Peripheral Osteoma of the Palate: Report of a Case and Review of Literature
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ABSTRACT

Osteomas are benign osteogenic lesions with very slow growth, which may arise from proliferation of either cancellous or compact bone. Peripheral osteomas of maxilla are relatively rare. Excluding the maxillary sinus, there are few cases of maxillary osteoma reported in the English-language literature. This paper reports an additional case of osteoma in the palatal region in a 34-year-old female patient. The aim of this paper is to present an unusual case along with an analysis of the literature in order to contribute to the knowledge concerning the pathogenesis, differential diagnosis, and management of these lesions.

CASE REPORT

A 34-year-old female patient presented to Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery of Government Dental College and Hospital, Ahmedabad, India, seeking treatment for a slowly enlarging, asymptomatic mass in posterior left part of maxilla on palatal aspect that appeared three years earlier. Patient was psychologically obsessed about the growth in the maxilla and was irritated with the constant involuntary movement of the tongue over the growing mass. There was no history of trauma in that region. Medical history was non contributory.

Intraoral examination revealed a well defined sub-periosteal mass on the palatal aspect of left maxilla in posterior region, which extended from lingual aspect of middle of upper left first molar to distal end of upper left second molar [Table/Fig-1]. The lesion was hard on palpation, sessile, and measured 20 x 15 mm in diameter. Lesion was non-tender on palpation with blanching of overlying mucosa. All teeth in upper left quadrant responded normally to electric pulp testing. No regional lymphadenopathy was present.

Intraoral radiographs including maxillary occlusal radiographs were taken to rule out any radiolucent cystic lesion. Maxillary occlusal radiograph showed no abnormalities. CT Scan in coronal section through upper left first molar region demonstrated well defined bony lesion arising from alveolus with pedunculated base without involvement of any tooth [Table/Fig-2]. A provisional clinical diagnosis of peripheral osteoma was made.

The mass was approached intra-orally under local anaesthesia. The mass appeared well circumscribed and attached with the palatal alveolar bone in upper left first and second molar region [Table/Fig-3]. A decision to surgically excise the mass was made. Superficial cut made with fissure bur and lesion removed with the help of chisel and mallet [Table/Fig-4]. Residual bed of lesion was debrided, smoothened and flap was closed with absorbable sutures [Table/Fig-5]. Palatal splint was given to reduce dead space. Patient tolerated the procedure well.

Grossly, the specimen consisted of a homogenous mass of cancellous bone [Table/Fig-6]. Postoperative CT scan showed complete excision of the lesion [Table/Fig-7]. Histologically, the diagnosis of cancellous type of osteoma was confirmed [Table/Fig-8]. Patient was scheduled for regular follow up. Intraoral examination at one year follow up revealed normal mucosal tissue without any recurrence of lesion [Table/Fig-9].

DISCUSSION

Osteomas are benign osteogenic tumors of the bone [1-7] arising from the proliferation of compact or cancellous bone. They may be peripheral, central, or extraskeletal. Peripheral osteomas arise from the periosteum and central osteomas arise from the endosteum, whereas extra skeletal osteomas develop from the soft tissues, usually within a muscle [2].

Osteomas are found mainly in the cranium maxillofacial bones. Peripheral osteomas (PO) are uncommon. Clinically, the PO is usually an asymptomatic slow growing lesion which can produce swelling and asymmetry. The pathogenesis of PO is unclear. Some investigators consider it a true neoplasm, while others classify it as a developmental anomaly [8]. The possibility of a reactive mechanism, triggered by trauma or infection has also been suggested [3,9]. In the present case no history of trauma or infection was elicited. The association between maxillofacial osteomas, cutaneous sebaceous cysts, multiple supernumerary teeth and colorectal polyposis is known as Gardner’s syndrome [10].

Osteomas, usually asymptomatic, and appear to have a very slow growth rate. They often remain undetected unless incidentally
Peripheral osteomas are generally located on the lower border or buccal aspect of the mandible, which are areas usually susceptible to osteomas. Some consider osteomas as a reactive condition triggered by related osteogenic cells stimulated by the chronic infection of the paranasal sinuses [13].

Osteomas can also arise from the proliferation of the periosteum [12]. Therefore, osteomas originate from the suture between bones with different embryological derivation. Embryological cartilaginous rests or from persistent embryological abnormalities, and multiple impacted or supernumerary teeth. Onset occurs in the second decade, with malignant transformation of the colorectal polyps approaching 100% by the age 40. The skull, ethmoid sinuses, mandible and maxilla. The mandibular osteomas are usually lobulated and located at the angle of the mandible [3]. These lesions usually appear as unilateral, pedunculated mushroom-like masses.

In the mandible, the most common sites are the angle and lower border of the body, locations that are more susceptible to trauma [9]. Also, the location of PO of the jaws is usually in close proximity to areas of muscle attachment, suggesting that muscle traction may play a role in its development [3,8].

In the maxilla, osteomas arise from the maxillary sinus [4,12], from the buccal plate in molar region and the tuberosity of the maxilla, and from the anterior [13] or posterior [11] part of the maxilla. The exact aetiology and pathogenesis of PO is still unclear, traumatic, congenital, inflammatory and endocrine causes have been considered as possible etiologic factors [14].

Peripheral osteomas are usually lobulated and located at the angle of the mandible [16]. The patient in this case report underwent complete oral and physical examination for ruling out Gardner syndrome. Radiographic evaluation including chest X-ray and PA skull was done to rule out multiple impacted and supernumerary teeth and multiple osteomas and odontomes of the jaws. CBC and LFT and Thyroid function tests were within normal limits. Patient also gave no history of any gastrointestinal complaints. Imaging of PO can be achieved by traditional radiography (i.e.: panoramic radiograph, Water’s view) or by CT scan. The use of CT scanning with 3-D reconstruction makes it possible to achieve a better resolution and more precise localization [17]. An individualized approach for management of osteomas is recommended considering the size and location of the tumour [11]. Partial removal of the neoplasm can be considered in order to preserve the bone tissue indispensable for prosthetic rehabilitation [18]. Patients with known asymptomatic osteomas should be evaluated every 1 to 2 years to assess growth and to monitor the development of complications.
Definite treatment of the osteoma consists of complete surgical removal at the base where it unites with the cortical bone [3]. Surgery is indicated if there is painful or active lesion growth [19], or in order to correct asymmetry or other secondary problems, such as blockage of cavities, nerve foramina and vital organ compression, desire of definite histopathological diagnosis, or when there are symptoms or complications secondary to the osteoma that have failed to improve despite appropriate medical therapy [11].

The surgical approach should be case specific. For the mandible there are intraoral or extraoral approaches. The intraoral approach is preferable when possible, mainly for cosmetic reasons. For the maxillary antrum, the sub-labial gingivo-buccal (Caldwell-Luc) approach is convenient [20]. Endoscopic nasal approach for the resection of ethmoidal and frontal osteomas has been reported [11]. For the temporal, frontal and fronto-orbito-ethmoidal lesions the coronal or bi-coronal approaches have been classically used. However, these require an extensive amount of dissection, and carry the potential for significant morbidity, especially considering that the lesion to be excised is benign [20].

Recurrence of PO after surgical excision is extremely rare [21]. There are no reports of malignant transformation of PO in the literature [5,6].

CONCLUSION
Peripheral osteomas are relatively uncommon in the palate. Though the pathogenesis of peripheral osteomas is still controversial, the definite treatment is surgical excision. The present case was also treated with surgical excision. Ruling out the presence of Gardner syndrome is extremely important. Periodic clinical and radiological follow up should be done though recurrence of peripheral osteomas after excision is extremely rare.
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