A 20-year-old female dental student reported to the department of Oral Medicine, Diagnosis and Radiology, KVG Dental College and Hospital, Sullia under Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, India for a detailed intraoral examination; as a private dental practitioner had detected a periapical lesion in her intraoral radiograph that was made for the diagnosis of proximal caries. Patient was asymptomatic during presentation. Her past medical and dental history was unremarkable. 

Detailed clinical examination revealed no visible facial swelling. On intraoral examination, an ill defined, solitary swelling of approximately 1x3 cms was observed in the left lateral part of the anterior hard palate in relation to the lateral incisor and canine [Table/Fig-1]. On palpation, it was non-tender and bony hard in consistency with no fluctuation or softening in any part of the swelling. History of trauma was negative. Maxillary anterior teeth were normal and responded positively to electric and thermal pulp vitality tests. This eliminated the possibility of periapical schwannoma (due to pulpal inflammation) or an odontogenic cyst to be the cause of the swelling. History of trauma was negative. Maxillary anterior teeth were normal and responded positively to electric and thermal pulp vitality tests. This eliminated the possibility of periapical cyst (due to pulpal inflammation) or an odontogenic cyst to be the cause of the swelling. Hence, a preliminary diagnosis of an odontogenic tumour was made, Keratocystic odontogenic tumour, Adenomatoid odontogenic tumour, Central odontogenic tumour, central hemangioma, Osteoporotic bone marrow defect, Neurofibroma and Neurilemmoma were the specific lesions considered in the differential diagnosis.

Aspiration biopsy revealed no evidence of any cystic fluid or blood. Negative aspiration highlighted the possibilities of the lesion to be an odontogenic or nonodontogenic intraosseous tumour thus excluding cysts and hemangioma from the list of differential diagnosis. Adenomatoid odontogenic tumour, Central odontogenic tumour, Neurofibroma and Neurilemmoma were the odontogenic and non odontogenic tumours that were further considered in the differential diagnosis.

As a twofold intention of diagnosing and treating, the intraosseous lesion was subjected to surgical excision under local anaesthesia. Upon surgical exposure by reflecting the periosteal flap, the lesion was found to be well circumscribed and encapsulated within the dense fibrous connective tissue [Table/Fig-4]. The lesion thus could be easily separated from the surrounding healthy bone in toto. Following complete excision, the surgical defect was closed by
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**ABSTRACT**

Schwannomas are a type of nerve sheath tumours predominant in the soft tissues of the head and neck. They commonly present as slow growing, painful swellings and may rarely be accompanied by paresthesia. Less than 1% of schwannomas are intraosseous with affliction to the mandible over maxilla. Only 13 cases of maxillary schwannomas have been reported till date. This article documents a rare case of intramaxillary schwannoma that was disclosed during an incidental radiographic examination. It also provides a review of the literature on central schwannomas affecting the maxilla which suggests its affliction to females in the second decade with equal preference to both anterior and posterior segments of the jaw. It also highlights that intraosseous schwannomas may be considered in the differential diagnosis of periapical lesions with nonspecific clinical and radiographic features.

**CASE REPORT**

A 20-year-old female dental student reported to the department of Oral Medicine, Diagnosis and Radiology, KVG Dental College and Hospital, Sullia under Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, India for a detailed intraoral examination; as a private dental practitioner had detected a periapical lesion in her intraoral radiograph that was made for the diagnosis of proximal caries. Patient was asymptomatic during presentation. Her past medical and dental history was unremarkable.

Detailed clinical examination revealed no visible facial swelling. On intraoral examination, an ill defined, solitary swelling of approximately 1x3 cms was observed in the left lateral part of the anterior hard palate in relation to the lateral incisor and canine [Table/Fig-1]. On palpation, it was non-tender and bony hard in consistency with no fluctuation or softening in any part of the swelling. History of trauma was negative. Maxillary anterior teeth were normal and responded positively to electric and thermal pulp vitality tests. This eliminated the possibility of periapical cyst (due to pulpal inflammation) or an odontogenic cyst to be the cause of the swelling. Hence, a preliminary diagnosis of an odontogenic tumour was made, Keratocystic odontogenic tumour, Adenomatoid odontogenic tumour, Central odontogenic tumour, central hemangioma, Osteoporotic bone marrow defect, Neurofibroma and Neurilemmoma were the specific lesions considered in the differential diagnosis.

During Intraoral radiographic examinations, periapical radiograph of maxillary left canine region revealed an oval, well defined radiolucent lesion of approximately 2X3 mm with interrupted corticated border superimposing on the root apices of canine and first premolar [Table/Fig-2].

Maxillary lateral occlusal radiograph revealed a well-defined unilocular radiolucent lesion measuring approximately 2x3 mm overlapping the roots of the left lateral incisor, canine and first premolar. It was lined by irregular cortical margins [Table/Fig-3].

Aspiration biopsy revealed no evidence of any cystic fluid or blood. Negative aspiration highlighted the possibilities of the lesion to be an odontogenic or nonodontogenic intraosseous tumour thus excluding cysts and hemangioma from the list of differential diagnosis. Adenomatoid odontogenic tumour, Central odontogenic tumour, Neurofibroma and Neurilemmoma were the odontogenic and non odontogenic tumours that were further considered in the differential diagnosis.

As a twofold intention of diagnosing and treating, the intraosseous lesion was subjected to surgical excision under local anaesthesia. Upon surgical exposure by reflecting the periosteal flap, the lesion was found to be well circumscribed and encapsulated within the dense fibrous connective tissue [Table/Fig-4]. The lesion thus could be easily separated from the surrounding healthy bone in toto. Following complete excision, the surgical defect was closed by

**Table/Fig-1:** Anterior hard palate showing an ill defined swelling in the left lateral incisor – canine region

**Table/Fig-2:** Intraoral periapical radiograph of maxillary left canine region revealing a well circumscribed, unilocular, oval radiolucent lesion with interrupted cortical margins superimposed over the root apices.

**Table/Fig-3:** Maxillary occlusal radiograph demonstrating unilocular radiolucent lesion extending from left lateral incisor to first premolar, overlapping on their roots

**Table/Fig-4:** Maxillary exposure showing the intraosseous lesion encapsulated within dense fibrous connective tissue.
open dressing and the specimen was subjected to histopathological examination. An acrylic removal partial denture (stent) was fabricated to cover the dressing area to prevent infection and facilitate wound healing [Table/Fig-5].

Microscopic examination of the specimen revealed that tumour mass was composed of neural tissue with cells being arranged in typical Antoni type A, seen towards right side exhibiting long spindle shaped nuclei arranged in parallel fashion giving a palisaded appearance forming a homogenous appearing Verocay bodies. While in other areas Antoni B type was haphazardly arranged with microcyst formation [Table/Fig-6]. Palisaded arrangement of spindle shaped nuclei was well demonstrated in a high power view [Table/Fig-7]. No cellular atypia was evident. Large blood vessels with thrombus formation could be seen interspersed throughout the tumour mass.

On the basis of its classic histological features, the diagnosis of benign schwannoma was convincing. The nerve associated with the tumour could not be identified. Immunohistochemistry (IHC) was not required as the histological picture was clearly diagnostic of schwannoma.

Postoperative follow up after two months showed remarkable soft tissue healing [Table/Fig-8] though the underlying bone was soft and yielding because of the bony defect. Radiographic evaluation done two years postoperatively revealed complete bone regeneration by demonstration of internal trabeculations [Table/Fig-9]. There were no signs of recurrence. A general physical examination based on the diagnosis ruled out multiple tumours of neural origin in other sites.

**DISCUSSION**

Schwannomas are rare, benign, encapsulated nerve sheath tumours of unknown aetiology. It is believed to originate from proliferation of Schwann cells in the perineurium of the peripheral, cranial or autonomic nerves, resulting in displacement and compression of the adjacent nerve [1,2]. Jose Verocay (in 1908) was the first to describe the microscopic features of this tumour under the term “Neurinoma”. Arthur Purdy Stout in 1935 further detailed on its histopathology and proposed the term “Neurilemmoma” [3]. Presently, the terms schwannoma, Schwann cell tumour, neurinoma, neurilemmoma and perineural fibroblastoma are being used synonymously [1,3].

Two types of schwannomas have been identified: a) Peripheral: located in the soft tissues and b) Central (intraosseous): located within the bone [4]. Being neural in origin, majority of the schwannomas are peripheral tumours; approximately 45% of them affecting the soft tissues of the head and neck [1,4]. Within the oral cavity, tongue is the commonest site followed by buccal mucosa, floor of the mouth, palate, lip and [5]. On the contrary, intraosseous (central) schwannomas are uncommon in head and neck area constituting less than 1%. Mandible is the most favoured site, the reason being attributed to the large caliber of the inferior alveolar nerve and its long course within the jaw [1]. They are presumed to involve bone by one of the three mechanisms: a) arising centrally within bone b) arising within the nutrient canal causing its enlargement c) arising from the periosteum and invading into the bone by secondary erosion [6,7].

Maxillary schwannomas are extremely rare. Over the last 70 y, only 13 cases of intraosseous schwannomas affecting the maxilla have been reported [1,8-19]. The clinical features of all those cases together with the current one has been summarized in the [Table/Fig-10].

Patients with schwannomas showed a median age of 20 y and 6 mnth (range, 9-64 y), with a peak prevalence in second decade of life. Data pertaining to the gender revealed a slight female predilection with a ratio of 1.6:1. Regarding the site of affection, the tumours showed slightly increased predilection for the hard palate [Table/Fig-10].

Analysis of the symptomatology revealed that swelling was the most common complaint of the patients during their initial visit. However, the tumour was discovered incidentally during their radiographic evaluation [13] or clinical examination [10] [Table/Fig-10]. The duration of the swelling ranged from three mnth to 20 y. Other symptoms like dysphagia and garbled speech were reported by two patients [14,18]. Patients never gave a history of pain or paresthesia. During the clinical examination, eight of the 14 schwannomas produced clinically visible swellings with one of them presenting as alveolar ridge expansion. Surface ulceration [10,19] and tooth mobility [8,11] was observed in two patients. Literature suggested that Provisional (objective) diagnosis was rarely done after the clinical examination. If and when made, it was often diagnosed as cyst [10,17] or fibromatosis gingivae [15].

Radiographic investigations including CT [14,16] have been carried out in most of the cases. In addition, FNAC [10,11] and vitality test [8,11,13] had also been performed. Only in six of the 14 cases, Schwannoma was diagnosed prior to surgical excision by histopathology following incisional biopsy [1,10,11,15,16,19]. IHC for S100 protein was performed in only five cases [Table/Fig-10].
Radiographs demonstrated neoplastic bone destruction in the form of radiolucent lesions surrounded by thin, sclerotic margin. In few cases, margins were ill defined; associated alveolar bone resorption [8,12] and root resorption [8] was observed. In CT, the tumour presented as a well defined, non enhancing, low density soft tissue mass without soft tissue infiltration [14,16] (Table/Fig-10).

Intraosseous schwannomas affect younger individuals; often in the second decade of life whereas mandibular tumours are common in older age group.

The clinical features are unremarkable. Unlike peripheral (soft tissue) tumours where patients report with pain [2], long standing swelling is the most frequent complaint reported by the patients of intraosseous schwannomas; pain and paresthesia are rare. Nerve paralysis may develop if the gradually increasing tumour compresses the related nerve fibres passing over its capsule [20]. Radiographically, mandibular schwannomas exhibit well defined unilocular/multilocular radiolucency with a thin sclerotic border [21]. In majority of the cases, diagnosis has been done subsequent to the excision of the tumour mass [8,12-14,17].

Histopathological features of schwannoma include encapsulation and presence of Antoni type A and Antoni type B tissues. Antoni A are well differentiated cells with nuclear palisading and Verocay bodies. Antoni B tissue, the predominant tissue type is usually necessary. Resorption of the adjacent roots and dystrophic calcifications are likely [2,8]. A definitive diagnosis is difficult to make solely on the basis of clinical presentation and radiographic examination; a biopsy is usually necessary.

Characteristic Histopathological features of schwannoma include encapsulation and presence of Antoni type A and Antoni type B tissues. Antoni A are well differentiated cells with nuclear palisading and Verocay bodies. Antoni B tissue, the predominant tissue type in central schwannomas is comprised of poorly organized cells with prominent, thickened blood vessels [21]. Resorption of the adjacent roots and dystrophic calcifications are likely [2,8]. A definitive diagnosis is difficult to make solely on the basis of clinical presentation and radiographic examination; a biopsy is usually necessary.
show intense staining for S-100 protein. Perineural cell derived tumour capsule are immunoreactive to EMA (Epithelial Membrane Antigen) and capsule IV. Capsular and Antoni B cells are positive for CD34 and CD68 lysosome associated antigens also. But, S-100 protein is probably the single best antigen for confirmation of this neural tumour as it is expressed exclusively by Schwann cells [17].

Either maxilla or mandible, surgical enucleation has remained the mainstay of treatment for schwannomas. Patients have remained asymptomatic with no clinical evidence of disease in a follow up examination of cases over a period of two to six y [12,16]. The presence of capsule possibly will facilitate its complete removal, reducing the rate of recurrence. Bone regeneration is noticeable as early as one year following surgery [13].

In the current case, the tumour presented as a well defined radiolucent lesion superimposing over the roots of the anterior teeth that was incidentally discovered during the radiographic examination. Comparable to a periapical lesion, it would have been mistaken for pathology of odontogenic origin but for the presence of vital teeth. Meticulous clinical examination revealed a palatal swelling that was negligibly small as compared to the size of the radiographic bone resorption. This would have gone unnoticed until grown to larger dimensions. Being situated in the vicinity of odontogenic apparatus, a clinician would primarily consider odontogenic cysts and tumours in the list of their differential diagnosis. Further, results of vitality test and FNAC assisted in inclusion of non odontogenic lesions. Author wish to highlight the role played by the chairside investigations in the diagnosis of this case; emphasising the need for performing such simple tests that furnish instant results and improves diagnosis.
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CONCLUSION

Intraosseous lesions located in the periapical region with nonspecific clinical and radiographic features often lead to diagnostic confusion. Most of the lesions located in the vicinity of the teeth are odontogenic in origin. Yet, other possibilities like intraosseous schwannomas must be included in the differential diagnosis, especially when the clinical and radiographic presentations are inconclusive.