JOURNAL OF CLINICAL AND DIAGNOSTIC RESEARCH

How to cite this article:

AGARWAL V. Fenestration and dehiscence in a non vital Tooth - A case report. Journal of Clinical and Diagnostic Research [serial online] 2010 August [cited: 2010 August 31]; 4:2971-2973.

Available from

http://www.jcdr.net/back_issues.asp?issn=0973-709x&year=2010 &month= August &volume=4&issue=4&page=2971-2973&id=1146

CASE- REPORT

Fenestration and dehiscence in a non vital Tooth – A case report

AGARWAL V

ABSTRACT

Fenestration and dehiscence are usually accompanied with long standing traumaticteeth. If this occurs, the solution is surgical intervention along with endodontic treatment. This surgical procedure consists of raising the flap to expose the dehiscence, followed by curettage, placing the bone graft and repositioning of the flap. A conventional endodontic treatment is carried out before the surgical procedure. A case is described here, that illustrates this clinical situation.

Corresponding Author:

Dr. Vishal Agarwal, (MDS), Professor, Dept. of conservative, Dentistry and endodontics, NIMS Dental College, Jaipur (Rajasthan), mail:vishal_ndc@yahoo.com, Ph.94140-44400

Introduction

Fenestration and Dehiscence are localized defects of the cortical bone which covers the teeth. Fenestration is termed as the situation when the root is denuded of bone and the root surface is covered only by the periosteum and the overlying gingiva [5,6]. In these instances, the marginal bone is intact. When the denuded areas extend through the marginal bone, the defect is called as 'Dehiscence'.



[Table/Fig 1]: Pre-Operative Photograph Showing Dehiscence and Fenestration.

They are usually symptom free [7,8]. This is the case for a traumatic tooth which is

accompanied with dehiscence without pain. Traumatic teeth are usually successfully treated after proper endodontic treatment. But in this case, we took up a surgical procedure after the usual endodontic treatment, to take care of the dehiscence.



[Table/Fig 2]: Radiograph Showing Obturated Central Incisor.

A Case report:

An 18 year old boy presented for consultation because of root exposure from thegums in the maxillary left central incisor [Table/Fig 1]. The patient was in good health and his history said that the window on the root was present from almost 1year. The patient gave a history of trauma, 6-7years back. The patient said that sometimes pus discharge was seen. Extraoral examination did not reveal any

anomalies. Intraoral examination revealed no occlusal problems. The root tip was seen through and through from the attached gingival [Table/Fig 1]. The involved crown of the tooth was black in colour, which confirmed its nonvitality. Palatal palpation revealed no abnormality. Radiographical examination showed an open apex. Root canal treatment was initiated. The working length was cleaning measured. Thorough biomechanical preparation was done with copious irrigation of sodium hypochlorite in the first visit. In the second visit, the irrigation was done, the root canal was filled with calcium hydroxide paste and the access cavity was sealed for 1week. At the third visit, the paste was removed by thorough irrigation and obturation was done.





[Table/Fig 3]: Photograph Showing Periapical Surgery and Placement of Bone Graft.

Because it was an open apex and the canal was blunderbuss, the roll-on technique of gutta percha was taken into consideration for obturation [Table/Fig 2]. The access cavity was sealed and surgery was planned.

In the fourth visit, a full thickness flap was raised and the root was exposed. It was seen on raising the flap, that there no bone was present on the labial aspect of the tooth [Table/Fig 3]. The area was curetted around the root. The apex of the root was trimmed and a bone graft was placed to ensure bone regeneration.

The flap was roughened from the inner surface which had to be attached to the bone.



[Table/Fig 4]: Photograph of Sutures Placed & and Periodontal Dressing Given.

The flap was repositioned and sutured. A periodontal dressing was placed over the sutures [Table/Fig 4]. A prescription for antibiotic and anti-inflammatory treatment was given.

At the follow-up visit 1week later, the sutures were removed and we could very easily

appreciate the closure of the mucosa at the root tip [Table/Fig 5]. The area of surgery differed, however, from the area which was described before the surgery. One month later, the patient was found to be quite comfortable and free of symptoms. After one year, the mucosa was completely healed and the site was absolutely fine.

Discussion:

The defect in the osseous coverage of the dental root may either have been fenestration or dehiscence. They occur almost exclusively on the buccal surfaces of the alveolar bone. Mandibular teeth are more often affected than maxillary teeth and anterior teeth are more frequently involved than posterior teeth [1,9].



[Table/Fig 5]: Photograph After 7 Day's of Sutures Removal.

Nevertheless, if only fenestrations are considered, the maxillary teeth appear to be more frequently affected than mandibular teeth, because the dehiscences predominantly affect the mandibular incisors. The predisposing factors for these defects can be age, tooth malpositions, trauma and strong occlusal forces. The fenestrations are most often seen on the mesiobuccal root of the maxillary first molar and the canine teeth [10,11].



[Table/Fig 6]: Photograph After 3 Months Showing Complete Healing.

Patients may frequently aggravate the problem by rubbing the annoying imitated area with their fingers. The clinical treatment consists of raising a flap, trimming the excess filling remodelling of the root in such a way as to reposition it in the bony housing. The bone may then repair itself and the soft tissue will heal. In the case presented herein, a bone graft was used, so as to promote bone growth if possible. Even if bone doesn't form, the soft tissue will heal [2,3,4].

References:

[1] Yves Boucher, Morton Sobel, Gabriel Sauveur. Persistent Pain Related to Root Canal Filling and Apical Fenestration: A Case Report. Journal of Endodontics.

VOL. 26, No. 4, APRIL 2000

[2] Marbach JJ, Hulbrock J. Hohn C, Segal AG. Incidence of phantom tooth pain: anatypical facial neuralgia. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol 1982:53:190-3.

[3] Elliot JR, Bowers GM. Alveolar dehiscence and fenestration. Periodontics 1963;1:245-8

[4] Stahl SS, Cantor M, Zwig E. Fenestrations of the labial alveolar plate in human skulls. Periodontics 1963;1:99-102.

[5] Glickman I. Clinical periodontology. Philadelphia: WB Saunders Com-pany, 2005:49-50.

[6] Larato DC. Alveolar plate fenestrations and dehiscences of the humanskull. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol 1970;29:816-9.

[7] Nabers CL, Spear GR, Beckham LC. Alveolar dehiscence. Tex Dent J 1960;78:4-6.

[8] Carranza FAJ. The alveolar bone. In: Carranza FAJ, ed. Clinical periodontology. Philadelphia: WB Saunders Company, 1990.

[9] Spasser HF, Wendt R. A cause for recalcitrant post endodontic pain. NY State Dent J 1973;39:25-6.

[10] Patterson SA. Considerations and indications for endodontic surgery. In: Arens

DE, Adams WR, DeCastro RA, eds. Endodontic surgery. Philadelphia: Harper & Row, 1981:4-5.

[11] Weine FS, Bustamante MA. Periapical surgery. In: Weine FS, ed. Endodontic

therapy, 5th ed. St. Louis: Mosby, 2003: 536-9.

[12] Lacan A. Bilan Prhpbratoire au niveau du maxillaire. In: Lacan A, ed. Nouvelle imagerie dentaire. Scanner-Dentascan-IRM. Paris: Editions CdP, 1993:141-219.

[13] Frederiksen NL. Specialized radiographic techniques. In: Goaz PW, White SC,

eds. Oral radiology. Principles and interpretation. 3rd *ed.* St. Louis: Mosby, 1994:266-90.

[14] Andrea Melo sense, Vivien T, Sakai et al, Management of a multiple

dentoalveolar trauma in permanent dentition with avulsion of a canine - a 4 year

follow up. journal of endodontics; vol 34, issue 3: March 2008; 3336-39.

[15] Louis I . Grossman, Seymour Oliet, Carlos E. Del Rio. Endodontic practice 11th ed 1991.