

# Energy and Power Density: A Key Factor in Lasers Studies

JACEK MATYS<sup>1</sup>, MARZENA DOMINIAK<sup>2</sup>, RAFAL FLIEGER<sup>3</sup>

To the editor

I read with interest, three articles in subject of laser studies published in JCDR in last few months. The authors in their researches used different types of lasers devices: Niranjani et al., (diode laser), Murthy et al., (CO<sub>2</sub> laser), Kanumuru and Subbaiah (diode and Nd:YAG lasers) [1-3]. Unfortunately the authors have not presented in their researches a value of energy density (fluence) of lasers which is key factor to measure a dose of energy absorbed by treated tissues [Table/Fig-1].

Different types of lasers with same or different wavelength have been utilized in medical market, but the varied inbuilt technology in these devices provides divergent results in energy density (amount of light) which is absorbed by the tissue.

The total energy delivered, divided by the area (energy per unit area) is called fluency or energy density. In a number of examples, fluency is the most important parameter for laser therapy [4].

Aside from energy density, a second important parameter in lasers measurement is a power density. The power density (irradiance) is a ratio of power (P) in Watt (W) to the cross section area (I=W/cm<sup>2</sup>). The importance of this will be clarified when we consider the interaction of laser beams with different materials [5].

**Example:** A pulsed laser emitting a beam with repetition rate f = 1 pulse per second (1Hz), with energy of 50mJ (0.05J), for two diameters of a laser tip, the results in fluency (J/cm<sup>2</sup>) are divergent:

- 200µm tip,  $E = \frac{J}{\pi r^2}$ ,  $r = 0.5d$ ,  $r = 0.01\text{cm}$ ;  $0.05\text{J}/3.14 \cdot 0.01^2 = 159.2\text{J}/\text{cm}^2$  per pulse
- 400 µm tip,  $E = \frac{J}{\pi r^2}$ ,  $r = 0.5d$ ,  $r = 0.02\text{cm}$ ;  $0.05\text{J}/3.14 \cdot 0.02^2 = 39.8\text{J}/\text{cm}^2$  per pulse

As the example showed that doubling of tip diameter implicates in 4 times energy density growth. It is very important in all studies that a data which can help to repeat each experiment by other researchers should be provided. Thus, all the studies should include the important parameters which allow comparing different kinds of lasers [4].

In Niranjani et al., Murthy et al., and Kanumuru and Subbaiah studies it is not possible to calculate and compare energy or power density [1-3].

In the author's opinion, it is important that researchers and clinicians using lasers be educated in laser science. Without doubt, the principal knowledge of laser physics is unquestionably important to utilize lasers in good and safe manner.

## Advance in Knowledge

It is strongly recommended to provide, in scientific laser-assisted studies, all parameters for evaluating the energy and power density of laser devices. The energy absorbed by tissue is strictly connected with laser technical parameters listed below:

- energy and power of laser.
- pulse duration and repetition rate.

- time of irradiation
- size of tip
- distance to the target
- tip angulation
- beam profile (Gaussian or Flat-top)

Parameters Study	Niranjani et al., (2015) Diode 810nm	Murthy et al., (2015) CO <sub>2</sub> 10600nm	Kanumuru and Subbaiah (2015)		
			Diode 810nm	Diode 980nm	Nd:YAG 1064nm
Power (W)	1.5	3	No data	No data	No data
Energy (J)	No data	No data	No data	No data	No data
Repetition rate (Hz)	No data	1000	No data	No data	No data
Pulse duration (sec.)	No data	160 ms	100 µsec	100 µsec	100 µsec
Mode	No data	Pulse	Pulse	Pulse	Pulse
Distance (mm)	No data	1	No data	No data	No data
Angulation (°)	No data	90	No data	No data	No data
Tip size (m)	No data	No data	200	200	No data
Irradiation time (sec.)	2	No data	10	10	10
Energy density (J/cm <sup>2</sup> )	No data	No data	No data	No data	No data
Power density (W/cm <sup>2</sup> )	No data	No data	No data	No data	No data

**[Table/Fig-1]:** Comparison of studies

Abbreviations-  
cm – centimeter  
d – diameter  
f – repetition rate  
Hz – Hertz  
J – joule  
J/cm<sup>2</sup> – joule per square centimeter  
mm – millimeter  
sec. – second  
µm – micrometer  
W – watt  
W/cm<sup>2</sup> – watt per square centimeter  
π – pi number  
° – grades

## REFERENCES

- [1] Niranjani K, Prasad MG, Vasa AAK, Divya G, Thakur MS, Saujanya K. Clinical evaluation of success of primary teeth pulpotomy using mineral trioxide aggregate®, laser and biodentine™- an invivo study. *Journal of Clinical and Diagnostic Research*. 2015;4(9):35-37.
- [2] Murthy V, Balaji M, Livingstone D. Effect of four surface treatment methods on the shear bond strength of resin cement to zirconia ceramics- a comparative invitro study. *Journal of Clinical and Diagnostic Research*. 2014;8(9):65-68G.
- [3] Kanumuru NR, Subbaiah R. Bacterial efficacy of ca(oh)<sup>2</sup> against *E.faecalis* compared with three dental lasers on root canal dentin- an invitro study. *Journal of Clinical and Diagnostic Research*. 2014;11(8):135-37.
- [4] Katzir A. Medicals lasers. In Katzir A: Lasers and Optical Fibers in Medicine, Avademic Press, San Diego, Calif, 1993, pp.15.
- [5] Star WM. Light dosimetry in vivo. *Phys Med Biol*. 1997;42(5):763-87.

Reply from author of "Effect of Four Surface Treatment Methods on the Shear Bond Strength of Resin Cement to Zirconia Ceramics- A Comparative invitro Study. *Journal of Clinical and Diagnostic Research*. 2014;8(9):65-8G"

With regard to our cited article I would like to bring to the kind notice of author that since lasers was one of the four methods we compared more details could not be included because of word limitations.

We have mentioned the company and model details about the LASER used in our study. Readers interested in finding the details/ pursuing further studies can visit the site and get the necessary details. We understand that all the information included in the article should be sufficient to replicate the methodology of the article. But sometimes due to the limitation of words and number of figures, it is not possible to include all the details in the text therefore we found it useful to mention the company of the LASER used in our study and relevant data pertaining to our study.

**PARTICULARS OF CONTRIBUTORS:**

1. Private Dental Healthcare, Wschowa, Lipowa 18, Poland.
2. Professor, Department of Dental Surgery, Wroclaw Medical University, Poland.
3. PhD, Department of Dental Surgery, Poznan Medical University, Poland.

**NAME, ADDRESS, E-MAIL ID OF THE CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:**

Dr. Jacek Matys,  
NZOZ Ka-Dent, Lipowa 18, 67-400 Wschowa, Poland.  
E-mail: jacek.matys@wp.pl

Date of Submission: **Jul 05, 2015**  
Date of Peer Review: **Aug 07, 2015**  
Date of Acceptance: **Aug 10, 2015**  
Date of Publishing: **Dec 01, 2015**

**FINANCIAL OR OTHER COMPETING INTERESTS:** None.