits a good assessment of the soft tissue elements that define the soft palate and its surrounding structures [7,8]. Using CT scan, it is possible to analyse the boundary of soft palate and its position and accurate measurements are obtained easily. CT scan has minimal superimposition of structures and allows better visualization of minute differences of density than conventional radiographs. The morphometric assessment of soft palate on CT scan can be defined in terms of depth and height or antero-posterior and supero-inferior length in the median sagittal plane [7,9-12]. The soft palate has variable radiographic forms on lateral or sagittal imaging.

The existing literature reveals numerous studies on soft palate using lateral cephalogram but only one study till date using CT scan [13]. The present study was designed to evaluate the morphology and variation of soft palate through computed tomography in different age group and gender, as the statistical findings may help in understanding the velo-pharyngeal closure in cleft palate and in obstructive sleep apnoea syndrome.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present study was conducted with the permission of Institutional Ethics Committee of Sumandeep Vidyapeeth University with

protocol number SVIEC/ON/DENT/RP/1526, dated 17/10/2014. The total of 300 voluntary healthy participants, above 18 years of age were included in the study whereas participants with head & neck anomalies, intra-osseous pathologies, history of trauma/ surgery, images with positioning errors, magnification or distortion were excluded from the study. After obtaining informed consent form in local language, each participant was subjected to CT scan of head and neck region (sagittal view). The shapes of soft palate was classified according to You M et al., [6] - Type 1: Leaf shape [Table/Fig-1a], Type 2: Rat Tail shape [Table/Fig-1b], Type 3: Butt like shape [Table/Fig-1c], Type 4: Straight line shaped [Table/Fig-2a], Type 5: Distorted/S-shape [Table/Fig-2b] and Type 6: Crooked shape [Table/Fig-2c]. The morphometric analysis for anteroposterior and supero-inferior length of soft palate was performed using mouse driven method i.e. by moving the mouse and drawing lines between the selected points on the sagittal sections of CT scan by using Syngo CT 2009E software [Table/Fig-3a,b].

STATICTICAL ANALYSIS

The measurements were recorded in millimetres. The collected data was analysed using SPSS software version 16 and the test applied



[Table/Fig-1a-c]: Shows Sagittal section of computed tomography scan- (a showing leaf shape, (b) rat-tail shape and (c) butt-like of soft palate (yellow circle)



[Table/Fig-2a-c]: Shows Sagittal section of computed tomography scan - (a) showin straight line shape, (2) S-shape and (c) crook shape of soft palate (yellow circle)



were one-way ANOVA, Independent t-test, Chi-square and Karl Pearson's co-relation coefficient.

RESULTS

In the present study, the age of participants ranged from 18-80 years with mean of 39.51+14.64. There were total 300 participants including equal number of male (n=150) and female (n=150)

participants. The maximum numbers of participants were between the age group of 21-30 years.

Out of all the type of soft palate, the maximum number of participants had Butt type of soft palate (38.7%) [Table/Fig-4] and was seen more or less equal in male (19.7%) and female (19%) participants. Correlation of shape of soft palate with age group and gender



| Shape | | Age Group (in Years) | | | | | | | | | | | Obtained | | | | |
|---------------|----|----------------------|-----|------|----|------|----|------|----|------|----|------|----------|------|-----|------|-------------------------|
| | <= | =20 | 21- | 30 | 31 | -40 | 41 | -50 | 51 | -60 | 61 | -70 | 71 | -80 | То | otal | p-value |
| | n | % | n | % | n | % | n | % | n | % | n | % | n | % | n | % | |
| Leaf | 03 | 01.0 | 29 | 09.7 | 12 | 04.0 | 19 | 06.3 | 13 | 04.3 | 08 | 02.7 | 02 | 0.7 | 86 | 28.7 | 0.741 Not |
| Rat - Tail | 04 | 01.3 | 18 | 06.0 | 13 | 04.3 | 14 | 04.7 | 08 | 02.7 | 02 | 0.7 | 02 | 0.7 | 61 | 20.3 | Significant (p>0.05) |
| Butt - like | 14 | 04.7 | 29 | 09.7 | 26 | 08.7 | 19 | 06.3 | 19 | 06.3 | 07 | 02.3 | 02 | 0.7 | 116 | 38.7 | |
| Straight Line | 04 | 01.3 | 05 | 01.7 | 03 | 01.0 | 03 | 01.0 | 04 | 01.3 | 01 | 0.3 | 00 | 0.0 | 20 | 06.7 | |
| S- Shape | 00 | 0.0 | 02 | 0.7 | 04 | 01.3 | 04 | 01.3 | 01 | 0.3 | 02 | 0.7 | 00 | 0.0 | 13 | 04.3 | |
| Crook | 01 | 0.3 | 01 | 0.3 | 00 | 0.0 | 01 | 0.3 | 01 | 0.3 | 00 | 0.0 | 00 | 0.0 | 04 | 01.3 | |
| Total | 26 | 08.7 | 84 | 28.0 | 58 | 19.3 | 60 | 20.0 | 46 | 15.3 | 20 | 06.7 | 06 | 02.0 | 300 | 100 | |

[Table/Fig-5]: Co-relation of shape of soft palate with age group

(n = number, %= percentage, p= Probability value)

| Shape | | Obtained | | | | | | | | |
|------------------|--|-------------|-----|------|-------|------|--------------------|--|--|--|
| | М | Iale Female | | nale | Total | | p-value | | | |
| | n | % | n | % | n | % | | | | |
| Leaf | 35 | 11.7 | 51 | 17.0 | 86 | 28.7 | 0.229 | | | |
| Rat - Tail | 36 | 12.0 | 25 | 08.3 | 61 | 20.3 | Not Significant | | | |
| Butt - like | 59 | 19.7 | 57 | 19.0 | 116 | 38.7 | (p<0.05) | | | |
| Straight Line | 12 | 04.0 | 08 | 02.7 | 20 | 6.7 | | | | |
| S- Shape | 07 | 2.3 | 06 | 02.0 | 13 | 4.3 | | | | |
| Crook | 01 | 0.3 | 03 | 01.0 | 04 | 1.3 | | | | |
| Total | 150 | 50 | 150 | 50 | 300 | 100 | | | | |
| [Table/Fig-6]: C | [Table/Fig-6]: Co-relation of shape of soft palate with gender | | | | | | | | | |

(n = number, %= percentage, p= Probability value)

were analysed by using Chi-square test and were statistically not significant (p>0.05) [Table/Fig-5,6].

It was distinctly noted that the mean values for antero-posterior and supero-inferior length of various shapes of soft palate in both male and female when analysed by using one-way ANOVA test, the values were highly significant (p<0.05) [Table/Fig-7,8].

It was observed that the minimum and maximum mean of anteroposterior length of soft palate was 24.20 mm and 37.80 mm respectively whereas the minimum and maximum mean of supero-inferior length was 06.10 mm and 14.80 mm respectively [Table/Fig-9].

In the present study, when the dimensions were co-related with gender, it was observed that the mean antero-posterior length of soft palate in males (30.69 ± 3.42 mm) was more than females (29.92 ± 3.32 mm), which was statistically significant (p<0.05). But,

| Shape | n | Gender | | | Std. Error 95% Confidence Interval for Mean | | | Maximum | Obtained |
|---------------|-----|--------|---------------------|-------|---|-------------|-------|---------|--------------------|
| | | | Std. Deviation | | Lower Bound | Upper Bound | (mm) | (mm) | p-value |
| Leaf | 35 | Male | 32.58 <u>+</u> 1.20 | 0.203 | 32.17 | 32.1 | 30.10 | 35.90 | <0.001 |
| | 51 | Female | 32.29 <u>+</u> 0.91 | 0.128 | 32.04 | 32.55 | 30.30 | 34.70 | Highly Significant |
| Rat- Tail | 36 | Male | 31.21 <u>+</u> 1.17 | 0.195 | 30.81 | 31.60 | 30.10 | 34.70 | (p<0.05) |
| | 25 | Female | 31.10 <u>+</u> 1.36 | 0.272 | 30.53 | 31.66 | 28.20 | 35.80 | For both |
| Butt like | 59 | Male | 28.12 <u>+</u> 3.38 | 0.440 | 27.24 | 29.00 | 25.40 | 37.10 | Males & Females |
| | 57 | Female | 26.25 <u>+</u> 0.62 | 0.082 | 26.08 | 26.41 | 24.20 | 27.90 | |
| Straight Line | 12 | Male | 36.80 <u>+</u> 0.28 | 0.082 | 36.62 | 36.98 | 36.30 | 37.30 | |
| | 08 | Female | 37.25 <u>+</u> 0.39 | 0.137 | 36.92 | 37.57 | 36.70 | 37.80 | |
| S- Shape | 07 | Male | 30.30 ± 0.14 | 0.053 | 30.17 | 30.43 | 30.10 | 30.50 | |
| | 06 | Female | 30.87 <u>+</u> 0.58 | 0.239 | 30.25 | 31.48 | 30.40 | 31.80 | |
| Crook | 01 | Male | 27.50 | | | | 27.50 | 27.50 | |
| | 03 | Female | 28.20 ± 0.17 | 0.100 | 27.77 | 28.63 | 28.10 | 28.40 | |
| Total | 150 | Male | 30.69 <u>+</u> 3.42 | 0.279 | 30.14 | 31.25 | 25.40 | 37.30 | |
| | 150 | Female | 29.92 <u>+</u> 3.32 | 0.271 | 29.38 | 30.46 | 24.20 | 37.80 | |

(n = number, mm = millimeter, Std= Standard, p= Probability value)

| Shape | n | Gender | Mean (mm) ± | Std. Error | 95% Confidence | Interval for Mean | Minimum | Maximum | Obtained |
|---------------|-----|--------|---------------------|------------|----------------|-------------------|---------|---------|-----------------------------|
| | | | Std. Deviation | | Lower Bound | Upper Bound | (mm) | (mm) | p-value |
| Leaf | 35 | Male | 10.12 ± 0.37 | 0.063 | 09.1 | 10.25 | 08.80 | 11.20 | <0.001 |
| | 51 | Female | 10.18 <u>+</u> 0.30 | 0.041 | 10.10 | 10.26 | 09.50 | 11.50 | Highly Significant |
| Rat- Tail | 36 | Male | 09.84 <u>+</u> 0.30 | 0.049 | 09.74 | 09.94 | 08.90 | 10.40 | (p<0.05) |
| | 25 | Female | 09.81 <u>+</u> 0.54 | 0.108 | 09.58 | 10.03 | 08.30 | 10.60 | For both Males & Females |
| Butt like | 59 | Male | 12.47 <u>+</u> 0.79 | 0.103 | 12.26 | 12.67 | 10.10 | 14.80 | |
| | 57 | Female | 12.53 <u>+</u> 0.54 | 0.072 | 12.39 | 12.68 | 11.10 | 14.10 | |
| Straight Line | 12 | Male | 06.71 <u>+</u> 0.25 | 0.073 | 06.55 | 06.87 | 06.20 | 07.20 | |
| | 08 | Female | 06.76 <u>+</u> 0.47 | 0.167 | 06.37 | 07.16 | 06.10 | 07.30 | |
| S- Shape | 07 | Male | 09.54 <u>+</u> 0.17 | 0.065 | 09.38 | 09.70 | 09.30 | 09.70 | |
| | 06 | Female | 09.75 <u>+</u> 0.10 | 0.043 | 09.64 | 09.86 | 09.60 | 09.90 | |
| Crook | 01 | Male | 08.20 | | | | 08.20 | 08.20 | |
| | 03 | Female | 08.37 <u>+</u> 0.15 | 0.088 | 07.99 | 08.75 | 08.20 | 08.50 | |
| Total | 150 | Male | 10.67 <u>+</u> 1.78 | 0.146 | 10.38 | 10.95 | 06.20 | 14.80 | |
| | 150 | Female | 10.78 <u>+</u> 1.64 | 0.134 | 10.51 | 11.04 | 06.10 | 14.10 | |

[India rig-o]. Co-relation of supero-interior length in different shapes of soft palate

(n = number, mm = millimeter, Std= Standard, p= Probability value)

| Length | n | Minimum (mm) | Maximum (mm) | Mean (mm) \pm Std. Deviation | | | | |
|--|-----|-----------------|-----------------|--------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Antero-posterior | 300 | 24.20 | 37.80 | 30.31 ± 3.39 | | | | |
| Supero-inferior | 300 | 06.10 | 14.80 | 10.72 ± 1.71 | | | | |
| [Table/Fig-9]: Distribution according to the total length of soft palate | | | | | | | | |

(n = number, mm = millimeter, Std= Standard)

the mean supero-inferior length of soft palate in males $(10.67\pm1.78 \text{ mm})$ was less than females $(10.78\pm1.64 \text{ mm})$, which was statistically not significant (p>0.05). These values were statistically analysed by using independent t-test [Table/Fig-10].

It was noted that Karl Pearson's Co-relation Coefficient value when applied between dimensions and different age groups, it was 0.016 and 0.011 respectively for antero-posterior and supero-inferior length. The p-values were statistically not significant (p>0.05) [Table/ Fig-11].

| Length | Gender | n | Minimum (mm) | Maximum (mm) | Mean (mm) <u>+</u> Std. Deviation | Obtained p value |
|-----------|--------|-----|-----------------|-----------------|---|-------------------------|
| Antero- | Male | 150 | 25.40 | 37.30 | 30.69 ± 3.42 | 0.048 |
| posterior | Female | 150 | 24.20 | 37.80 | 29.92 ± 3.32 | Significant (p<0.05) |
| Supero- | Male | 150 | 06.20 | 14.80 | 10.67 ± 1.78 | 0.574 Not |
| inferior | Female | 150 | 06.10 | 14.10 | 10.78 ± 1.64 | Significant (p<0.05) |

[Table/Fig-10]: Co-relation of total length with gender (n = number, mm = millimeter, Std= Standard)

| Length | n | KPCC (> 0.6) | p-value (p<0.05) | Significance | | | | | |
|--|-----|-----------------|---------------------|-----------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Antero-posterior | 300 | - 0.016 | 0.781 | Not Significant | | | | | |
| Supero-inferior | 300 | 0.011 | 0.849 | Not Significant | | | | | |
| [Table/Fig-11]: Karl Pearson's Co-relation Coefficient (KPCC) with age and morphology of soft palate | | | | | | | | | |

(n = number, p= Probability value)

DISCUSSION

Soft palate is formed by interweaving of muscles: tensor veli palatini, levator veli palatini, palatoglossus and palate-pharyngeus [1,2,10]. It plays a significant role in important functions of head and neck region. Soft palate can be studied on lateral or sagittal sections of conventional radiographs and advanced imaging. CT scan is an important and reliable tool for morphometric analysis of soft palate [7,8].

In the present study, the 'Butt' like shape of soft palate was the most frequent type (38.7%) and 'Leaf' type (28.7%) was the second common type. Various studies on lateral cephalogram have put forward 'Leaf' type as the most commonest [3,5,6,13]. This may be due to population related trait and study sample size. With intersex difference in the soft palate, we observed that the percentage of butt-like shape was more or less equal in males (19.7%) and females (19%) which was statistically not significant (p>0.05). Our result did not simulate with the studies of Kumar D et al, Guttal K et al., You M et al., and Kaur S et al., wherein the Leaf type was the most common type in the study of Praveen B. et al., [11].

The growth of soft palate takes place in antero-posterior and superoinferior directions. In the early years of life the growth is rapid. The growth curve takes place by the age of 1.5 to 2 years whereas by the age of 4 to 5 years the upward growth ceases [1]. In our study, the age and the morphology of soft palate co-relation was statistically not significant (p>0.05) which proves that there is no significant relationship between age and growth of soft palate. We noted that the overall mean of antero-posterior length (30.31 ± 3.39 mm) was more than the supero-inferior length (10.72 ± 1.71 mm) of soft palate.

In our study, the co-relation of gender with morphology of soft palate gave surprising results. The co-relation of gender with different shape of soft palate was statistically not significant (p>0.05). The co-relation of antero-posterior length and supero-inferior length in different shapes of soft palate was statistically highly significant (p<0.001). The overall mean of antero-posterior length was more in males (30.69±3.42 mm) than females (29.92±3.32 mm), which was statistically significant (p<0.05). But, the overall mean of supero-inferior length was less in males (10.67±1.78 mm) as compared with females (10.78±1.64 mm), which was statistically not significant (p>0.05). These type of observation did not match with the existing studies [3,5,6,11].

The results of our study indicates that soft palate is an important structure in velo-pharyngeal closure and provides information for diagnosis, prognosis and treatment in individuals with cleft palate and in obstructive sleep apnoea syndrome.

CONCLUSION

The morphometric analysis of soft palate on CT scan has helped us to understand the multiplicity of velar morphology. Our study may help as a reference for research pertaining to cleft palate/ velopharyngeal closure and in obstructive sleep apnoea syndrome. The results may differ geographically and depending on the oropharyngeal structure.

REFERENCES

- Standring S. Gray's Anatomy The Anatomical Basis for Clinical Practices. Chapter 33, 40th edition. London, UK: Elsevier Churchill Living Stone Publishing Company, 2008. pp.564 – 75.
- [2] Chaurasia B. Human Anatomy Head, Neck & Brain. Volume 3, Section 1, Chapter 14. 5th edition. New Delhi, CBS publishers & distributors, 2010: pp.210 - 12.
- [3] Kumar K, Gopal K. Morphological variants of soft palate in normal individuals: A digital cephalometric study. *Journal of Clinical and Diagnostic Research*. 2011;5:1310-13.
- [4] Datta A. Essentials of Human Anatomy, Part II, Chapter 11, 5th edition. Current Books International, 2012: pp. 274-78.
- [5] Guttal K, Breh R, Bhat R, Burde K, Naikmasur V. Diverse morphologies of soft palate in normal individuals: a cephalometric perspective. *Journal of Indian Academy of Oral Medicine and Radiology*. 2012;24(1):15-19.

- [6] You M, Li X, Wang H, Zhang J, Wu H, Liu Y. Morphological variety of the soft palate in normal individuals: a digital cephalometric study. *Dento-maxillofacial Radiology*. 2008;37:344–49.
- [7] White S, Pharaoh M. Oral Radiology Principles and Interpretation, Chapter 13, 6th edition. Philadelphia, Mosby Elsevier, 2011. pp. 207-12.
- [8] Karjodkar F. Textbook of Dental & Maxillofacial Radiography, Chapter 14, 2nd edition. New Delhi, Jaypee, 2011. pp. 256-85.
- [9] Haaga J, Dogra V, Forsting M, Gilkeson R, Ha H, Sumdaram M. CT and MRI of the Whole Body. Volume– 1, Chapter 13, 5th edition, Philadelphia, Mosby Elsevier, 2009. pp. 567-638.
- [10] Mitra S. Anatomy. 6th edition. Kolkata, Academic Publishers, 2004. pp. 5.108-5.112.
- [11] Praveen B, Amrutesh S, Pal S, Shubhasini A, Syed V. Various shapes of soft palate: A lateral cephalometric study. World Journal of Dentistry. 2011;2(3):207-10.
- [12] Verma P, Verma K, Kumaraswam K, Basavaraju S, Sachdeva S. Correlation of morphological variants of the soft palate and Need's ratio in normal individuals: A digital cephalometric study. *Imaging Sci Dent.* 2014;44:193-98.
- [13] Kaur S, Rai S, Kaur M. Comparison of reliability of lateral cephalogram and computed tomography for assessment of air way space. *Nigerian journal of clinical practice* 2014;17(5):629-36.

PARTICULARS OF CONTRIBUTORS:

1. Professor & Head, Department of Oral Medicine & Radiology, K.M. Shah Dental College & Hospital,

- Sumandeep Vidyapeeth University, Piparia, Vadodara, Gujarat, India.
- 2. Post Graduate Student, Department of Oral Medicine & Radiology, K.M. Shah Dental College & Hospital, Sumandeep Vidyapeeth University, Piparia, Vadodara, Gujarat, India.
- Post Graduate Student, Department of Oral Medicine & Radiology, K.M. Shah Dental College & Hospital, Sumandeep Vidyapeeth University, Piparia, Vadodara, Gujarat, India.

NAME, ADDRESS, E-MAIL ID OF THE CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:

Dr. Chandramani Bhagwan More,

Professor and Head, Department of Oral Medicine & Radiology, K M Shah Dental College & Hospital, Sumandeep Vidyapeeth University, Piparia, Vadodara, Gujarat.

E-mail: drchandramanimore@rediffmail.com

FINANCIAL OR OTHER COMPETING INTERESTS: None.

Date of Submission: Mar 27, 2015 Date of Peer Review: Apr 06, 2015 Date of Acceptance: May 16, 2015 Date of Publishing: Aug 01, 2015